

**ADDENDUM NO. 1**  
**to the consultants for the Quantifying Vehicle Performance in Complex Network**  
**with Mixture of Signals and Roundabouts Leveraging Connected Vehicle**  
**Technologies Request for Proposals**  
**Due Date: July 27, 2018 (Revised: August 10, 2018)**

**TO PROSPECTIVE CONSULTANTS:** The following changes shall be made part of the request for proposals for this project:

**REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

1. *Section 1.2 states that any applications developed by the Consultant shall be open source. The following questions pertain to Vehicle to everything (V2X) software.*

*Questions:*

- A. *Can you explain the motivation behind this requirement? e.g. Is the intent to allow the City to configure the system or add feature / functional extensions to the system?*
- It is more accurate to describe the intent of this requirement that the final work product of this project be non-proprietary. It is anticipated that the consultant will create work product that may include certain scripts, algorithms, queries, and logic to manage or manipulate the data to perform analytics. The City may wish to review, duplicate, share, describe, or otherwise provide access to this work product to parties including, but not limited to, future partners, the public, or other cities. The City wants the solution delivered with no proprietary or Intellectual Property restrictions on any of the custom design, scripting, algorithms, or queries used to configure the solution and generate its analytics by the consultant.
- B. *For a V2x solution, what parts of the software stack is required to be open source? Or are the interfaces (API) supposed to be open only?*
- Consider the APIs to be non-proprietary. Consider licensed software components to be outside the scope of this requirement, whether they are Open Source or not.
- C. *Can you clarify under which open source license shall the software be released?*
- The final work product refers to work the consultant does in pursuit of the solution and not to the embedded logic of the devices or systems that serve as components to the solution, such as existing software licenses or other parts of the software stack that would be considered "off the shelf".
2. *Would the City be open to accepting a proposal from a team of experts?*
- Yes

3. *Would the City consider relaxing the fee requirement? Specifically, would the City consider a fee range based on preliminary assumptions?*
  - Yes, a fee proposal based on preliminary assumptions is acceptable due to the nature of this project. All assumptions used to develop the fee proposal must be clearly stated and included with the submission materials. Total page count of each proposal shall remain twenty (20) pages.
4. *In Section 4.2 Capacity to Work, is there a format of the statement each Proposal should follow indicating we understand the Scopes of Services and other requirements?*
  - There is no set format for this response. Each Proposal should respond to this requirement however the consultant feels best positions the firm or project team.
5. *In Task 1.5, will the Consultant be purchasing the selected devices as a project cost or will the actual devices and installation be procured by the City through a P.O. or similar?*
  - The selected devices should be considered as part of the project cost and included in the assumptions for the fee.

### **TEXT REVISIONS**

1. The language on Page 7 of 7 is revised from:

The Proposal should be submitted to the following address no later than **4:00 PM on July 27, 2018**. Proposals received after this deadline will NOT be considered.

to read:

The Proposal shall be submitted to the following address no later than **4:00 PM on August 10, 2018**. Proposals received after this deadline will NOT be considered.

**Terminus for Addendum No. 1, July 18, 2018**