



**Land Use and Long
Range Planning**

5800 Shier Rings Road
Dublin, Ohio 43016-1236

phone 614.410.4600
fax 614.410.4747

www.dublinohiousa.gov

ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW TEAM

MEETING MINUTES

JULY 24, 2014

ART Members and Designees: Steve Langworthy, Planning Director; Gary Gunderman, Planning Manager; Alan Perkins, Washington Township Fire Marshal; Fred Hahn, Director of Parks and Open Space; Barb Cox, Engineering Manager; Jeff Tyler, Building Standards Director; Colleen Gilger, Economic Development Director; Laura Ball, Landscape Architect; and Ray Harpham, Commercial Plans Examiner.

Other Staff: Rachel Ray, Planner II; Claudia Husak, Planner II; Joanne Shelly, Urban Designer/Landscape Architect; Devayani Puranik, Planner II; Marie Downie, Planner I; Andrew Crozier, Planning Assistant; Logan Stang, Planning Assistant; Nicki Martin, Planning Assistant; and Laurie Wright, Staff Assistant.

Applicants: Russ Hunter, Crawford Hoying Development Partners, James Peltier, EMH&T; and Teri Umbarger, BHDP Architects (Cases 2 & 3).

Steve Langworthy called the meeting to order. He asked if there were any amendments to the July 17, 2014, meeting minutes. He confirmed that the ART members had sent their modifications to Ms. Wright prior to the meeting. The minutes were accepted into the record as amended.

DETERMINATION

**1. Verizon Wireless Co-Location – Avery Park Water Tower
14-067ARTW**

**7697 Avery Road
Administrative Review**

Rachel Ray said this is a request for Verizon to replace six panel antennas and install three remote radio heads and one OVP distribution box on the Avery Park water tower. She said this is a request for review and approval of a wireless communications facility under the provisions of Chapter 99 of the Dublin Code of Ordinances.

Ms. Ray reported the proposed removal and replacement of equipment is consistent with the existing antennas at the top of the tower, and will be installed at a height of approximately 187 feet above grade. She said no ground modifications are proposed.

She stated that approval is recommended with three conditions:

- 1) That the new equipment should be unobtrusive and maintain similar color;
- 2) That any associated cables are trimmed to fit closely to the panels; and
- 3) That the applicant notifies Engineering prior to installation to coordinate access and installation of the antenna panels.

Steve Langworthy asked the ART if there were any questions or concerns regarding this application. [There were none.] He confirmed the ART's approval of this request for a wireless communications facility with three conditions.

CASE REVIEWS

2. Bridge Park East – Mixed-Use Development Project Riverside Drive and W. Dublin-Granville Road 14-070BPR/PP Basic Plan Review/Preliminary Plat

Rachel Ray said this is a request for preliminary review for six new blocks for future development on approximately 27.3 acres, in addition to new public rights-of-way for a future mixed-use development on the east side of Riverside Drive, south of the future John Shields Parkway, west of Tuller Ridge Drive, and north of West Dublin-Granville Road. She said this is a request for review and recommendation of approval to the Planning and Zoning Commission for a Basic Development Plan Review application under the provisions of Zoning Code Section 153.066(D). She said this is also a review and recommendation of approval to the Commission and City Council for a Preliminary Plat Review under the provisions of the Subdivision Regulations.

Ms. Ray said she and other staff members had met with the applicant yesterday afternoon to review the streetscapes and referenced an Exhibit the applicant had supplied showing the proposed right-of-way configuration for "Park Avenue."

James Peltier, EMH&T, explained how they have realigned the right-of-way for Park Avenue to follow the roadway curvature and noted the difference at the intersection with Mooney Street.

Ms. Ray confirmed that relocating the cycletrack to the sidewalk side of the planter would allow for additional walkway area.

Barb Cox said she understood and thought the right-of-way was shown appropriately.

Russ Hunter, Crawford Hoying Development Partners, asked if the cycletrack should be the same material as the sidewalk, or a different material.

Fred Hahn suggested that the cycletrack on Park Avenue should have the same materials as the sidewalk but maybe a six-inch band could differentiate the space between the cycletrack and sidewalk. Ms. Cox agreed.

Steve Langworthy asked how many parallel parking spaces were shown.

Ms. Cox said they may have to reduce the number of parallel parking spaces to accommodate an appropriate number of ADA-accessible spaces. She assumed the two blocks along Park Avenue would need at least one.

Mr. Langworthy asked what the requirement was for the number of accessible spaces. Ms. Cox indicated that it was based on the total number of parking spaces provided. Mr. Langworthy asked if the spaces needed to be differentiated between public parking and garages. Ms. Cox said handicap spaces need to ramp onto a sidewalk, be slightly longer than regular eight-foot parallel spaces and an appropriate number of spaces will be needed for public streets. She said once the buildings are designed, the number of ADA spaces within the garage can be determined. Mr. Langworthy asked at which point that was dealt with. Ms. Cox answered sooner rather than later.

Joanne Shelly asked if the curb could be pushed down rather than providing a ramp. Ms. Cox answered that was not possible as they would have to do a whole section of curbing in that manner, and that would not work in this instance. Mr. Hunter asked if additional parking spaces could be provided on Park Avenue closer to the intersections. Ms. Cox said maybe one more could be added, but they would need to look at it based on intersection spacing. Mr. Langworthy suggested that maybe that is where the handicap spaces are provided.

Ms. Cox said the intent is to make this area highly active in terms of pedestrian activity so she suggested placing one or two accessible spaces on Riverside Drive and one or two accessible spaces on Mooney Street.

Mr. Langworthy inquired about the ratio of parking for office space and residential development. Mr. Hunter replied it all factored into the parking numbers. He said they could put in extra office square footage in Building C1 and B1 while still meeting and exceeding the parking requirements.

Ms. Ray questioned why the cycletracks were shown on the plans only next to the planters. Ms. Cox said it was a carry-over from concept previous project that the material would be different, and the line delineates material changes. She explained there was likely going to be a band between the sidewalk area and the cycletrack area, but staff had not yet determined the details for where it starts and stops.

Mr. Hahn confirmed that at this conceptual level, it is appropriate to just show the dimensions. Ms. Cox and Ms. Ray agreed.

Ms. Ray asked the applicant if they were comfortable with the dimensions for the right-of-way, what was on the plat, the conceptual development plan, and Park Avenue. The response was yes.

Ms. Ray asked about the provision of a minimum 12 feet of clear area in front of Building G1, as required for the shopping corridor. Mr. Peltier indicated that the shopping corridor was not expected to extend east to that block.

Mr. Hahn suggested that the applicant consider providing parking spaces designated for motorcycles, particularly if there were areas that were too small for vehicular parking spaces.

Ms. Ray reported she received an email from Brian Quackenbush earlier that day regarding Riverside Drive and switching the location of the cycletrack adjacent to the sidewalk. She said she had discussed the street section with staff, and staff had agreed that the same approach for Park Avenue could be applied to Riverside Drive for consistency.

Mr. Langworthy asked the applicant what they planned to present to the Commission. Mr. Peltier answered they would show the building footprints on the Basic Development Plan. Ms. Ray said the applicant needs sections as part of the Preliminary Plat.

Ms. Ray said she had not had a chance to meet with staff to discuss Mooney Street and whether it would be public or private at this time, but she planned to meet with staff after today's ART meeting to have a recommendation for the applicant before the end of day Friday.

Ms. Ray asked if there were any other higher level topics requiring discussion. She said she had forwarded Ms. Cox's memo on the Basic Development Plan/Preliminary Plat to the applicant and asked the applicant if they had any questions about Ms. Cox's comments at this time.

Ms. Ray offered Time Extension forms to the applicant as the ART is obligated to make a recommendation next week on all of the open applications. She asked that the forms be returned tomorrow or Monday. Ms. Ray requested electronic filings as soon as possible so she would have enough time to properly review and draft her Planning Reports. She asked if there were any further questions. Mr. Langworthy asked the applicant when we might start to see actual building plans. Mr. Hunter replied "soon." He said they were trying to get through the Basic Development Plan first.

Claudia Husak inquired about the signatures on the application form from the medical office property. Mr. Hunter said it was in progress.

Mr. Langworthy asked the ART if there were any additional questions or comments regarding this application at this time. [There were none.] He stated that the ART's recommendations to the Planning and Zoning Commission for the Basic Plan Review and to City Council for Preliminary Plat Review were scheduled for July, 31, 2014, unless the applicant decides to file a Time Extension.

3. Bridge Park East – Mixed-Use Development Project, Phase 1
14-071DP-BSC **Riverside Drive and Dale Drive**
Development Plan Review

Rachel Ray said this is a request for review and approval for four new blocks for development on approximately 17.28 acres, including new public rights-of-way for a future mixed-use development on the east side of Riverside Drive, south of the future John Shields Parkway, west of Tuller Ridge Drive, and north of West Dublin-Granville Road. She said this is a request for review and recommendation of approval to the Planning and Zoning Commission for a Bridge Street District Development Plan Review under the provisions of Zoning Code Section 153.066.

Ms. Ray stated that pending the issues surrounding the Basic Development Plan/Preliminary Plat, a Time Extension for the Development Plan (Phase 1) was recommended.

ADMINISTRATIVE

Steve Langworthy asked if there were any additional administrative issues or other items for discussion. [There were none.] The meeting was adjourned at 2:35 pm.