ARCHITECTS. ENGINEERS. PLANNERS.

OHM Advancing Communities®

October 13, 2015

Jennifer M. Rauch, AICP
Senior Planner
City of Dublin
5800 Shier Rings Road
Dublin, Ohio 43016
City, ST Zip

RE: Final Zoning Permit Review Comments

Dear Mrs. Rauch, AICP:

Attached are responses to the review comments provided to the Design Team by Staff as part of the Zoning Listing of Non-Compliance Items dated August 19th, 2015. Please review responses and associated sheets/details in correspondence as necessary.

Architectural Review Board Approval Required:

1. Items to be reviewed by ARB are to be provided by Jenny Rauch to be brought back in front of the ARB for review.

Building Type Requirements:

2. Window Trim/Details – Masonry Elevation: Windows in masonry walls shall have architecturally appropriate lintels and projecting sills.
    a. Response: At all masonry wall elevations, the stone is as proposed to the ARB, a thin-clad stone product that does not coordinate with a full depth projecting sill and head lintel detail. The manufactured stone system does come with a head and sill detail that projects an approximate ½" – ¾" from face of veneer. This product has been added to the details and coordinated into the project specifications for inclusion. See attached referenced details; 3/A-Z00, 6/A-Z00
3. Window Trim/Details – Siding Elevation: Windows in siding clad walls shall have a projecting sill to serve as a base for either a minimum 1x4 trim or brick mold casing.
    a. Response: The windows along the Historical Mixed Use Buildings will have their details updated to reflect a trim profile provided around the opening to coincide with the manufacturers' recommendation details. See attached referenced details; 8/A-Z00, 9/A-Z00. Windows at the more transitional Apartment Building were agreed upon at the ARB presentation to be more contemporary design with no trim profile details around the window head/jamb/sill condition. A window sill receptor extension will be provided to provide a detail to stop the infiltration of water into the building with a simple, clean return of the fiber cement siding at the jambs and head condition. See attached referenced details; 8/A-Z00, 9/A-Z00
4. Apartment Building 1st Story Discrepancies (A101):
    a. Private garage parking arrangement for Units 113, 116 and 118 do not match approved drawings.
        i. Response: Changes were made to the internal organization of the building to coordinate with code requirements while working with Dublin Building Department. Change necessitated in addition of stair(s) and re-organization of parking garage spaces/locations. Parking count requirements were updated as part of permit application process.

1. The owner of Unit 118 requested a modification to his garage configuration to maximize parking potentials, which deviated from the approved zoning design.

b. Door Locations
   i. Common Entry Doors between 113 & 114 as well as 115 & 116:
      1. Response: Changes were made to the internal organization of the building to coordinate with code requirements while working with Dublin Building Department. Change necessitated in addition of stair(s) that required discharge directly to the exterior of the building requiring doors along the façade of the building. These doors are not common entry doors and at for egress purposes only.
   ii. Balcony doors not depicted (North) at Unit 117 and 118:
      1. Response: As part of the coordination with individual tenant ownership developed, requests were made to modify the exterior façade rhythm for exterior entry points. Change requested to remove exterior doors to now private bedrooms for safety.

c. Structural Column Locations
   i. Columns are present in the middle of the entry ramp at North Riverview Street.
      1. Response: As part of the structural coordination of the building, improvements were made for structural column designs and integration into the building for placement and integration into the design and caisson size/location that altered previous locations of the columns at the entrance for a better design approach.
   ii. A column protrudes through the façade at the Northwest corner of Unit 118.
      1. Response: This column will be wrapped with the matching exterior wall materials to encase the column from view and maintain the required exterior envelope requirements for code. See attached detail; 7/A-Z00.

5. Apartment Building 2nd Story Discrepancies:
   a. Private garage parking arrangement for G213 and G215 do not match approved zoning – 2 car garages expanded into 3 car garages.
      i. Response: Changes were made to the internal organization of the building to coordinate with code requirements while working with Dublin Building Department. Change necessitated in addition of stair(s) and re-organization of parking garage spaces/locations. Parking count requirements were updated as part of permit application process.
   b. Structural Column Locations:
      i. Columns protrude through the East Elevation at Balconies on Units 213 – 216. How are these units boxed in to coordinate with adjacent materials.
         1. Response: A detail was generated to show exterior materials wrapping over face of column. Exterior balcony storefronts were modified for their location to be flush with the face of the concrete column to assist in alignment of the materials. Refer to Detail 1/A-Z00 and 4/A-Z00.

6. Apartment Building 3rd Story Discrepancies:
   a. Private garage parking arrangement next to Storage Units 306, 307 and 308 has been reduced to 1 car from a 2 car garage.
      i. Response: Changes were made to the internal organization of the building to coordinate with code requirements while working with Dublin Building Department. Change necessitated in addition of stair(s) and re-organization of parking garage spaces/locations. Parking count requirements were updated as part of permit application process.
   b. A balcony was previously depicted on approved zoning were Storage Units U317e and U317F are now proposed:

      i. Response: The balcony in question was retained on the elevations as part of the approved ARB process, but the building ownership had concerns for the maintenance of the balcony and security of the balcony within a limited occupied space available to the public of the building for the storage spaces. It was determined that with the view of this façade is limited,

and the overall design intent was maintained, it was better for the security and overall maintenance of the building to have the balcony removed.

c. Structural Column Locations: Columns protrude through the façade on the East elevation at balconies on Units 312 through 315. How are these units boxed in to coordinate with adjacent materials.
    i. Response: A detail was generated to show exterior materials wrapping over face of column. Exterior balcony storefronts were modified for their location to be flush with the face of the concrete column to assist in alignment of the materials. Refer to Detail 1/A-Z00 and 4/A-Z00.

7. Apartment Building 4th Story Discrepancies:
    a. Private parking garage arrangement for Garage G414 has been reduced to a 2 car from a 3 car garage. Garage G717 has been increased from a 2 car to a 3 car garage:
        i. Response: Changes were made to the internal organization of the building to coordinate with code requirements while working with Dublin Building Department. Change necessitated in addition of stair(s) and re-organization of parking garage spaces/locations. Parking count requirements were updated as part of permit application process.

8. Apartment Building 5th Story Discrepancies:
    a. Balcony Modifications:
        i. Previously approved balcony for Unit 517 has increased in size, extending to the West, and an additional balcony has been added to the West of the existing balcony at this Unit.
            1. Response: The increase in size to the previously approved balcony was done to provide access to the exterior of the building for maintenance of the building for exterior window washing. Washing/cleaning can be done from the ground, but equipment limits access to the first 4 floors. The 5th floor requires access points along the façade, via the projecting roofs/balconies already in place. These top two floors can be accessed where available from the change in the exterior wall plane that creates larger patios around the façade. The additional balcony was added to provide access to the exterior of the building for maintenance of the building for exterior window washing. These balconies are noted in the provided reference plans/elevations denoted as service balconies for the building.
        ii. The previously approved North facing balcony for Unit 516 has been increased in size (extending to the East). The previous East facing balcony has been increased in depth, and a balcony was added on the East Elevation, South of the approved corner balcony.
            1. Response: The increase in size to the previously approved balcony was done to provide access to the exterior of the building for maintenance of the building for exterior window washing. Washing/cleaning can be done from the ground, but equipment limits access to the first 4 floors. The 5th floor requires access points along the façade, via the projecting roofs/balconies already in place. These top two floors can be accessed where available from the change in the exterior wall plane that creates larger patios around the façade. The additional balcony was added to provide access to the exterior of the building for maintenance of the building for exterior window washing. These balconies are noted in the provided reference plans/elevations denoted as service balconies for the building. See Detail 3/A-/Z02.
        iii. The previously approved balconies for Units 515 and 513 (now 513 and 5140 have been increased in depth, and balconies have been added to each of these units toward the center of the building.
            1. Response: The depth of the previous approved balcony design were increased to provide a more functional layout of the balcony than what was previously designed and approved. The additional balcony was added to provide access to the exterior of the building for maintenance of the building for exterior window washing. These balconies are noted in the provided reference plans/elevations denoted as service balconies for the building.

iv. Balcony modifications to Unit 512 and the Fitness Center are the same (mirror image) as those made to Unit 516 balconies, and two new balconies have been added to the West end of Unit 512 where the fitness center was previously proposed.
    1. Response: The depth of the previous approved balcony design were increased to provide a more functional layout of the balcony than what was previously designed and approved. The additional balcony was added to provide access to the exterior of the building for maintenance of the building for exterior window washing. These balconies are noted in the provided reference plans/elevations denoted as service balconies for the building.

b. A door (North facing) to the middle balcony of Unit 516 has been added, and a door (South) has been removed from the northern balcony of Unit 515.
    1. Response: As part of the coordination with individual tenant ownership developed, requests were made to modify the entrance location to the exterior balcony based on interior organization of the unit.

c. Structural column locations:
    i. Columns protrude through façade on the East elevation at balconies on Units 514 and through 516, and at the Fitness Center.
        1. Response: A detail was generated to show exterior materials wrapping over face of column. Exterior balcony storefronts were modified for their location to be flush with the face of the concrete column to assist in alignment of the materials. Refer to Details 2/A-Z00 and 5/A-Z00.

9. Apartment Building 6th Story Discrepancies:
    a. Balcony added at NorthEast corner of the building.
        i. Response: The additional balcony was added to provide access to the exterior of the building for maintenance of the building for exterior window washing. These balconies are noted in the provided reference 2/A-Z02.
    b. Structural columns protrude through the façade on the East elevation at balconies on Units 512 and 613 through 616. How will be these be clade?
        i. Response: A detail was generated to show exterior materials wrapping over face of column. Exterior balcony storefronts were modified for their location to be flush with the face of the concrete column to assist in alignment of the materials. Refer to Details 2/A-Z00 & 5/A-Z00.

10. Apartment Building 7th Story Discrepancies:
    a. Portion of the floor plan previously identified as Lobby, now noted as Unit 714 has expanded East from which was previously recessed.
        i. Response: As part of the coordination with individual tenant ownership developed, requests were made to modify the building to accommodate interior organization of the unit.
    b. Balcony at West end of Unit 717 has been enlarged to wrap the building along the North Elevation.
        i. Response: The depth of the previous approved balcony design were increased to provide a more functional layout of the balcony than what was previously designed and approved. The additional balcony was added to provide access to the exterior of the building for maintenance of the building for exterior window washing. These balconies are noted in the provided reference plans/elevations denoted as service balconies for the building.
    c. Two doors have been added to the balcony for Unit 611, and a door has been added to the balcony for Unit 717.
        i. Response: Addition of doors have been added to coordinate with interior organization of the interior of the unit, as well as provide access to areas of the building that are being used for service of the exterior. These balconies are noted in the provided reference plans/elevations denoted as service balconies for the building.
    d. Location and size of the proposed lap pool for Unit 512 has changed.
        i. Response: Based on updated lap pool cut sheet were provided to design team to coordinate

size and required clearances from lap pool from exterior parapet.

e. Proposed privacy walls dividing the balcony at the south end of the story are labeled as frosted glass divider wall. No details have been provided.
   i. Response: Previously noted frosted glass walls have been revised to be partial height walls clad with fiber cement panels. Change to material was a concern for constructability of stabilizing walls for height necessary for separation between units. Materials match exterior façade material on building. See attached proposed detail 4/A-Z03.

11. Historic Mixed Use Building 4th story discrepancies:
    a. Northernmost portion of the building, 2nd floor of residential Unit 317 and future tenant space 403 appear to be occupying the same space of the 4th story of the building. This area was previously depicted as tenant space.
       i. Response: Future residential space 403 was developed into Unit 317 as a 2nd story floor from the unit based on the 3rd floor below. The space is capable due to grade changes occurring at 4th floor grades.
    b. Balcony is depicted on the North side of the building associated with restaurant space 401 that was not depicted on the approved drawings.
       i. Response: Balcony was previously shown previously shown but removed as submitted for ARB. Balcony was added to documents for restaurant space. Extend of balcony is within lease boundary lines as shown on civil drawings.
    c. Drafting error with curb cut into Trash Room:
       i. Response: Error has been corrected to reflect curb at West jamb into Trash Room.
    d. West facing double door to Lobby Level 4.7 have been spread apart.
       i. Response: Lobby entrance doors had to be modified for location due to addition of interior service ramp for restaurant space. Ramp required separation from stair which for code compliance necessitated adjustment to door locations.
12. Historic Mixed Use 5th story discrepancies:
    a. None
13. Vertical Façade Material Transitions: Vertical transitions in façade materials shall occur at inside corners.
    a. 1st story: All balcony sidewalls, except for corner balconies:
       i. Response: See attached detail for termination method of 2' return of exterior façade material (stone or brick). Reference 3D perspective view also included for reference of material return into balcony. Materials terminate to not be in the same plane from interior balcony material of fiber cement board and exterior corner material. See attached detail 3/A-Z01.
    b. 2nd story: All balcony sidewalls, except for corner balconies:
       i. Response: See attached detail for termination method of 2' return of exterior façade material (stone or brick). Reference 3D perspective view also included for reference of material return into balcony. Materials terminate to not be in the same plane from interior balcony material of fiber cement board and exterior corner material. See attached detail 3/A-Z01.
    c. 3rd story: All balcony sidewalls, except for corner balconies:
       i. Response: See attached detail for termination method of 2' return of exterior façade material (stone or brick). Reference 3D perspective view also included for reference of material return into balcony. Materials terminate to not be in the same plane from interior balcony material of fiber cement board and exterior corner material. See attached detail 3/A-Z01.
    d. 4th story: All balcony sidewalls, except for corner balconies:
       i. Response: See attached detail for termination method of 2' return of exterior façade material (stone or brick). Reference 3D perspective view also included for reference of material return into balcony. Materials terminate to not be in the same plane from interior balcony material of fiber cement board and exterior corner material. See attached detail 3/A-Z01.
    e. 5th story: All balcony sidewalls at several locations. One particular concern is on HMU, at North elevation where brick transfers to fiber cement siding. The approved design depicted a 2' +/- return wall. As shown, they are in the same plane.

i. Response: As a result of constructability of the North façade as it relates to the separation of the interior spaces between the business tenant space at the HMU space and the residential space due to previous location was not viable for structural support of the slab and exterior wall location as previously shown. Material transition is located on same wall construction, but material separation of thickness does not show the material to be in the same plane. Reference plan detail and 3D perspective is included for reference. See detail 4/A-Z01.

f. 6th story: All balcony sidewalls on the East elevation.

i. Response: See attached detail for termination method of 2' return of exterior façade material (stone or brick). Reference 3D perspective view also included for reference of material return into balcony. Materials terminate to not be in the same plane from interior balcony material of fiber cement board and exterior corner material. See attached detail 3/A-Z01.

g. 7th story: None.

14. Historic Mixed Use Roof Plan Discrepancies:

a. Southern tower is depicted with a pitched roof on the plan. The elevations depict this as having a flat roof as approved.

i. Response: Elevations depicting a flat roof are correct for the intended construction of the tower roof. However, even a flat roof has to have pitch to it to remove the water from the roof at minimum required pitch per manufacturer's recommendation. Roof plan is depicting that pitch within the roof material for taper to provide positive drainage from the roof. The approximate amount of pitch over the span of the roof is 1-1/4", which is shown on the elevations with small pitch at the top of the roof.

b. A single roof plan (ridge parallel to High Street) has replaced two separate roof planes with different materials.

i. Response: The roof construction was modified for constructability. The mechanical area well had to enlarge for anticipated roof top equipment sizes and necessary clearances. As a result of the increase, the termination of the roof line to the next adjacent roof. The roof valley location was creating a point where it would trap water at the building that we had to modify to ensure that long term care of the building. Refer to attached 3D perspective on 2/A-Z01 to depict that roof planes are not in a continuous façade ridge line. Roof materials are still of different material types as shown previously.

15. Pitched Roofs without Closed Ridges: Where pitched roofs without closed ridges are used, the roof ridge must be designed to appear closed as viewed from all directions, and to the extent practical from buildings of similar height.

a. Potential views from inside courtyard may not meet requirement, and potential future buildings along High Street.

i. Response: The exterior façade roof lines were designed to meet the development code across the façade as it relates to High Street building elevation. The interior courtyard view is not for any public access, but for private tenants only. All elevations were modified as much as available to block all views available without modifying previously shown and approved elevations. At the time of the submission for design approval, no information was available for any future buildings on High Street to incorporate into our design.

16. Apartment Building Roof Plan Discrepancies:

a. Roof overhang detail does not match approved drawings, and proposed revision has not been approved.

i. Response: Proposed overhang "eyebrow" will be documented and presented for ARB approval.

17. Parapet Height: The height of the parapet at the East Elevation of the HMU building, Area "C" is approximately 8' in height, where maximum height is 6'. 7/A-Z03.

a. Response: The parapet height was modified to accommodate the requirements for the parking garage ventilation. The garage is mechanically ventilated through fans that will draw air out of the garage up

through the roof. Due to the size of one fan that is mounted above the roof, and requirements for the fan to be tied into the backside of the parapet the height had to be increased to 8' overall. The second fan is mounted adjacent, but has to be installed above the elevator overrun through the roof, which also was included in the method for increasing the height of the parapet.

18. Roofing Mechanical Unit Screening: No specifications or notes are indicating the height of the rooftop HVAC equipment to verify the screening for the Apartment. No HVAC roof plan provided for the HMU building.
    a. Response: Refer to Sheet H400 for mechanical equipment specification information. Roof height around Apartment building was based on these models to screen equipment. Refer this back to enlarged HVAC plans at apartment building. Mechanical equipment at High street buildings are to be provided by future tenants and roof area wells at "D" building and metal screen walls at Area "C" building were based on anticipated sizes for comparable building uses.
19. Historic Mixed Use Building Elevation Discrepancies:
    a. Two brick "bump outs" facing private garage (North and South) have been reduced in depth.
        i. Response: The private garage entrance location was coordinated with building façade size and spacing. Product selection for the gate and necessary clearance for two way traffic up private drive necessitated in the reduction of the previously shown bump outs in the façade to allow driveway gates to open without impeding the traffic when in the open position.
    b. Vertical façade material transition at north elevation of the HMU building, where brick transitions to fiber cement siding. Design depicts an elimination of the 2' +/- showing materials essentially in the same plane.
        i. Response: As a result of constructability of the North façade as it relates to the separation of the interior spaces between the business tenant space at the HMU space and the residential space due to previous location was not viable for structural support of the slab and exterior wall location as previously shown. Material transition is located on same wall construction, but material separation of thickness does not show the material to be in the same plane. Reference plan detail and 3D perspective is included for reference. Details 4/A-Z01 and 4a/A-201.
    c. Sheet A205D (South Elevation) Approved drawings depict double windows in the area of the elevation clouded.
        i. Response: Double windows were changed to a single window due to interior coordination of the egress stairs. Stairs were modified for egress out of the building and change modified the location of the stair as it engages exterior wall. Previous double window would now be engaged into stair core.
20. Apartment Building Elevation Discrepancies:
    a. Roof overhang detail does not match approved drawings, and proposed revision has not been approved.
        i. Response: Proposed overhang "eyebrow" will be documented and presented for ARB approval.
    b. Balconies have been added or expanded in size as noted on the individual stories.
        i. Response: As noted in previous responses above, balconies were increased in sizes around building for access to exterior façade for maintenance purposes of the façade of the building.
    c. Doors have been added and/or removed as noted on the individual stories.
        i. Response: As noted in previous responses above, some doors were added/removed at individual client requests. Other doors were added for egress purposes on the East façade.
    d. Sheet A207: Roof cantilever at West end does not match approved.
        i. Response: As noted in responses above, changes to the roof overhang will be presented to the ARB.
    e. Sheet A-Z00: Lobby entrance door design at North Riverview Street. These are required to meet the Entrance Design requirements for Principal Entrances. No revisions have been made.
        i. Response: Lobby entrances have required sidelites as noted in the referenced section of the code. Entrances are flanked by low screen walls as part of the entrance and sidewalk approach. Refer to attached floor plan and 3D perspective to see detailing meets referenced

requirements. See detail 1/A-Z01.

21. Blank Wall Conditions: Blank, windowless walls are prohibited. No more than 30% of each building façade per story, and no horizontal distance greater than 15' per story shall be blank or windowless.
    a. APT Bldgn – Courtyard facing South @ 5th story.
        i. Response: At owner request, windows were limited from interior courtyard views into courtyard based on interior layouts of private tenant spaces. Waiver requested for design. Refer to revised elevations for reference that depict areas of requested waivers. Bottom A-Z313
    b. APT Bldgn – Courtyard facing North @ 5th story.
        i. Response: At owner request, windows were limited from interior views into courtyard based on interior layouts of private tenant spaces. Waiver requested for design. Refer to revised elevations for reference that depict areas of requested waivers. Top A-Z313
    c. APT Bldgn – Courtyard facing West Elevation @ 5th story, Area B, North of garage doors.
        i. Response: At owner request, windows were limited from interior views into courtyard based on interior layouts of private tenant spaces. Waiver requested for design. Refer to revised elevations for reference that depict areas of requested waivers. Top A-Z312
    d. HMU Bldgn – East elevation, Area D, three areas at 4th story:
        i. Response: Due to changes in grade elevations, windows were not shown on the 4th story in the elevation due to view would be into structural steel framing only, as well as concerns for cars navigating garage ramp were concern. Waiver requested for design. Refer to revised elevations for reference that depict areas of requested waivers. Bottom A-Z312.
    e. HMU Bldgn – East elevation, Area C, five areas at 4th story and one area at 5th story.
        i. Response: Due to changes in grade elevations, windows were not shown on the 4th story as well as the 5th story in the elevation due to view would be into structural steel framing only, as well as concerns for cars navigating garage ramp were concern. Waiver requested for design. Refer to revised elevations for reference that depict areas of requested waivers. Bottom A-Z312.
22. Balcony Depth: Balconies shall be minimum open area of 6' deep and 5' wide. Most of newly added balconies do not meet requirement.
    a. Response: As noted above in previous responses, added balconies were provided for exterior service of the building only, and not intended for the building patrons. Refer to included sheet for balcony locations, types for tenant use that meet requirements and added balconies used for building service with dimensional information of each location. Waiver requested. See 1,2,3 /A-Z02.

Site Development Standards:

23. Exterior Lighting Photometric Plan: Photometric plan is incomplete. Must include existing lighting from streets.
    a. Response: The Photometric Plan has been revised to include the potential street lights for North Riverview and North High Street for reference only. The design of the street lighting will be by the City with the North Riverview and North High Street improvements. There are currently no existing street lights on High Street along the project frontage.
24. Exterior Light Fixture Specifications: Index sheet notes that there are included in the MEP plans. Manufacturer cut sheets must be provided.
    a. Response: Refer to attached cut sheets for exterior light fixtures.
25. Pedestrian Connection along Indian Run: Several details have been provided for alignment, but no other details have been provided.
    a. Response: Coordination has been on-going with civil engineer, landscape architect with City point of contact for any additional information of the progression of the Indian Run proposed expansion to allow Design Team to provide additional details. It the understanding of the Design Team that the

path will be by the City of Dublin. We have only provided the alignment for reference and coordination purposes with the City.

26. North Retaining Wall Details: Must approve the proposed retaining wall design and materials at the North end.
    a. Response: Details are being provided for review and approval. Refer to attached Landscape details in attachment labeled 26.
27. South Retaining Wall Details: Must approve the proposed retaining wall design and materials.
    a. Response: Refer to attached Architectural sections depicting the retaining wall clad with thin veneer stone material. Revised Elevation and sections also included as result of structural retaining wall bracing required modification to the extent of the wall. See details 1,2,3,5,6/A-Z00.

28. Street Wall Height: No street wall height shall exceed 36" in height. Details 6 & 8 depict exceeding maximum height.
    a. Response: Due to existing grade elevations along High Street, approach into individual façade elevations at ADA compliant height required separation of ADA entrances from sidewalk at grade with the road. Waiver requested due to existing conditions. Only stone wall cap exceeds wall height.
29. Utility Design Enclosure: The proposed location for the Utility Enclosure is within a designated Open Space and must requirements for ancillary structures in Open Space. Must be designed to meet requirements consistent with the building material requirements. Proposed materials is not an approved material.
    a. Response: Previously submitted utility enclosure was designed of approved materials by code that were consistent with the building façade. This design was directed by ART to be modified to be a more exciting design for the Open Space. Design currently provided was reviewed by ART and approved for it's intent. Material samples will be provided and this design will be submitted to ARB for approval.
30. ADA on Street Parking Space Design: ADA parking space along North High Street does not match approved drawings. Does not depict ramps or maneuvering area.
    a. Response: This design was previously reviewed and modified to coincide with existing grades and was approved by Engineering department head. The on-street ADA parking space will be detailed and designed by the City and included with the North High Street improvements. The ADA parking location is shown for reference only and is not included as part of this plan.

Miscellaneous Information Needed/Comments:

31. Drafting errors/inconsistencies:
    a. Misplaced roof material hatch pattern at North Elevation of 6th story.
        i. Response: Will be modified and corrected as noted.
    b. Sheet A202c, 203c, North Elevation of roof area has white out area.
        i. Response: This was an error in the hatch pattern that will be modified and corrected.
    c. Sheet A205d, South Tower has coded note 21, referring to a window, but rendered as CMU.
        i. Response: Elevation has been corrected. Window was omitted and has been corrected as noted on elevation.
32. Missing Information:
    a. Updated Material Calculations:
        i. Response: As discussed with coordination meeting with ART project manager, revised material calculations have been completed and are submitted for review.
    b. Revised Balcony Calculations:
        i. Response: As noted in responses above, revised balcony calculations have been completed to document the updated balcony sizes and separately denote the balconies that are intended for service only. Also noted, we have provided a balcony plan to assist in clarification.
    c. Garage Ventilation:
        i. Response: Sheets listed are as design is intended. All garage is being handled at North and South end of garage and proceed vertically through the roof. Garage is mechanically

exhausted with continuous running fans for constant air flow, and secondary fans if activated by sensors.

d. Site Photometrics: See response to item 23.

e. Exterior Light Fixture Specifications: Manufacturer cut sheets needed for each exterior light fixture proposed.
   i. Response: Light fixture schedule noted on fixture schedule denote all intended light fixtures and their proposed model for basis of design. Additional cut sheets will be provided to coincide with fixture schedule. See attachments labeled 32E.

f. Specific Window manufacturer info:
   i. Response: Window samples were provided for finish material and a physical sample of a window was provided to Dublin. Manufacturer and model information is not depicted on the drawings, but will be included within the specifications for review of basis of design. Windows are based on the following:
      1. Aluminum Clad Wood Windows: JeldWen Window aluminum clad wood windows. Model Number: Aluminum Clad W2500. See attachment labeled 32F.
      2. Apartment Windows: Tubelite aluminum window systems. System number:HW 3700. See attachment labeled 32F.
      3. Storefront Windows at HMU: Based on Tubelite aluminum window systems. System number: Versa Therm Framing System 16.01. See attachment Labeled 32F.

Sincerely,
OHM Advisors

_____
Michael Burmeister, Architectural Project Manager

Encl: Revised Site Plan Submission
cc: File
Crawford Hoying
Brackett Builders