

## Zachary C. Hounshell

---

**From:** Zachary C. Hounshell  
**Sent:** Monday, October 27, 2025 4:45 PM  
**To:** Mitchell Coffman  
**Cc:** Tammy J. Noble; Greg A. Jones; Bassem Bitar; Severyn, Anthony R.  
**Subject:** RE: Coffman Fence Follow-up

Mr. Coffman,

We have received your request for the Admin Appeal and will proceed with taking this to the scheduled Board of Zoning Appeals meeting on November 20<sup>th</sup>. We will follow up with additional information about the meeting in the next few weeks. If you have any additional questions, please let us know.

Best,

**Zach Hounshell**

**Planner II**

City of Dublin, Ohio

**Community Planning and Development**

5200 Emerald Parkway | Dublin, Ohio 43017

**Desk:** 614.410.4652

**Mobile:** 614.557.7483

**Email:** [zhounshell@dublin.oh.us](mailto:zhounshell@dublin.oh.us)

[Websites](#) | [Apps](#) | [Connect](#) | [Careers](#)

---

**From:** Mitchell Coffman <mecoffman1@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, October 25, 2025 2:36 PM  
**To:** Zachary C. Hounshell <zhounshell@dublin.oh.us>  
**Cc:** Tammy J. Noble <tnoble@dublin.oh.us>; Greg A. Jones <gjones@dublin.oh.us>; Bassem Bitar <bbitar@dublin.oh.us>; Severyn, Anthony R. <aseveryn@fbtlaw.com>  
**Subject:** Re: Coffman Fence Follow-up

**! External Message**

Date October 25, 2025

Subject: Under my right to Appeal the Fence Determination Communicated to me via Letter dated October 6, 2025. I am appealing this decision. This communications serves as my written

Under your directions of Appeal in your October 6, 2025 letter:

1. Specify how I believe Statt erred.

- A. The City of Dublin extended my time to provide additional Information to September 2, 2025. I Provided additional Information September 1, 2025 and this information was not considered in the determination.
- B. The City of Dublin did a site inspection (Sited in prior submissions), This person was not qualified, and did not perform a proper site assessment (Did a drive by and did not get out of his Auto), did not walk the site or talk with me or my team that were on site at this time.
- C. When the City of Dublin sent their written communis did not have the correct property address, and in discussions with the individual he stated that he copied and pasted another letter and that it was in error.
- D. Per Code replacement fence, We believe it is a fence replacement, per code 153.079 Defines a fence as "any structure composed of wood, metal, stone, plastic, cellular vinyl or other natural and permanent material erected and positioned as to enclose any premises or any part of any premises. Trellises, or any other structures supporting, or for the purpose of supporting vines, flowers and other vegetation when erected in such position as to inclose or separate any premises shall be included within the definition of the word FENCE.
- E. In My September 1, 2025, Documentation it cited 66 properties reviewed (Parcel Numbers provided) just in my one block, 21 (38%) have fences on property border lines, of the 21 fences, 13 (61.9%) did not have a fence prior Code Change in the year 2000.
- F. In a review of the Auditor's website in the year 2000, the 13 properties cited in E above, had less or no vegetation on their borders where fences were later built after the year 2000. (Note: Parce property # cited and provided to the city of dublin as evidence.
- G. Also cited in prior submissions, the fence was installed for the safety of two disabled children, on each side of the property at 7068 Anselmo Ct.
- H. In my first appeal to the Board November 2024, although replacement fence information was included in submission, (I was sworn in under oath), as I went to present this Information, the city of Dublin Planning Representative present at this 11/24 Board meeting would not allow me to present this information ( I feel my personal Rights to Appeal were violated).
- I. The City of Dublin Planning has incorrectly disallowed the Information I submitted September 1, 2025, I will present this information to the Board of Zoning.
- J. The City of Dublin Planning has on numerous occasions, changed their mode of communication, Certified Letter, to Emails, and several different individuals within the department, plus I receive communications from one person they indicate to communicate with another person (extremely confusing), so I have worked to include multiple city of Dublin personnel.

Again this serves as my written appeal request.

P.S. I do have concerns with the Planning staff presenting this information, in that there has been omission of information (Mr. Coffman's Sept 1th documentation and violation of rights at the Nov 2024 at the Board of Zoning Appeals meeting).

Sincerely,

Mitchell E. Coffman  
7068 Anselmo ct.  
Dublin Ohio  
614.804.1824  
[MECoffman1@gmail.com](mailto:MECoffman1@gmail.com)