



# Division of Police

July - September 2012

Quarterly Report



# DUBLIN DIVISION OF POLICE

## ACTIVITY SUMMARY

July – September 2012

### *Calls for Service:*

Total Calls for Service: 15,387		Total Calls w/o Foot Patrol, Traffic Stops & Courtesy Calls*: 6,430*			
<b>Calls by District</b>					
<b>District 1</b>	<b>District 2</b>	<b>District 3</b>	<b>District 4</b>	<b>District 5</b>	<b>District 0●</b>
3,994	3,545	1,676	3,531	2,224	417
1,061*	1,119*	1,178*	1,773*	1,083*	216*
<i>911 Calls: 7,985</i>					
Workload Analysis Information for <u>Districts 1 through 5*</u>					
<i>Total Calls for Service: 6,214</i>					
<i>Average Response Time: 5 minutes</i>					
<i>Average Total Time to Handle Calls: 23 minutes</i>					
* does not include traffic stops, foot patrols & courtesy calls					
● this district tracks all mutual aid calls to other jurisdictions or activity that occurs outside the city					

### *Offense Reports:*

Part I Crimes: 163	Part II Crimes: 120	Other: 87
<i>Total Offense Reports for July – September 2012:</i>		<b>370</b>

### *Traffic & Criminal Citations:*

Municipal Code: 579	Parking Citations: 6	
Ohio Revised Code: 75		
<i>Total Citations July – September 2012:</i>		<b>660</b>

### *Arrests:*

Number of Adults Arrested: 95	Number of Juveniles Arrested: 49	
<i>Total Persons Arrested July – September 2012:</i>		<b>144</b>

### *Arrest Charges:*

Adult: 223	Juvenile: 64	
<i>Total Charges July – September 2012:</i>		<b>287</b>

### *Accidents Reports:*

Property Damage: 140	Injury: 64	Private Property: 29	
<i>Total Accident Reports July – September 2012:</i>			<b>233</b>

Please see remainder of report for more detailed information.

# DUBLIN DIVISION OF POLICE

## YEAR TO DATE ACTIVITY SUMMARY

### January - September 2012

#### *Calls for Service:*

<b>Total Calls for Service:</b> 46,075		<b>Total Calls w/o Foot Patrol, Traffic Stops &amp; Courtesy Calls*:</b> 19,683			
<b>Calls by District</b>					
<b>District 1</b>	<b>District 2</b>	<b>District 3</b>	<b>District 4</b>	<b>District 5</b>	<b>District 0 ●</b>
11,473	10,688	5,397	10,377	6,745	1,395
3,293*	3,561*	3,894*	5,039*	3,176*	720*
<i>911 Calls:</i> 23,397					
Workload Analysis Information for <u>Districts 1 through 5*</u>					
<i>Total Calls for Service:</i> 18,963					
<i>Average Response Time:</i> 5 minutes					
<i>Average Total Time to Handle Calls:</i> 22 minutes					
*does not include traffic stops, foot patrols & courtesy calls					
● this district tracks all mutual aid calls to other jurisdictions or activity that occurs outside the city					

#### *Offense Reports:*

Part I Crimes: 477	Part II Crimes: 345	Other: 256
<b><i>Total Offense Reports for January – September 2012: 1,078</i></b>		

#### *Traffic & Criminal Citations*

Municipal Code: 1,912	Parking Citations: 85
Ohio Revised Code: 427	
<b><i>Total Citations January – September 2012: 2,424</i></b>	

#### *Arrests:*

Number of Adults Arrested: 365	Number of Juveniles Arrested: 135
<b><i>Total Persons Arrested January – September 2012: 500</i></b>	

#### *Arrest Charges:*

Adult: 760	Juvenile: 187
<b><i>Total Charges January – September 2012: 947</i></b>	

#### *Accident Reports:*

Property Damage: 390	Injury: 158	Private Property: 85
<b><i>Total Accident Reports January – September 2012: 633</i></b>		

# Authorized Strength – 2012

## Full-Time Personnel


STAFFING TABLE	SWORN Authorized 64					CIVILIAN Authorized 24							
	Chief	Lt	Sgt	Cpl	Police Officer	Tech Svcs Comm	Law Enf Planner	Comm Supvsr	Comm Tech	Admin Spec	Staff Asst	Property Tech	Office Asst I and II
<b>Number Authorized</b>	1	2	6	6	49	1	1	2	13	1	1	1	4
<b>Number Actual</b>	1	2	6	6	48*	1	1	2	12*	1	1	1	4
<b>Office of the Chief</b>	1						1			1			
<b>Operations Bureau</b>													
Commander		1											
Patrol Sergeant			3										
Corporal				6									
Patrol Officer					34*								
Canine Officer					1								
Officer(s) in Training					1								
<b>Services Bureau</b>													
Commander		1											
Detective Sergeant			1										
Detective					3								
Juvenile Officer					1								
CEU Sgt			1										
CEU Officer					8								
Staff Assistant											1		
<b>Technical Services Bureau</b>													
Commander						1							
Training/Accreditation Sgt			1										
Comm Supervisor								2					
Comm Technician									12*				
Property Technician												1	
Office Assistant I													1
Office Assistant II													3

\*below authorized staffing level

## Reserves

<b>Number Authorized</b>	10
<b>Number Actual</b>	1*
Reserve Officer	1*

City of Dublin - Division of Police - Organizational Chart - Standard 11.1.2



Revised: September 2012  
Replaces: June 2012

# DIVISION ACTIVITY

## CALLS FOR SERVICE

### District 1

Includes all areas within the city limits that are south and east of Interstate 270 and west of the Scioto River. Also includes I270 northbound from US 33 westbound ramp up to Sawmill Road.

### District 2

Includes all areas within the city limits that are east of the Scioto River, west of Sawmill Road, south of the Delaware County Line and north of Martin Road. Also includes I270 westbound from Sawmill Road to US 33 and the ramp from I270 southbound to US 33 westbound.

### District 3

Includes all areas within the city limits that are north of Brand Road and west of the Scioto River. All addresses that are within the city limits on Brand Road including the intersections of Brand Road/Muirfield Drive and Brand Road/Dublin Road are covered by this district.


### District 4

This district includes all areas within the city limits that are south of Brand Road, north of US 33 and west of Interstate I270, Browning Court and Dublin Road. Addresses that are south of Brand Road to the I270 overpass that are within the city limits are part of District 4.

### District 5

This area includes all areas within the city limits that are south of the north edge of US 33 and west of I270. This area also covers both northbound and southbound lanes of I270 between Tuttle Crossing and US 33 and all ramps to and from I270 south of US 33.

**NOTE:** The following is a breakdown of calls for service. They represent initial reports. The number of actual offenses may be different after officer's investigation.



# WORKLOAD ANALYSIS REPORT

## July – September 2012

The April through June 2012 Workload Analysis Report reflects a total of 6,214 calls for service. This figure does not include traffic stops, foot patrols or courtesy cards initiated by officers or activity occurring in District 0 (calls outside city limits/mutual aid). The average response time for calls was five (5) minutes from the time the call was received by our communications technician until the first responding officer arrived on the scene. The average time to reach a disposition and clear the call was twenty-three (23) minutes.

The Workload Analysis Report also allows us to track activity levels by hour by day. We analyze this data to determine our staffing levels for patrol shifts. By reviewing our Workload Analysis Report, you can see that our busiest hour of activity was between 9:00 AM and 10:00 AM. Five hundred eighty-five (585) calls for service were received during this time frame. The next busiest hour was between 10:00 AM and 11:00 AM when 477 calls for service were received. The ability to obtain this type of data is critical to effectively staff our patrol shifts.

While reviewing this report it is important to note that various factors can influence average response times. "Stacking" of calls where lower priority calls are held so that calls of a more urgent nature can be dispatched and responded to commonly occur. "Cross dispatching" where an officer is dispatched out of district to a call will also increase response time. Low priority calls that are received during roll call/shift change negate an immediate response from patrol officers thus increasing response times.

As a matter of practice, we like to keep our average response time for all calls occurring inside the City under ten (10) minutes. As previously stated, there are certain incidents where that becomes impossible. However, our goal is realistic and as demonstrated by the July through September 2012 report was met. In an effort to assist the reader in reviewing the Workload Analysis Report the following is a list of some relevant interpretations for review.

### District 1 Totals

1,061	Calls for Service
5	minute average response time
21	minute average time to resolve call

### District 2 Totals

1,119	Calls for Service
6	minute average response time
27	minute average time to resolve call

### District 3 Totals

1,178	Calls for Service
5	minute average response time
16	minute average time to resolve call

### District 4 Totals

1,773	Calls for Service
5	minute average response time
24	minute average time to resolve call


### District 5 Totals

1,083	Calls for Service
5	minute average response time
25	minute average time to resolve call

### Total Calls for Service

6,214	Calls for Service
5	minute average response time
23	minute average time to resolve call

# ***Calls for Service by Time of Day July - September 2012***



## **WORKLOAD ANALYSIS REPORT**

### **January – September 2012**

The January through September 2012 Workload Analysis reflects a total of 18,963 calls for service. This figure does not include traffic stops, foot patrols or courtesy cards initiated by officers or activity occurring in District 0 (calls outside city limits/mutual aid). The average response time for calls was five (5) minutes from the time the call was received by our communications technician until the first responding officer arrived on the scene. The average time to reach a disposition and clear the call was twenty-two (22) minutes.

The Workload Analysis also allows us to track activity levels by hour by day. We analyze this data to determine our staffing levels for patrol shifts. By reviewing our Workload Analysis Graph on the following page, you can see that our busiest hour of activity was between 9:00 AM and 10:00 AM. One thousand nine hundred forty-three (1,943) calls for service were received during this time frame. The next busiest hour was between 10:00 AM and 11:00 AM when 1,551 calls for service were received. The ability to obtain this type of data is critical to effectively staff our patrol shifts.

While reviewing this report it is important to note that various factors can influence average response times. "Stacking" of calls where lower priority calls are held so that calls of a more urgent nature can be dispatched and responded to commonly occur. "Cross dispatching" where an officer is dispatched out of district to a call will also increase response time. Low priority calls that are received during roll call/shift change negate an immediate response from patrol officers thus increasing response times.

As a matter of practice, we like to keep our average response time for all calls occurring inside the City under ten (10) minutes. As previously stated, there are certain incidents where that becomes impossible. However, our goal is realistic and as demonstrated by the January through September 2012 report, was met. The following is a break down of calls for service by district with the overall total calls, average response time and average time to resolve the call:

#### **District 1 Totals**

3,293 Calls for Service  
5 minute average response time  
22 minute average time to resolve call

#### **District 4 Totals**

5,039 Calls for Service  
5 minute average response time  
22 minute average time to resolve call

#### **District 2 Totals**

3,561 Calls for Service  
5 minute average response time  
25 minute average time to resolve call

#### **District 5 Totals**

3,176 Calls for Service  
5 minute average response time  
24 minute average time to resolve call

#### **District 3 Totals**


3,894 Calls for Service  
6 minute average response time  
16 minute average time to resolve call

#### **Total Calls for Service**

18,963 Calls for Service  
5 minute average response time  
22 minute average time to resolve call

# ***Calls for Service by Time of Day***

## ***January - September 2012***




**CALLS FOR SERVICE SUMMARY**  
**JULY 1 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2012**

CODE# & DESCRIPTION	DISTRICTS					DISTRICT 0 MUTUAL AID OUTSIDE	JULY-SEPT 2012 TOTAL	2012 TOTAL	VS.	JULY-SEPT 2011	2011 TOTAL
	001	002	003	004	005						
2-ACCIDENT	40	46	18	44	60	0	208	613		200	626
2A-HIT SKIP	9	8	4	13	5	2	41	120		45	123
2P-ACCIDENT PRIV PROP	10	15	3	23	4	1	56	170		35	142
4-ACCIDENT INJURY	13	14	5	9	27	0	68	165		67	167
4A-HIT SKIP INJURY	2	0	0	0	1	0	3	5		1	4
4P-ACCIDENT PRIV PROP INJURY	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	3		2	2
4F-ACCIDENT FATAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2		0	0
8-ASSAULT	1	4	0	3	4	0	12	36		7	34
8A-MENACING THREATS	8	5	2	6	3	0	24	53		27	54
8B-TELEPHONE HARASSMENT	4	3	9	5	8	0	29	70		30	88
10-ASSIST OTHER UNIT	10	9	4	9	7	79	118	350		95	319
12-BURGLARY	3	15	4	13	6	0	41	106		27	64
12AL-BURGLAR ALARM	133	114	129	146	89	0	611	1,739		587	1,792
12B-OPEN DOOR	4	8	10	17	12	0	51	152		37	95
13-MISC CALL	31	22	21	82	19	4	179	546		192	502
13T-PRISONER TRANSPORT	0	0	0	3	0	7	10	28		13	35
13B-BANK ESCORT	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	5		6	6
14-FRAUD/BAD CHECK	4	11	8	13	2	0	38	123		43	149
15-WARRANT SERVICE	4	0	2	7	2	18	33	105		54	142
16-DOA	0	0	0	5	0	0	5	11		3	11
16A-DEAD ANIMAL	4	6	2	1	0	0	13	35		19	36
18-ANIMAL/DOG BITE	2	1	0	1	0	0	4	11		3	10
18A-ANIMAL COMPLAINT	17	11	13	18	15	0	74	231		80	207
18B-BARKING DOG	0	0	1	7	4	0	12	56		11	35
20-DOMESTIC	4	9	6	11	4	1	35	107		39	120
24-INTOXICATED PERSON	3	5	5	27	4	0	44	84		36	81
24A-OMVI	16	33	8	16	35	19	127	494		129	364
26-FIGHT	2	0	1	4	0	0	7	24		9	19
28-FIRE RUN	5	5	2	5	5	0	22	48		13	47
29-EMS RUN	5	6	7	9	8	1	36	92		29	85
30-VICE COMPLAINT	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	3		2	4
32-HOMICIDE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		0	0
34-JUVENILE COMPLAINT	12	10	29	51	6	1	109	312		114	239
36-THEFT	61	54	31	74	31	0	251	636		153	491
36B-FOUND PROPERTY	10	8	12	47	9	2	88	221		91	205
36C-COURTESY CARD	1,454	776	104	282	269	1	2,886	8,229		3,001	10,302
38-MISSING PERSON	2	1	2	7	2	0	14	45		24	52
38A-MISSING PERSON RETURNED	0	0	1	8	0	0	9	16		3	10
40-PERSON WITH GUN	0	2	1	0	1	0	4	9		7	12
40A-PERSON WITH KNIFE	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	5		2	3
42-UNKNOWN CIRCUMSTANCES	1	2	0	2	1	0	6	18		2	9
42A-911 HANG UP	48	14	20	42	28	0	152	552		235	705
46-PROWLER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11		11	19
48-RAPE	0	2	0	1	0	0	3	7		6	12
48B-SEX OFFENSE	0	1	0	1	3	0	5	12		5	14
50-ROBBERY	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	8		2	5
50AL-ROBBERY ALARM	15	5	6	12	3	0	41	128		47	135
52-SHOOTING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	2
52A-SHOTS FIRED	0	2	1	3	2	0	8	19		7	16
56-STOLEN VEHICLE	0	5	0	5	2	0	12	26		8	22
56B-RECOVERED STOLEN VEHICLE	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	6		0	4
58-SUICIDE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	1
58A-SUICIDE ATTEMPT	0	2	4	5	2	1	14	53		9	46
60A-SUSP VEHICLE	54	80	37	56	38	1	266	944		238	722
60-SUSPICIOUS PERSON	100	91	55	169	83	7	505	1,504		497	1,222
61-HOUSE CHECK	159	209	528	392	242	0	1,530	4,985		1,901	5,946
61A-EXTRA PATROL	16	21	19	25	16	0	97	305		128	325
62-TRAFFIC DETAIL	4	6	0	6	3	0	19	45		19	40
64-VANDALISM	15	8	30	20	14	0	87	243		121	277
70-TRAFFIC VIOLATOR	429	255	125	262	325	129	1,525	5,114		1,435	4,341
72-SPEEDER/RECKLESS DRIVER	17	55	22	16	57	55	222	707		250	627
74-DISABLED/MOTORIST ASSIST	61	51	7	34	95	9	257	729		245	824
76-VEH BLOCKING	24	18	6	38	17	1	104	325		104	342
76A-PARKING COMPLAINT	17	10	14	42	4	0	87	406		138	390
78-LOCKOUT	54	29	17	55	24	1	180	555		180	553
80-ROADWAY OBSTRUCTION	13	23	8	20	26	0	90	249		83	231
90-DISTURBANCE 2 UNITS	21	33	36	59	28	1	178	491		150	428
90A-DISTURBANCE 1 UNIT	18	17	25	56	19	3	138	385		163	423
913-FOOT PATROL	1,050	1,395	269	1,214	547	71	4,546	13,049		3,980	12,625
96-MENTAL	3	2	1	6	0	0	12	44		15	38
100-BOMB THREAT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	1
102-NARCOTICS	1	3	1	20	2	2	29	94		23	68

**CALLS FOR SERVICE SUMMARY**  
**JULY 1 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2012**

CODE# & DESCRIPTION	DISTRICTS					DISTRICT 0 MUTUAL AID OUTSIDE	JULY-SEPT 2012 TOTAL	2012 TOTAL	VS.	JULY-SEPT 2011	2011 TOTAL
	001	002	003	004	005						
TOTAL CALLS	3,994	3,545	1,676	3,531	2,224	417	15,387	46,075		15,238	47,090
TOTAL CALLS W/O 36C'S, 70'S & 913'S	1,061	1,119	1,178	1,773	1,083	216	6,430	19,683		6,822	19,822
911 CALLS RECEIVED							7,985	23,397		7,928	22,505

**CALLS FOR SERVICE**  
**JULY 1, 2012 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2012**



**CALLS FOR SERVICE BY SHIFT BY DAY OF WEEK**

JULY - SEPTEMBER 2012 TOTALS							
SHIFTS	SUN	MON	TUES	WED	THURS	FRI	SAT
1ST	312	486	399	408	443	435	333
2ND	321	305	336	332	361	391	402
3RD	151	94	107	118	141	159	180


JULY -SEPTEMBER 2011 TOTALS							
SHIFTS	SUN	MON	TUES	WED	THURS	FRI	SAT
1ST	317	490	486	426	507	505	354
2ND	313	314	354	382	349	421	420
3RD	169	110	90	109	128	167	173

In analyzing our calls for service activity levels for the months of July - September 2012 you will note increases/decreases in some cases. The chart below indicates the percentage of increase or decrease (-) experienced by shift by day of the week.


**Note: These figures do not include traffic stops, foot patrols, courtesy cards or calls in District 0.**

PERCENTAGE INCREASES AND DECREASES FOR JULY - SEPTEMBER 2012 VS. 2011 TOTALS							
SHIFTS	SUN	MON	TUES	WED	THURS	FRI	SAT
1ST	-2%	-1%	-18%	-4%	-13%	-14%	-6%
2ND	3%	-3%	-5%	-13%	3%	-7%	-4%
3RD	-11%	-15%	19%	8%	10%	-5%	4%


**CALLS FOR SERVICE - FIRST SHIFT**  
**JULY 1, 2012 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2012**



**CALLS FOR SERVICE - SECOND SHIFT**  
**JULY 1, 2012 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2012**



**CALLS FOR SERVICE - THIRD SHIFT**  
**JULY 1, 2012 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2012**




**CALLS FOR SERVICE SUMMARY**  
**JANUARY 1 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2012**

CODE# & DESCRIPTION	DISTRICTS					DISTRICT 0	JAN-SEPT 2012 TOTAL	VS.	JAN-SEPT 2011 TOTAL
	001	002	003	004	005	MUTUAL AID OUTSIDE CITY			
2-ACCIDENT	137	134	55	113	169	5	613		626
2A-HIT SKIP	26	25	16	36	15	2	120		123
2P-ACCIDENT PRIV PROP	41	45	9	59	15	1	170		142
4-ACCIDENT INJURY	28	39	15	25	57	1	165		167
4A-HIT SKIP INJURY	3	0	0	0	2	0	5		4
4P-ACCIDENT PRIV PROP INJURY	0	2	1	0	0	0	3		2
4F-ACCIDENT FATAL	0	1	0	0	1	0	2		0
8-ASSAULT	5	7	7	12	5	0	36		34
8A-MENACING THREATS	12	12	9	13	7	0	53		54
8B-TELEPHONE HARASSMENT	11	7	18	20	14	0	70		88
10-ASSIST OTHER UNIT	35	40	16	21	26	212	350		319
12-BURGLARY	18	30	11	30	17	0	106		64
12AL-BURGLAR ALARM	375	350	379	382	253	0	1,739		1,792
12B-OPEN DOOR	18	24	27	59	24	0	152		95
13-MISC CALL	103	61	66	226	65	25	546		502
13T-PRISONER TRANSPORT	0	0	0	8	0	20	28		35
13B-BANK ESCORT	0	0	0	4	0	1	5		6
14-FRAUD/BAD CHECK	17	29	32	33	12	0	123		149
15-WARRANT SERVICE	6	8	3	28	5	55	105		142
16-DOA	2	0	0	8	1	0	11		11
16A-DEAD ANIMAL	10	9	8	4	4	0	35		36
18-ANIMAL/DOG BITE	2	3	0	3	3	0	11		10
18A-ANIMAL COMPLAINT	34	31	54	72	38	2	231		207
18B-BARKING DOG	6	4	9	25	12	0	56		35
20-DOMESTIC	11	23	23	30	18	2	107		120
24-INTOXICATED PERSON	9	12	18	38	7	0	84		81
24A-OMVI	59	121	26	61	120	107	494		364
26-FIGHT	6	5	3	9	1	0	24		19
28-FIRE RUN	10	9	6	12	10	1	48		47
29-EMS RUN	12	17	18	25	19	1	92		85
30-VICE COMPLAINT	0	0	2	0	1	0	3		4
32-HOMICIDE	1	0	0	0	0	0	1		0
34-JUVENILE COMPLAINT	32	31	84	142	21	2	312		239
36-THEFT	137	167	83	170	78	1	636		491
36B-FOUND PROPERTY	36	29	31	96	24	5	221		205
36C-COURTESY CARD	3,818	2,279	272	855	992	13	8,229		10,302
38-MISSING PERSON	9	8	9	15	4	0	45		52
38A-MISSING PERSON RETURNED	0	2	4	9	1	0	16		10
40-PERSON WITH GUN	1	3	2	2	1	0	9		12
40A-PERSON WITH KNIFE	2	1	1	0	1	0	5		3
42-UNKNOWN CIRCUMSTANCES	3	2	1	9	3	0	18		9
42A-911 HANG UP	155	121	57	138	81	0	552		705
46-PROWLER	1	1	3	2	4	0	11		19
48-RAPE	0	2	0	4	1	0	7		12
48B-SEX OFFENSE	1	1	2	4	4	0	12		14
50-ROBBERY	3	1	0	3	1	0	8		5
50AL-ROBBERY ALARM	38	23	21	34	12	0	128		135
52-SHOOTING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		2
52A-SHOTS FIRED	1	3	3	6	6	0	19		16
56-STOLEN VEHICLE	2	11	4	6	3	0	26		22
56B-RECOVERED STOLEN VEHICLE	0	3	0	2	1	0	6		4
58-SUICIDE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1
58A-SUICIDE ATTEMPT	4	10	13	15	7	4	53		46
60A-SUSP VEHICLE	222	261	120	232	105	4	944		722
60-SUSPICIOUS PERSON	316	282	207	474	210	15	1,504		1,222
61-HOUSE CHECK	501	768	1,803	1,154	759	0	4,985		5,946
61A-EXTRA PATROL	61	51	60	84	49	0	305		325
62-TRAFFIC DETAIL	14	13	3	10	5	0	45		40
64-VANDALISM	30	29	59	97	28	0	243		277
70-TRAFFIC VIOLATOR	1,353	937	444	871	999	510	5,114		4,341
72-SPEEDER/RECKLESS DRIVER	55	139	59	74	187	193	707		627
74-DISABLED/MOTORIST ASSIST	166	123	26	92	299	23	729		824

**CALLS FOR SERVICE SUMMARY**  
**JANUARY 1 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2012**

CODE# & DESCRIPTION	DISTRICTS					DISTRICT 0 MUTUAL AID OUTSIDE CITY	JAN-SEPT 2012 TOTAL	VS.	JAN-SEPT 2011 TOTAL
	001	002	003	004	005				
76-VEH BLOCKING	79	69	20	85	62	10	325		342
76A-PARKING COMPLAINT	79	35	85	189	18	0	406		390
78-LOCKOUT	159	95	57	166	73	5	555		553
80-ROADWAY OBSTRUCTION	46	61	24	42	71	5	249		231
90-DISTURBANCE 2 UNITS	59	97	118	146	70	1	491		428
90A-DISTURBANCE 1 UNIT	60	49	81	131	54	10	385		423
913-FOOT PATROL	3,009	3,911	787	3,612	1,578	152	13,049		12,625
96-MENTAL	11	6	11	12	4	0	44		38
100-BOMB THREAT	0	0	0	0	0	0			1
102-NARCOTICS	13	16	12	38	8	7	94		68
<b>TOTAL CALLS</b>	<b>11,473</b>	<b>10,688</b>	<b>5,397</b>	<b>10,377</b>	<b>6,745</b>	<b>1,395</b>	<b>46,075</b>		<b>47,090</b>
<b>TOTAL CALLS W/O 36C'S, 70'S &amp; 913'S</b>	<b>3,293</b>	<b>3,561</b>	<b>3,894</b>	<b>5,039</b>	<b>3,176</b>	<b>720</b>	<b>19,683</b>		<b>19,822</b>
<b>911 CALLS RECEIVED</b>							<b>23,397</b>		<b>22,505</b>

**CALLS FOR SERVICE**  
**JANUARY 1, 2012- SEPTEMBER 30, 2012**



**CALLS FOR SERVICE BY SHIFT BY DAY OF WEEK**

JANUARY - SEPTEMBER 2012 TOTALS							
SHIFTS	SUN	MON	TUES	WED	THURS	FRI	SAT
1ST	962	1,487	1,294	1,358	1,362	1,355	1,105
2ND	931	910	1,040	987	1,058	1,203	1,083
3RD	453	307	317	348	433	465	505


JANUARY - SEPTEMBER 2011 TOTALS							
SHIFTS	SUN	MON	TUES	WED	THURS	FRI	SAT
1ST	1,073	1,548	1,483	1,434	1,387	1,388	1,090
2ND	878	941	1,010	1,056	1,084	1,070	1,045
3RD	426	281	287	327	386	442	492

In analyzing our calls for service activity levels for the months of January - September 2012 you will note increases/decreases in some cases. The chart below indicates the percentage of increase or decrease (-) experienced by shift by day of the week.


**Note: These figures do not include traffic stops, foot patrols, courtesy cards or calls in District 0.**

PERCENTAGE INCREASES AND DECREASES FOR JANUARY - SEPTEMBER 2012 VS. 2011 TOTALS							
SHIFTS	SUN	MON	TUES	WED	THURS	FRI	SAT
1ST	-10%	-4%	-13%	-5%	-2%	-2%	1%
2ND	6%	-3%	3%	-7%	-2%	12%	4%
3RD	6%	9%	10%	6%	12%	5%	3%


**CALLS FOR SERVICE - FIRST SHIFT**  
**JANUARY 1, 2012 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2012**



**CALLS FOR SERVICE - SECOND SHIFT**  
**JANUARY 1, 2012 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2012**



**CALLS FOR SERVICE - THIRD SHIFT**  
**JANUARY 1, 2012 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2012**



## OFFENSE REPORT SUMMARY

PART I	DEPARTMENT CLASSIFICATION	JULY-SEPT	2012	VS	JULY-SEPT	2011 YTD
		2012 TOTAL	YTD TOTAL		2011 TOTAL	TOTAL
	AGG ROBBERY	1	3		0	1
	ARSON	0	0		1	2
	ATT BREAKING & ENTERING	0	0		1	1
	ATT BURGLARY/ATT AGG BURGLARY	2	9		1	1
	ATT THEFT	1	2		3	6
	AUTO THEFT	5	10		5	14
	B & E	11	26		11	30
	BURGLARY	13	46		9	20
	FELONIOUS ASSAULT	3	5		0	1
	HOMICIDE	0	1		0	0
	RAPE	0	2		4	8
	ROBBERY	1	3		2	3
	THEFT/LARCENY	126	370		95	318
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>477</b>		<b>132</b>	<b>405</b>

PART II	DEPARTMENT CLASSIFICATION	JULY-SEPT	2012	VS	JULY-SEPT	2011 YTD
		2012 TOTAL	YTD TOTAL		2011 TOTAL	TOTAL
	AGG MENACING	3	6		1	2
	ASSAULT (SIMPLE)	10	28		8	23
	ATT ABDUCTION	0	1		0	0
	CHILD ENDANGERING	1	7		1	2
	CHILD ENTICEMENT	1	2		0	0
	CONT. SUBST. FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION	1	1		0	0
	COUNTERFEITING	0	0		0	1
	CRIMINAL DAMAGING	7	32		19	55
	CRIMINAL MISCHIEF	0	6		3	8
	CRIMINAL TRESPASSING	2	2		3	5
	DECPT/DANGER. DRUGS	0	1		3	3
	DOC	2	7		0	4
	DOC/FIGHTING	0	1		0	2
	DOC/INTOX	2	4		1	8
	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE/ASSAULT	8	26		12	37
	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE/MENACING	0	2		0	1
	DRUG ABUSE	15	45		13	52
	DRUG PARAPHERNALIA (GENERAL)	5	30		9	19
	EMBEZZLEMENT	0	1		0	0
	EXTORTION	0	0		1	1
	FAILURE TO COMPLY (W/LAWFUL ORDER)	1	2		0	0
	FALSIFICATION	1	5		1	3
	FORGERY	1	9		3	10
	FRAUD	1	1		1	8
	IDENTITY THEFT (in jurisdiction)	1	1		0	1
	INDECENT EXPOSURE/PUBLIC INDECENCY	1	3		2	2
	INDUCING PANIC	0	0		1	1
	INTIMIDATION OF A VICTIM, WITN.,ETC	0	0		1	1
	MENACING	2	5		5	7
	MINOR CONSUMPTION	17	28		16	22
	MINOR POSSESSION	11	16		4	9
	MISUSE CREDIT CARD	3	4		2	3
	OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE	1	1		0	4
	OMVI	0	0		1	1

## OFFENSE REPORT SUMMARY

PART II	DEPARTMENT CLASSIFICATION	JULY-SEPT	2012	VS	JULY-SEPT	2011 YTD
		2012 TOTAL	YTD TOTAL		2011 TOTAL	TOTAL
	OPEN CONTAINER	1	2		1	3
	PASSING BAD CHECKS	0	5		0	1
	POSSESSION OF DRUGS -not marijuana	1	3		1	3
	PROSTITUTION	0	1		0	0
	RESISTING ARREST	0	1		0	0
	RSP	0	5		0	3
	SEX OFFENSES	5	13		2	7
	STALKING/MENACING BY STALKING	1	1		0	0
	TELEPHONE HARASSMENT/HARASSING CALL	10	23		8	24
	TRAFFICKING	1	1		0	4
	VANDALISM	3	9		10	23
	WEAPONS/CCW	1	4		1	2
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>345</b>		<b>134</b>	<b>365</b>

OTHER	DEPARTMENT CLASSIFICATION	JULY-SEPT	2012	VS	JULY-SEPT	2011 YTD
		2012 TOTAL	YTD TOTAL		2011 TOTAL	TOTAL
	ALL OTHER OFFENSES	0	1		0	2
	ANIMAL AT LARGE	1	5		1	3
	ANIMAL BITE	0	4		1	5
	C.I. CONTINUING INVESTIGATION	0	4		1	4
	CPO/TPO VIOLATION	1	3		2	5
	CRUELTY TO ANIMALS	1	1		0	1
	CURFEW	4	9		6	6
	DOA	4	11		3	12
	DOMESTIC DISPUTE/INCIDENT ONLY	3	8		3	10
	FALSE REPORT	0	0		0	1
	FIRE	0	0		0	2
	IDENTITY THEFT (not in jurisdictn)	9	21		9	39
	ILL. DUMPING	1	2		0	0
	IMPERSONATING PEACE OFFICER	0	1		0	0
	INCIDENT	55	142		46	119
	MISSING PERSON	2	6		3	7
	RUNAWAY (18 YOA OR YOUNGER)	0	3		0	1
	SCAVENGING PROHIBITED	0	1		0	0
	SOLICITOR W/O PERMIT	0	1		0	0
	SUICIDE ATTEMPT	6	31		3	26
	TOBACCO LAW	0	1		0	1
	UNAUTHORIZED USE OF MOTOR VEHICLE	0	1		1	3
	VEHICULAR HOMICIDE	0	0		0	1
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>256</b>		<b>79</b>	<b>248</b>

<b>TOTAL OFFENSES</b>	<b>370</b>	<b>1,078</b>	<b>345</b>	<b>1,018</b>
-----------------------	------------	--------------	------------	--------------

**TRAFFIC ENFORCEMENT SUMMARY**

**TRAFFIC CITATIONS - MUNICIPAL CODE**

TYPE OF CITATION & SECTION#	JULY-SEPT 2012 TOTAL	2012 YTD TOTAL	VS	JULY-SEPT 2011 TOTAL	2011 YTD TOTAL
70.02A COMPLIANCE/LAWFUL ORDER	0	0		1	2
70.030A OPERATION AT INTERSECTIONS	0	1		0	0
70.17 PEDESTRIAN CONTROL SIGNALS	0	0		0	1
70.23 TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE	5	26		18	33
70.25 TRAFFIC CNTL SIGNAL LIGHTS	1	7		2	7
70.25C1 TRAFFIC CONTROL LIGHT	12	45		15	52
70.28 FLASHING TRAFFIC SIGNAL	0	1		0	4
70.32 STOP SIGNS	0	1		1	1
71.01 NO OL-RESTRICT VIOL.	1	1		0	0
71.03 TEMPORARY LICENSE	1	2		0	0
71.04 FICTICIOUS LICENSE	0	0		0	1
71.05 ALLOW UNAUTH. PERSON	0	0		1	2
71.06 DISPLAY OF LICENSE	0	0		0	1
71.09 DISPLAY OF PLATES	2	5		1	7
71.09B DISPLAY OF TEMP PLATES	0	1		0	0
71.09C FAIL TO REG. VEH.	1	2		0	1
71.09F EXP VALIDATION	43	134		17	83
71.09G DISPLAY PLATES OF ANOTHER VH	1	1		0	0
71.10 OBSTRUCTED PLATES	0	0		1	2
71.11 ILLEGAL PLATES	2	9		1	3
71.18 DUS: NON-COMPLIANCE	7	36		9	41
71.19 NO OPS	30	107		40	89
71.20A DUS (DUI)	1	4		4	4
71.21A FRA M1	11	40		13	35
71.22 FAILURE TO REINSTATE OL	3	12		4	17
72.001A DRIVE ON RIGHT HALF ROADWY	1	2		0	3
72.003 OVERTAKING/PASSING VEH.	0	1		1	1
72.004 OVERTAKING/PASSING ON RIGHT	0	1		0	0
72.005 DRIVING/LEFT OF CTR LINE	1	2		0	1
72.006 DRIVING/LEFT PROHIBITED	0	1		0	0
72.007 HAZARDOUS/NO PASSING ZONES	0	1		1	1
72.009 MARKED LANES	25	114		27	74
72.010 FOLLOWING TOO CLOSELY	1	1		1	3
72.011 DRIVING ON DIVIDED ROADWAYS	0	1		0	3
72.012 ILL TURN @ INTERSEC. M/M4	1	4		3	7
72.013 ILL TURN (PRIV PROP)	1	1		0	0
72.014 PROHIBITED U-TURN	1	7		6	13
72.015 STARTING & BACKING VEH	7	11		7	15
72.016 TURN & STOP SIGNALS	20	64		23	53
72.020 STOPPING FOR SCHOOL BUS	0	6		2	6
72.030 FAIL TO YIELD	1	1		0	1
72.031 RIGHT OF WAY/LEFT	8	34		13	41
72.032 STOP AND YIELD SIGNS	17	43		23	50
72.034 RIGHT OF WAY/PUBLIC SAFETY	0	1		1	3
72.035 RIGHT OF WAY/ROADWAY	1	2		0	5
72.035A FAIL TO YIELD	4	12		7	16
72.051 DRIVING ON CLOSED ROADWAY	0	0		5	6
72.052 FOLLWING/APPROACH.PUB.VEH'S	1	4		5	11
72.055 DRIVING THRU SAFETY ZONE	1	1		0	0
72.058 FAIL TO CONTROL	28	85		25	87

**TRAFFIC ENFORCEMENT SUMMARY**

**TRAFFIC CITATIONS - MUNICIPAL CODE**

TYPE OF CITATION & SECTION#	JULY-SEPT 2012 TOTAL	2012 YTD TOTAL	VS	JULY-SEPT 2011 TOTAL	2011 YTD TOTAL
72.058 FULL TIME & ATTENTION	6	18		8	34
72.058D TEXTING VIOLATION	0	3		0	0
72.060 SQUEALING TIRES/PEALING	1	1		0	0
72.061 DRIVING SIDEWALK/LAWN	1	2		0	1
72.062 LITTERING FROM M.V.	0	0		1	3
72.075A FAIL TO YIELD TO PEDESTRIAN	0	1		0	0
72.080 HITCHHIKING	0	0		0	1
73.01 PHYSICAL CONTROL	2	2		3	4
73.01A1 OVI (FORMERLY OMVI)	29	132		19	51
73.01A2 OMVI PER SE	12	42		6	13
73.01A2B OVI - REFUSAL	0	0		0	1
73.01A4 OVI PER SE (OMVI PER SE)	0	9		2	5
73.01AH OVI .17% >	3	18		4	12
73.01B2 OMVUAC	0	1		0	1
73.02A RECKLESS OPERATION	0	2		1	5
73.15 SPEED/ACDA	274	785		244	711
73.30 STOPPING AFTER ACCIDENT	3	8		4	13
73.31 STOPPING AFTER INJURY ACCID	0	5		3	4
74.01 UNSAFE VEHICLE	0	1		1	3
74.03 TWO HEADLIGHTS ON VEH	0	0		0	1
74.04 TAILLIGHT(S)OR PLATE LIGHT	0	10		1	6
74.13 LIGHTS DISPLAYED	2	7		3	9
74.14 HEADLIGHTS REQUIRED	0	1		1	2
74.20 MUFFLERS/XCESS SMOKE-GAS	0	2		1	1
74.26 CHILD RESTRAINTS	0	1		0	2
74.27B1 SEATBELT - DRIVER	5	18		6	23
74.27B2 SEATBELT-PASSENGER	0	0		0	1
74.28 WINDOW TINT	0	0		1	3
74.47 DROPPING/LEAKING LOADS/MUD	0	3		1	1
74.48 SHIFTING OR LOOSE LOAD	0	0		1	1
75.02 NO EYE PROTECTION/BIKE LAWS	0	1		0	0
75.06A RIDING ON ROADWAY	0	1		0	0
75.07A BIKE OP/DUE REGARD SAFETY	0	0		1	1
MISCELLANEOUS VIOLATIONS	1	3		1	5
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>579</b>	<b>1,912</b>		<b>591</b>	<b>1,704</b>

**TRAFFIC CITATIONS - OHIO REVISED CODE**

TYPE OF CITATION & SECTION#	JULY-SEPT 2012 TOTAL	2012 YTD TOTAL	VS	JULY-SEPT 2011 TOTAL	2011 YTD TOTAL
2921.331 FLEEING/ELUDING POL. OFC.	0	2		0	2
4503.11 EXP REGISTRATION	0	1		0	0
4503.21 DISPLAY LICENSE/VALIDATION	2	13		3	4
4507.02A1 DRIVE W/O VALID OP LIC.	0	0		1	1
4507.071 DRIVE B/W 1-5AM < 17YOA	1	2		1	2
4507.071B4 <17, > 1 PSGR IN VEH	2	2		0	1
4510.11 DUS/REVOICATION	2	18		7	14
4510.12 NO OPER LICENSE	4	37		5	24
4510.14 DRIVE W/O VALID OP LIC.	1	6		1	6
4510.16A DUS/FRA	0	20		6	18

**TRAFFIC ENFORCEMENT SUMMARY**

**TRAFFIC CITATIONS - OHIO REVISED CODE**

TYPE OF CITATION & SECTION#	JULY-SEPT 2012 TOTAL	2012 YTD TOTAL	VS	JULY-SEPT 2011 TOTAL	2011 YTD TOTAL
4510.21 FAIL TO REINSTATE	4	6		2	8
4511.12 TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE	0	14		3	3
4511.13 SIGNAL LIGHTS	0	3		1	3
4511.192A OP UNDER OMVI SUSPENSION	0	0		0	1
4511.19A1 OMVI IMPAIRED	1	16		8	27
4511.19A1A OVI	17	88		4	28
4511.19A1F OVI BAC >.192%	1	11		0	4
4511.19A1J VII PROHIBITED URINE	0	4		0	1
4511.19A2 OVI - REFUSED BREATH TEST	7	25		4	16
4511.19A3 OMVI PER SE-BREATH	5	24		5	10
4511.19B2 OMVUAC-BREATH	0	3		0	0
4511.20 RECKLESS OPERATION	1	4		0	4
4511.202 FAIL TO CONTROL	3	8		3	14
4511.203A WRONGFUL ENTRUSTMENT	0	0		0	1
4511.21 SPEED/ACDA	4	15		4	15
4511.30 DRIVING DBL YELLOW LINE	0	0		0	2
4511.33 MARKED LANES	12	56		6	24
4511.35 DIVIDED ROADWAYS	0	3		0	4
4511.36 IMPROPER TURNS	0	1		0	2
4511.38A UNSAFE BACKING	0	0		0	1
4511.39 FAIL TO SIGNAL	1	10		0	2
4511.42A FAIL TO YIELD/STOP SIGN	0	2		0	1
4511.43 FAIL TO YIELD STOP INTERSEC	0	2		1	3
4511.44 FAIL TO YIELD-PRIV PROP	0	1		0	0
4511.81 CHILD RESTRAINT	0	3		1	3
4513.04 TWO HEADLIGHTS REQ'D	3	7		0	2
4513.05 HEADLIGHTS	1	8		2	6
4513.15 FAIL TO DIM	1	1		0	0
4513.15 HEADLIGHTS	0	2		1	3
4513.241 TINTED WINDOWS	0	0		1	1
4513.263 FAIL TO WEAR SEATBELT	2	3		0	0
4549.021 LEAVING SCENE OF ACCIDENT	0	4		0	1
4549.03A HIT/SKIP PRIVATE PROP.	0	0		0	1
4549.08 FICTICIOUS PLATES	0	2		0	2
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>427</b>		<b>70</b>	<b>265</b>

**PARKING CITATIONS**

TYPE OF CITATION & SECTION#	JULY-SEPT 2012 TOTAL	2012 YTD TOTAL	VS	JULY-SEPT 2011 TOTAL	2011 YTD TOTAL
76.01 CERTAIN AREAS PROHIBITED	2	40		27	46
76.02 PARALLEL PARKING	0	0		0	1
76.02A FOOT FROM CURB	0	0		0	1
76.02F HANDICAP PARKING	0	10		6	13
76.05 UNATTENDED VEHICLE	0	1		3	0
76.10 PERMIT PARKING ONLY	0	4		3	21
76.11 MAX. STREET PARKING	1	1		0	1

**TRAFFIC ENFORCEMENT SUMMARY**

**PARKING CITATIONS**

<b>TYPE OF CITATION &amp; SECTION#</b>	<b>JULY-SEPT 2012 TOTAL</b>	<b>2012 YTD TOTAL</b>	<b>VS</b>	<b>JULY-SEPT 2011 TOTAL</b>	<b>2011 YTD TOTAL</b>
76.12 ABANDONED VEHICLES	0	0		0	1
76.26 VEH. LEFT ON PRIVE PROP	0	1		0	0
76.26B PARKING IN FIRELANE	3	28		5	13
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>85</b>		<b>44</b>	<b>97</b>

<b>TOTAL CITATIONS</b>	<b>660</b>	<b>2,424</b>		<b>705</b>	<b>2,066</b>
------------------------	------------	--------------	--	------------	--------------

### ADULT ARREST SUMMARY

ACTUAL NUMBER OF ADULTS ARRESTED	JULY-SEPT 2012 TOTAL	2012 YTD TOTAL	VS	JULY-SEPT 2011 TOTAL	2011 YTD TOTAL
<b>TOTAL</b>	95	365		122	323

BREAKDOWN OF CHARGES	JULY-SEPT 2012 TOTAL	2012 YTD TOTAL	VS	JULY-SEPT 2011 TOTAL	2011 YTD TOTAL
AGG MENACING	1	2		1	1
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	1	1		0	0
ANIMAL AT LARGE	1	2		0	1
ANIMAL BITE	0	0		1	1
ASSAULT	7	13		7	18
ATT THEFT	0	2		3	3
BURGLARY/B&E	1	1		4	6
CHILD ENDANGERING	0	3		1	2
CONT. SUBST. FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION	2	2		0	0
CPO/TPO VIOLATION	1	2		2	2
CRIMINAL DAMAGING	1	6		1	4
CRIMINAL MISCHIEF	1	1		0	1
CRIMINAL TRESPASS	3	3		2	5
CRUELTY TO ANIMALS	1	1		0	1
DECPT/DANGER. DRUGS	0	0		1	1
DOC	3	8		1	2
DOC/DRUNK & DISORDERLY	0	0		0	1
DOC/FIGHTING	0	0		0	1
DOC/INTOX	2	4		2	10
DOC/PERSISTENT	0	1		0	1
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE/ASSAULT	4	11		10	22
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE/MENACING	0	1		0	1
DRUG ABUSE	12	39		10	48
DRUG PARAPHERNALIA	16	46		16	51
DUS	2	14		8	31
FALSIFICATION	2	6		3	7
FLEEING	0	1		0	1
FORGERY AND COUNTERFEITING	0	1		0	2
FRAUD	0	0		0	2
FTA ARREST/WARRANT	25	69		37	84
FTC ARREST/WARRANT	2	6		11	16
ILL DRUG DOCUMENTS	0	2		0	0
IMPROPER HANDLING OF FIREARMS	0	1		0	0
KIDNAPPING	0	0		0	2
LIQUOR LAWS	1	4		0	0
LITTERING	0	0		1	2
MENACING	13	1		7	1
MINOR CONSUMPTION	0	32		0	13
MINOR POSSESSION	9	11		3	13
MURDER/NON NEGLIGENT MANSLAUGHTER	0	3		0	1
OBSTRUCTING OFFICIAL BUSINESS	3	4		0	8
OBSTRUCTION	0	1		0	0
OMVUAC	0	2		0	1
OPEN CONTAINER	1	3		3	5
OVI (DUI, OMVI, etc)	50	234		32	105

### ADULT ARREST SUMMARY

ACTUAL NUMBER OF ADULTS ARRESTED	JULY-SEPT 2012 TOTAL	2012 YTD TOTAL	VS	JULY-SEPT 2011 TOTAL	2011 YTD TOTAL
<b>TOTAL</b>	95	365		122	323

BREAKDOWN OF CHARGES	JULY-SEPT 2012 TOTAL	2012 YTD TOTAL	VS	JULY-SEPT 2011 TOTAL	2011 YTD TOTAL
OVI PER SE (aka OMVI PER SE)	21	106		17	44
OVI REFUSAL	7	23		4	14
PCT	0	4		0	0
PHYSICAL CONTROL	1	2		3	6
POSS/MARIJUANA, HASHISH, ETC.	9	13		0	3
POSS/OPIUM, COCAINE, HEROIN, ETC.	0	1		1	4
POSS/SYNTHETIC/MANUFACTURED-DRUGS	0	1		0	4
PUBLIC INDECENCY	1	2		1	1
RESISTING ARREST	0	1		0	2
ROBBERY	0	4		0	2
RSP	1	12		2	9
SAFECRACKING	0	0		1	1
SALE MARIJUANA	1	1		0	0
SALE OPIUM, COCAINE, HEROIN, ETC.	0	0		0	3
SEX OFFENSES/EXCEPT RAPE+PROSTUTION	0	1		0	0
SOLICITOR W/O PERMIT	2	4		0	0
TELEPHONE HARASSMENT/HARASSING CALL	0	1		1	2
THEFT-LARCENY/NON MOTOR VEHICLE	14	35		13	47
VANDALISM	0	1		1	2
VEHICULAR HOMICIDE	0	0		0	1
WEAPONS:CCW, POSSESSING, ETC.	1	2		0	1
ALL OTHER OFFENSES EXCEPT TRAFFIC	0	2		0	3
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>760</b>		<b>211</b>	<b>626</b>

## JUVENILE ARREST SUMMARY

ACTUAL NUMBER OF JUVENILES ARRESTED	JULY-SEPT 2012 TOTAL	2012 YTD TOTAL	VS	JULY-SEPT 2011 TOTAL	2011 YTD TOTAL
<b>TOTAL</b>	49	135		67	132

BREAKDOWN OF CHARGES	JULY-SEPT 2012 TOTAL	2012 YTD TOTAL	VS	JULY-SEPT 2011 TOTAL	2011 YTD TOTAL
AGG MENACING	0	1		0	0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	0	0		0	1
ALL OTHER OFFENSES EXCEPT TRAFFIC	0	0		0	1
ARSON	0	0		0	1
ASSAULT	0	6		1	9
ATT THEFT	0	1		0	0
CRIMINAL DAMAGING	4	10		2	5
CRIMINAL MISCHIEF	2	2		5	9
CRIMINAL TRESPASS	0	3		5	5
CURFEW AND LOITERING LAW VIOLATIONS	19	33		35	39
DOC	0	0		0	2
DOC/FIGHTING	0	0		0	7
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE/ASSAULT	0	3		1	6
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE/MENACING	0	1		0	0
DRUG ABUSE	4	16		3	11
DRUG PARAPHERNALIA	7	21		4	12
DUS	0	0		0	1
FALSIFICATION	1	1		1	1
LIQUOR LAWS	0	1		0	0
MENACING	0	1		0	0
MINOR CONSUMPTION	15	29		21	35
MINOR POSSESSION	4	14		2	5
MISUSE CREDIT CARD	0	0		1	1
OBSTRUCTING OFFICIAL BUSINESS	0	4		1	1
OBSTRUCTION	0	1		0	0
OVI (DUI, OMVI, etc)	0	2		1	4
OVI PER SE (aka OMVI PER SE)	0	1		0	0
OVI REFUSAL	0	0		0	1
PCT	0	1		0	0
POSS/MARIJUANA, HASHISH, ETC.	2	4		0	5
RESISTING ARREST	1	1		0	0
RSP	0	7		1	2
SALE MARIJUANA	0	1		0	1
TAMPERING W/RECORDS	0	0		0	1
TELEPHONE HARASSMENT/HARASSING CALL	0	1		0	1
THEFT-LARCENY/NON MOTOR VEHICLE	4	13		5	12
TOBACCO LAW	0	1		0	2
VANDALISM	0	5		0	0
WEAPONS:CCW, POSSESSING, ETC.	1	2		1	2
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>187</b>		<b>90</b>	<b>183</b>

### ACCIDENTS

TYPE OF ACCIDENT	JULY-SEPT 2012 TOTAL	2012 YTD TOTAL	VS	JULY-SEPT 2011 TOTAL	2011 YTD TOTAL
FATAL	0	2		0	0
FATAL PRIVATE PROPERTY	0	0		0	0
PROPERTY DAMAGE	139	388		147	416
HIT SKIP	1	1		0	0
PRIVATE PROPERTY	28	82		15	84
PRIVATE PROPERTY HIT SKIP	1	3		0	6
INJURY ACCIDENT	63	154		58	156
INJURY HIT SKIP	0	0		0	0
PRIVATE PROPERTY INJURY	1	3		2	3
PRIVATE PROPERTY INJURY HIT SKIP	0	0		0	0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>233</b>	<b>633</b>		<b>222</b>	<b>665</b>

## ACCIDENT ANALYSIS July - September 2012

July 1, 2012 through September 30, 2012 there were 233 reported traffic accidents, resulting in an average 2.53 accidents/day. Compared to 2011, accidents increased 4.95% (2012 = 233; 2011 = 222).

Personal injury accidents (64) accounted for 27.5% of the total. Property damage accidents accounted for the majority of our activity with 140 reports being filed (60.1%). Private property collisions accounted for 29 (12.4%) reports being filed.


District 2 experienced the majority of accidents (61 or 26.2%) followed closely by District 5 with 57 accidents (24.5%). There were 51 collisions in District 4 (21.9%), 47 collisions in District 1 (20.2%) and in District 3, 17 accidents (7.3%). The busiest day of the week for accident activity for the reporting period was Tuesday with 47 accidents (20.2%) reported. The second busiest day was Wednesday with 40 accidents (17.2%) reported. Accident activity for the remaining days of the week were as follows: Sunday = 19 (8.2%); Monday = 34 (14.6%); Thursday = 36 (15.5%); Friday = 35 (15.0%); and Saturday = 22 (9.4%).

The most frequent contributing factor was Following Too Closely, which accounted for 94 or 40.3% of the accidents. The next most recurrent contributing factor was Failure To Control accounting for 27 or 11.6% of the accidents for the reporting period. The busiest time of day for accident activity was between 5PM-6PM with 31 (13.3%) accidents.


Eight (8) crashes were identified as having been alcohol related (3.4%). The drivers in these accidents were arrested and charged with OVI. One hundred eighty-five (185) citations were issued to at fault drivers as a result of their accidents (79.4%).

### ACCIDENTS BY DISTRICT & CLASSIFICATION


DISTRICT	PERSONAL INJURY	PROPERTY DAMAGE	PRIVATE PROPERTY	TOTAL
D1	13	31	3	47
D2	20	30	11	61
D3	5	12	0	17
D4	9	29	13	51
D5	17	38	2	57
TOTAL	64	140	29	233



### ACCIDENTS BY DAY OF WEEK & SHIFT JULY 1 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2012



### ACCIDENTS & CITATIONS BY HOUR JULY 1 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2012



## ACCIDENT ANALYSIS January - September 2012

January 1, 2012 through September 30, 2012 there were 633 reported traffic accidents, resulting in an average 2.31 accidents/day. Compared to 2011, accidents decreased 4.81% (2012 = 633 ; 2011 = 665).

Personal injury accidents (158) accounted for 25.0% of the total (2 fatalities). Property damage accidents accounted for the majority of our activity with 390 reports being filed (61.6%). Private property collisions accounted for 85 (13.4%) reports being filed.


District 2 experienced the majority of accidents (164 or 25.9%) followed by District 1 with 149 accidents (23.5%). There were 56 collisions in District 3 (8.8%), 122 collisions in District 4 (19.3%) and in District 5, 142 accidents (22.4%). The busiest day of the week for accident activity for the reporting period was Wednesday with 114 accidents (18.0%) reported. The next busiest day was Thursday with 107 accidents (16.9%) reported. Accident activity for the remaining days of the week were as follows: Sunday = 55 (8.7%); Monday = 97 (15.3%); Tuesday = 98 (15.5%); Friday = 97 (15.3%); and Saturday = 65 (10.3%).

The most frequent contributing factor was Following Too Closely, which accounted for 216 or 34.1% of the accidents. The next most recurrent contributing factor was Failure To Control accounting for 89 or 14.1% of the accidents for the reporting period. The busiest time of day for accident activity was between 5PM-6PM with 89 (14.1%) accidents.


Eighteen (18 ) crashes were identified as having been alcohol related (2.8%). The drivers in these accidents were arrested and charged with OVI. Two hundred and ninety (290) citations were issued to at fault drivers as a result of their accidents (74.9%).

### ACCIDENTS BY DISTRICT & CLASSIFICATION


DISTRICT	PERSONAL INJURY	PROPERTY DAMAGE	PRIVATE PROPERTY	TOTAL
D1	28	101	20	149
D2	49	91	24	164
D3	15	38	3	56
D4	26	64	32	122
D5	40	96	6	142
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>390</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>633</b>



### ACCIDENTS BY DAY OF WEEK & SHIFT JANUARY 1 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2012



### ACCIDENTS & CITATIONS BY HOUR JANUARY 1 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2012



# DETECTIVE SECTION

## January – September 2012

### *CASE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY*

<b>Category</b>	<b>2012 YTD</b>
A. Cases for the Reporting Period:	829
B. New Cases Assigned for the Reporting Period:	153
C. Cases Assigned/Investigated by Patrol for the Reporting Period:	53
D. Cases Initially Inactivated for the Reporting Period:	282
E. Cases Active at the Start of the Reporting Period (Old Cases):	---
F. Cases Cleared for the Reporting Period:	115
1. Unfounded:	8
2. Inactive:	41
3. Cleared by Arrest/Charges Filed (Adult):	26
4. Cleared by Arrest/Charges Filed (Juvenile):	3
5. Exceptionally Cleared (Adult):	4
6. Exceptionally Cleared (Juvenile):	1
7. Administratively Closed:	21
G. Active Cases at the End of the Reporting Period:	---

**Total successfully cleared cases year to date = 29.5%**

### *ORGANIZED CRIME AND VICE*

<b>Organized Crime and Vice</b>	<b>2012 YTD</b>
Prostitution Cases for the Reporting Period:	1
Illegal Gambling Cases for the Reporting Period:	0
Illegal Use/Sale of Alcohol Cases/Charges for the Reporting Period:	52
Drug Related Cases/Charges for the Reporting Period:	50
Detective Bureau Initiated Drug Cases	0

### *JUVENILE CASE REFERRAL FUNCTION*

<b>Category</b>	<b>2012 YTD</b>
A. Juvenile Case Referrals forwarded to the Juvenile Function for the Reporting Period:	117
B. Juvenile Case Referrals Active at the Start of the Reporting Period (Old):	---
C. Juvenile Case Referrals Active at the End of the Reporting Period:	---
D. Juvenile Case Referral Dispositions:	122
1. Diversion/Office Level:	79
2. Juvenile Charges Filed:	23
3. No Further Action:	20
4. Referral to Other Agency:	0
5. Missing Persons/Runaways:	4

# COMMUNITY EDUCATION UNIT

April – June 2011

## **Community Concerns:**

The Dublin Division of Police continues to address concerns identified in the community survey. This survey revealed the “Top Five Policing Issues” as identified by Dublin residents.

The division collects information from a variety of sources in order to garner feedback to ensure that resources continue to be allocated toward the main concerns of the community. Several of the main concerns voiced by the community in this survey are listed below.

1. Reduce Property Crimes
2. Readiness to deal with major crimes and emergencies
3. Solving crimes
4. OVI Enforcement
5. Responding to an addressing school crime

The survey also revealed the “Top Five Most Important Police Programs” as identified by Dublin residents.

1. Crime Reduction Programs
2. Neighborhood Watch
3. Traffic Enforcement Unit
4. School Resource Officers
5. DARE

A survey of Dublin Division of Police personnel also revealed what are believed to be among the “Top 5 Community Issues” that the division should focus efforts toward addressing.

1. Reduce property crimes
2. Traffic enforcement
3. Reduce traffic crashes
4. Readiness to respond to critical incidents
5. Substance abuse issues

The Dublin Division of Police collects information about community concerns and crime from other sources including;

1. Internet sources such as; City of Dublin website, and social media.
2. City Council and other meetings attended by police personnel.
3. Direct feedback to officers on patrol and in the schools.
4. Quarterly surveying of public contacted by police on traffic stop or call for service.

As part of the Dublin Division of Police’s continuing efforts to better understand community and residents' concerns, the Dublin Police sent an e-mail to select civic and homeowner association leaders and asked them to take a few minutes to complete an online survey. This survey process takes place every 90 days. Each survey will sample different civic, homeowner, and/or business association leaders and ask them to complete this survey. This survey is administered by the division’s Law Enforcement

Planner Mr. Tom Hirschy and is completed periodically throughout the year. This information is retained in this report as it contains relevant factors affecting police activity for all of 2012. Sections of this survey are included herein, which amplify concerns of the community and how our division has addressed them.

**Question 3: Can you describe any potential problems that could have a bearing on local law enforcement activities within your neighborhood?**

Lakes at Dunmere Condo Association – “We had vandalism in the past, should it reoccur we will be in touch.”

Bellaire-Limerick Civic Association – “The potential for someone being hit by accident.”

Riverside Woods Homeowner Association – “So far, we haven't had any break-ins that I know of. We are a small neighborhood that looks out for each other.”

**Question 4: Do you have any recommended actions to address any current concerns or potential problems in your neighborhood?**

Lakes at Dunmere Condo Association – “N/A”

Bellaire-Limerick Civic Association – “An occasional patrol particularly in the late afternoon after high school.”

Riverside Woods Homeowner Association – “Keep patrolling the area. Your presence is a deterrent.”

**Question # 5: Have the Dublin Police made any progress toward addressing any previously identified concerns and/or problems in your neighborhood? If so or if not, please explain.**

Lakes at Dunmere Condo Association – “Yes. The vandalism has stopped. We had a community meeting with police and have a contact should it reoccur.”

Bellaire-Limerick Civic Association – NO ANSWER PROVIDED.

Riverside Woods Homeowner Association – “Haven't had issues with crime thankfully. We have one home here that's a concern because it's declared uninhabitable by Code Enforcement & Franklin County Department of Health. I worry that this house could be a target for theft.”

**Law Enforcement Concerns:**

Law enforcement concerns during this period were focused on crime trends within the community. Law enforcement concerns were developed by collecting and assessing information from the community, and application of division goals and objectives.

Significant law enforcement concerns for this period:

1. Burglary

Of the reported burglary reports some indicated no visible force was used while others showed some type of force to enter the dwelling. This type of crime has trended down and continues to occur at a low level overall. The primary targets of the burglaries have been: laptop computers, jewelry, Playstation game systems, Ipods/Ipads, currency, etc..

2. Theft from vehicles. This type of crime continues to be a challenge and demands division attention and resources. This crime is affecting the greater central Ohio region, and cooperation with other agencies to prevent and solve thefts are on-going. Specifically, the division is focused on reducing theft from parked vehicles. The majority of these thefts occur in large parking lots and in residential areas overnight. Crime analysis has led to significant patrol efforts being focused on these “hot spot” theft environments.

### 3. Traffic related problems and crashes on roadways

Traffic crashes continue to challenge division efforts and resources. Division strategies are very effective at managing daily traffic flow and occasional traffic problems such as inoperative traffic signals, weather related issues, and road construction.

Reduction of traffic crashes continues as a goal of the division. Significant resources are dedicated to this effort. Most crashes thus far in 2012 have occurred in areas of high traffic volume and tend to occur within or near the locations below.

#### **Common Crash Locations in 2012 thru Sept**

US 33 Corridor (SR 745 to Avery Road)
SR 257 Corridor (SR 161 to Hard)
I270 Corridor (Sawmill Road to US 33)
Avery-Muirfield Drive Corridor (Shier Rings to Post)
Frantz Road Corridor (US 33 to Rings)

4. Preparation for emergencies, critical incidents, and issues of significant community concern. The issues identified by the survey of selected homeowner and civic association leaders are consistently the same or similar to those targeted by Dublin Police. The top 4 concerns generally fall into the categories listed below.

The primary collective concerns articulated in this survey were:

1. Traffic Concerns – There were only two traffic concerns: 1) a speeding “teen” in the Bellaire-Limerick area, and 2) large dump truck traffic in the area of Riverside Woods.
2. Crime Concerns – There were no crime concerns.
3. Disorder Concerns – There were no disorder concerns.
4. Police Service Concerns – None of the neighborhoods had any major concerns about police services. However, the Bellaire-Limerick Association respondent did indicate that they never see a patrol car in the neighborhood. He further articulated that it was rare to see a patrol car at all except on I-270. The respondent also indicated that this was rather frustrating

As a goal driven organization the Dublin Division of Police continues to focus efforts on 2012 goals of;

1. Reduce thefts throughout the city.
2. Reduce burglaries/breaking and entering throughout the city.
3. Reduce traffic crashes occurring in the city.
4. Readiness for critical incidents, major crimes, and issues of significant community concern.

The most common crime issue during this period continued to be theft related crimes.

#### **Recommended Actions:**

##### **Burglary and Theft from vehicles**

The division continues the “Like It – Lock It” campaign.

The prevention and reduction of thefts and burglary continues to be a division-wide effort.

Prevention and enforcement actions are recommended to include;

1. Conducting extra patrols in high theft areas as identified by crime analysis
2. Conducting surveillance operations to deter and detect crime
3. Aggressively investigating related cases
4. Increase liaison with the business and civic leadership in Dublin to promote stronger relationships toward enhance prevention efforts.

### **Traffic related problems and traffic crashes**

The division continues all efforts to make the roadways safer in Dublin. Although crashes continued to occur the number has been maintained at or near the shift goals.

Detailed analysis of crash data allows shifts to track activity and intelligently apply resources. An example of this type of tracking and analysis is given below.

The following strategies should continue;

1. Complete traffic education, prevention and targeted enforcement efforts focusing on the stated top accident corridors.
2. Continue prevention and enforcement efforts with a focus on factors most likely to cause crashed.

The primary causative factors for traffic crashes included:

- Following Too Closely
- Failure to Control
- Failure to Yield
- Improper Lane Change
- Driver Inattention

### **Preparation for emergencies, critical incidents, and issues of significant community concern.**

The division continues preparation for emergencies, critical incidents, and issues of significant community concern. These preparations ensure the division's readiness for incidents which may occur unexpectedly, and for the number of special events the city hosts.

The division continues to send officers to training courses aimed at strengthening core competency in areas related to this division goal.

The division continues the internal training focused on preparing units to effectively respond and support related occurrences. This includes training, exercises, and drills conducted at the shift level, and intra-agency activity involving multiple units.

### **Progress made towards addressing previously identified concerns and problems:**

There has been positive progress made toward the reduction of theft from vehicles. Significant division resources have been used to target this crime in a variety of ways from extra patrol in high theft areas to conducting special surveillance. Timely and actionable information was provided to officers to maximize prevention and enforcement efforts.

Theft from vehicles, and theft in general has been held close to or below 2011 levels.

Numerous crime alerts were distributed to the community to educate about theft issues, and promote cooperation toward identifying and apprehending offenders.

## **Description**

Since August 21, 2012 to present, the Dublin Police have received four (4) calls for service concerning theft of car batteries from vehicles parked in parking lots throughout the city. Columbus, Westerville, and the Ohio State campus have reported similar thefts over the past week or so.

It appears that the thieves are targeting vehicles where they can easily access the car battery. It appears that the lead content inside each battery is worth about \$20.00 so this may be the reason behind these thefts.

## **Dublin Calls**

CFS 122340149 – On 8/21/2012 between 0800 hours and 1430 hours, unknown person(s) stole a car battery from a 2011 Jeep Wrangler parked in the parking lot of Dublin Methodist Hospital (7500 Hospital Drive).

CFS 122340184 – On 8/21/2012 between unknown person(s) stole a car battery from a 1999 Jeep parked in the parking lot of Nationwide (5525 Parkcenter Drive). See Case 12-2852.

CFS 122400205 – On 8/27/2012 between 1000 hours and 1600 hours, unknown person(s) stole a car battery (other items) from a 2001 Saturn 4-Door parked in the parking lot of Lowe's (6555 Dublin Center Drive). See Case 12-2920.

CFS 122400215 – On 8/27/2012 between 0830 hours and 1730 hours, unknown person(s) stole a car battery from a 1999 Honda Civic parked in the parking lot of OCLC (6565 Kilgour Place).

## **Suspect Vehicle**

In one instance, a suspicious vehicle was reported at Nationwide which was described as a silver Toyota Tacoma. Another agency described the suspect vehicle as being a striped or two-toned colored Ford Ranger or Chevrolet S-10.

Please pass this message along to your security and/or employees that if they observe any suspicious vehicles or suspicious activities in parking lots, please contact the Dublin Police immediately at 614-889-1112.

The rate of theft in the schools has been significantly decreased over the past 2 years. The 2011 rate of theft was low and the rate thus far in 2012 is tracking low too.

Theft in the schools has gone down significantly over the past 2 years, and remains low overall. The majority of thefts are spread among the 7 school buildings serviced by School Resource Officers. The thefts are almost without exception the result of property left in the open, unlocked lockers, or probably lost and reported stolen. Preventing the conditions that facilitate thefts of opportunity is the greatest challenge in the school environment.

The division has sustained the CAPITAL networking group. CAPITAL (Corporate and Police Information Teamwork and Liaison) meets every other month and provides a venue for police and corporate and civic organizations to share resources and discuss crime and safety related issues.

This group continues to be a point of cooperation and leverage toward reducing crime in the city and preparing resources for solving mutual issues.

CAPITAL Partners,

Over the past 24 hours or so, Dublin Police have received two (2) calls about thefts from vehicles where car batteries were stolen out of Jeep Wranglers parked in parking lots.

I have heard that Westerville and the OSU campus have recently experienced similar thefts.

It appears that the thieves are targeting Jeep Wranglers because their hoods can be easily manipulated to be opened. It appears that the lead content inside each battery is worth about \$20.00 so this may be the reason behind these thefts.

A "possible" suspect vehicle to watch out for could be a Ranger or S-10 short bed light pickup truck, darker in color possibly with stripes or two colors on the truck. This vehicle may also have an extended cab.

If you observe any suspicious vehicles or suspicious activities in your parking lots, please contact the Dublin Police immediately at 614-889-1112.

The overall crime rate has generally remained lower than comparable 2011 levels.

The division maintained focused efforts toward traffic safety and the reduction of crashes. Patrol shifts increased enforcement efforts and division leaders regularly discussed problem areas and possible solutions. An awareness of and focus on the top 5 crash locations was maintained in order to reduce crashes and enhance overall safety on the roadways.

The top crash locations continue to be a focus area for enforcement by patrol officers, and other traffic safety initiatives.

Overall traffic crashes have been kept close to 2011 levels. Weather and other factors sometimes cause temporary increases in the volume of crashes. Traffic safety efforts have continued to contribute to safety with the completion of infrastructure enhancements such as crosswalks and additional enforcement activities.

School Resource Officers have access to and educate the most at risk driver population in our community. The division directly contributes to driver safety by the delivery of D.A.R.E. and related classroom lessons presented upon demand. The education of young drivers and creation of a safe driving atmosphere on school campuses supports the overall accomplishment of this objective. During this reporting period these officers complete planning and training for the new school year starting in September.

Below are the areas where School Resource Officers contribute the most toward achieving this objective.

1. Delivery of the High School D.A.R.E. Program
2. Delivery of multiple classes related to safe driving in support of teacher lessons.
3. Completed planning and partnered with local civic groups and business to deliver to Kiwanis Teen Driver Roadeo in September.
4. Delivered the final class of Street Safe and facilitated the transition to refer youth offenders to "Alive at 25."
5. Conducted traffic enforcement details in the vicinity of schools.
6. Officers participated in the creation of school service announcements focused on driver safety issues.
7. Officers participated in discussion and driver safety efforts by local organizations, i.e. ACT, PERC, MADD.

The division conducted training events and exercises to enhance readiness for critical incidents and events of significant community concern.

The division conducted several internal training events.

Examples of supporting accomplishments are given below.

1. Sworn division personnel completed firearms, tactics, and other professional training.
2. Shifts completed drills, exercises and inspections in support of readiness.
3. Members of the Community Education Unit completed emergency plans updates, drills, planning with Dublin City Schools in support of increased readiness.
4. Personal Defense Program (PDP) was delivered.

**Other Significant Law Enforcement Issues:**

Division personnel supported several other activities during this period that required law enforcement planning and resources.

1. Direct support to and from other agencies in support of investigations and law enforcement.
2. Planning for and execution of law enforcement and security operations at the Annual July 4<sup>th</sup> and Dublin Irish Festivals.

**Community Relations Activity and Crime Prevention Events:**

Officers completed station tours and visits to schools and youth groups as requested.

Civic and homeowner association meetings attended by officers.

Continued the "Meet the Chief of Police" events.

Distributed "Anti-Theft Stickers" to local hotels

Conducted the Personal Defense Program (PDP) for community groups.

Promoted Neighborhood Watch

Attended other community events and supported related requests.

**Neighborhood Watch Program and Civic Associations:**

Attended Neighborhood Watch meetings and distributed information to local groups.

Division representatives attended civic association meetings to share crime and safety related information and to collect community concerns and recommendations.

Communication maintained with these groups toward greater sharing of information.

**Operation KidPrint and Block Parent Programs:**

Provided "Kid Facts Kits" directly to residents and at community events.

**Personal Defense Program:**

This popular program is delivered to the schools and community groups on demand when resources permit. During this period programs were planned with community groups. This program continues to be very popular within the community.

**Police Interns:**

None during this period.

**Citizen Police Academy:**

Planning near completion and class roster formed for the initial delivery of this program in August 2012.

## **D.A.R.E. and School Resource Officer Programs**

These programs continue to make direct contributions to improving the safety and educational environments in which school resource officers are assigned.

Community Education Officers are tasked with contributing to the overall safety of their assigned school campuses. Maintaining this condition of safety and security directly contributes to the division's efforts toward achieving this goal. School Resource Officers are the division's liaisons with this important component of the community, and ensure that these buildings and their student and staff populations receive quality law enforcement and related services.

Below are the areas where officers have most contributed to this objective.

1. Conducted school security and safety assessments and make recommendations for change and/or improvement.
2. Attended safety meetings and function as key members of school safety teams.
3. Conducted safety related meetings and training classes,. i.e., Personal Defense Program, Safe Escape.
4. Shared information within the division on suspects and crimes in support of on-going investigations.
5. Worked in cooperation with detective bureau to conduct surveillance operations and support related details.

## **Quality of Service Survey:**

This survey program gleans information from randomly selected recipients and gives the division useful feedback on the quality of service provided. Although not statistically significant in quantity, the surveys do provide useful information to division leadership on how officers are performing and perceptions within the community about the division. The survey is limited in scope as it only reaches people that have called police for some reason or been contacted via a traffic stop. Respondent survey return rates vary greatly throughout reporting periods. Data from adjoining reporting periods is sometimes combined to provide enough returns to report meaningful results.

Survey results for this reporting period will be presented in the final 2012 quarterly report.