

BOARD DISCUSSION

Architectural Review Board

Wednesday, August 12, 2020 | 6:30 pm

The Architectural Review Board took the following action at this meeting:

2. Historic District Paint Colors and Alternative Materials 20-130ADM Administra

Administrative Request – Code

Proposal: Establish a palette of pre-approved, historically appropriate paint colors

and options for a list of recommended alternative material guidelines for

the Architectural Review District and outlying historic properties.

Request: Discussion of this introduction (no vote) of the Administrative Request for

proposed amendments to the Historic Dublin Design Guidelines and the

Zoning Code under the provisions of Zoning Code Section 153.174.

Applicant: Dana L. McDaniel, City Manager, City of Dublin

Planning Contact: Nichole M. Martin, AICP, Planner II Contact Information: 614.410.4635, nmartin@dublin.oh.us Case Information: www.dublinohiousa.gov/arb/20-130

RESULT: The Board reviewed and provided feedback on updates to the proposed approved Paint Colors and Alternative Materials Document for historic properties. The Board provided direction that alternative materials should be defined in the Code and not be included in a separate document. The Board provided direction to proceed with the approved Paint Colors and Alternative Materials Document. The Board suggested the architectural styles defined in the proposed Paint Colors and Alternative Materials Document more closely align with the *Historic Dublin Design Guidelines*.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Gary Alexander Yes
Kathleen Bryan Yes
Amy Kramb Yes
Sean Cotter Yes
Frank Kownacki Yes

STAFF CERTIFICATION

—Docusigned by: McWole M. Martin

Nichole M. Martin, AICP, Planner II

PLANNING 5200 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43017 phone 614.410.4600 dublinohiousa.gov



EVERYTHING GROWS HERE.

Dublin Architectural Review Board Special Meeting Minutes of August 12, 2020 Page 3 of 6

Sandwich Board Signs (J). This Sign Type was added, per the direction given at the previous review
that this sign type should be permitted in all commercial districts. This section will match the update
being made to the Historic District Code.

The Board requested that the size and height restrictions be clarified to indicate per side of a sign. Ms. Kramb inquired how or where penalties for violations of this Code are handled.

Ms. Rauch responded that it is handled by Code Enforcement. She would clarify if it should be referenced in that section of the Code, as well-

Public Comment

There were no public comments.

Ms. Kramb moved, Mr. Kownacki seconded to recommend to the Planning and Zoning Commission that it recommend approval of the Temporary Sign Code amendment to City Council.

<u>Vote on the motion</u>: Mr. Cotter, yes; Ms. Kramb, yes; Ms. Bryan, yes; Mr. Kownacki, yes; Mr. Alexander, yes. [Motion carried 5-0]

2. Historic District Paint Colors and Alternative Materials, 20-130ADM, Administrative Request

Ms. Bryan stated that this application is a request to establish pre-approved paint colors and recommended alternative material guidelines for the Architectural Review District and outlying historic properties. No vote will be taken on these items tonight.

Case Presentation

Ms. Martin stated that over the last few years, the ARB has been engaged in reviewing proposed amendments to the Historic District Code and Historic District Design Guidelines. As part of that process, the goal was established to add clarity, create predictability and streamline the process. As part of that, the Board requested to establish a palette of pre-approved paint colors for residential and commercial property owners to select from, eliminating the need for ARB approval. Staff has prepared and requests the Board's feedback on a proposed list of pre-approved paint colors and also consideration of options to proceed with a recommended materials list. Staff has worked with the Historic Preservation consultant on preparation of this document.

The color palette provided for the Board's consideration includes historically appropriate colors, organized by architectural style or building type. If approved by the Board, staff will be able to approve administratively requests to change a paint color selected from the pre-approved palette. This will remove the need for the color request to be heard by the ARB. As proposed, the applicant would identify their building type or style from the list included in Chapter 2 of the Historic Dublin Design Guidelines. Once the type/style is determined, they would use the corresponding palette to select their preferred color for the body, trim, doors or outbuildings.

Additionally, the Board discussed establishing a pre-approved list of recommended alternative materials. However, in developing that list, some materials were identified that could be eligible for regulation. Staff requests further direction on the preparation of that list and requests that the Board consider the following options on how to move forward:

- 1) Should the list of materials and their application be included as a reference in an appendix to the Guidelines as supporting information?
- 2) Should the list of materials and their application be incorporated as clarifying language into the Code and Guidelines?
- 3) Should the ARB continue to review all exterior material changes?

- 4) Should the pre-approved list allow for staff approval capabilities if the application of the materials meets the recommendations?
- 5) Other considerations or alternatives?

Board Discussion

Color Palette

Ms. Bryan inquired if the palette is limited to the use of Sherwin Williams as the vendor (as reflected in the example), or could the applicant use another vendor.

Ms. Martin responded that the resident could take the color sample to another vendor and identify the equivalent color from that vendor. If they wanted to request a different color, however, they would need to submit an application for consideration by the ARB.

Mr. Alexander stated that under the Introduction, at the end of paragraph three is the statement, "Rather, paint colors were selected to complement these materials, such as dark trim colors to complement brick or creams or off-whites to highlight stone colors." That is not consistent with Federal buildings. For example, in New Albany, no dark trim colors are used on their brick structures. They are attempting to have period buildings, and the use of light trim with brick is for a reason. Also, in Section 2.1 is the requirement that the trim should be white or off white. Some believe that dark trim on stone buildings pulls out the contrasting colors in the stone. On another note, the stylistic classification of many structures is somewhat tenuous. In a number of cases, that has been based on one detail. How will staff provide guidance in terms of use to a homeowner? Will they be referred to the Historic Building Inventory as a standard for making such judgments or assessments?

Ms. Martin responded that in the Historic Dublin Design Guidelines, Chapter 2, under each Architectural Style/Building Type, staff has pre-listed the addresses under the recommended Building Type. If the homeowner disagrees with the type, staff would re-visit the designation.

Mr. Alexander inquired the reason that under Modern Style, white is listed as a popular color for the body, yet it is not listed as a body color option in the palette for that type.

Ms. Martin responded that it would be added to the palette as an optional body color.

Mr. Kownacki inquired if a limit is imposed as to the number of colors that can be used on a house; if not, should there be a limit?

Ms. Martin responded that it has not been limited in the Code, nor in the Guidelines. A limit could be included in the Code.

Ms. Kramb stated that she does not believe designating the Color Palettes by Architectural Style is the best approach. It would be easier to designate and administer by age of construction of the home and what paint was available during that era. While there are one or two examples of each of these styles in the District, over half of the buildings do not fit with any of the styles. Because those buildings are a vernacular style, will they need to bring their color choices before ARB for approval? Building Types are listed in Chapter 2, and most buildings are a certain Building Type, but those are not given paint colors in this document; only Architectural Styles. She believes that there will be a problem designating colors by Architectural Style, as it will not include half of the District. She would recommend designing Color Palettes by genre or era, then the homeowner would not have to determine the style of their home, only when it was built. It would simplify the document.

Ms. Martin responded that is how the Guidelines currently read, but they are less comprehensive. Architectural Styles are categorized in the Guidelines, but they could be clarified or expanded in the document. She would recommend continuing the alignment that is already included in the Guidelines.

Mr. Kownacki inquired if the buildings are currently listed in the inventory by age or by style. Many of the homes have had later additions, which could have changed the style of the structure.

Dublin Architectural Review Board Special Meeting Minutes of August 12, 2020 Page 5 of 6

Ms. Martin responded that buildings are categorized by the predominant Architectural Style of the original historic structure. It is not identified by the addition, unless it changed the appearance of the architectural style from the street front. Information regarding when the homes in the District were built is available in the Historic and Cultural Assessment.

Ms. Bryan stated that every building is listed by address.

Ms. Kramb stated that the Building Type is given per address, but not the Architectural Style.

Ms. Martin noted that all the building types are included under a style.

Ms. Rauch responded that an attempt has been to align the two. In the past, the Building Type and Style have been difficult to identify. Many of the buildings are vernacular.

Ms. Martin stated that the homeowner would not be expected to identify that on their own. Staff would provide assistance.

Mr. Cotter stated that the goal is to have predictability and clarification, and this document does that. However, it is also desirable that staff not likely be challenged over the style that has been designated. Ms. Rauch responded that if staff sees that applications are submitted for Board review because the applicant does not agree with the palette recommendations, the document could be revised/updated accordingly.

Ms. Kramb stated that the attempt has been made to align this with the Historic Design Guidelines. In Section 2.9, seven primary categories of Architectural Styles are listed, each with sub categories. However, those seven categories do not match what has been provided in this draft. The proposed draft provides some sub categories, but not all. If a homeowner had a gabled front-wing house, which is a type and not a style, there is no corresponding description in the document provided. The homeowner would be unable to determine the appropriate Color Palette for their home. Therefore, it would be necessary to list all the sub categories. It also is important to use the same terms to avoid confusion. If paint colors are to be determined according to both Style and Type, the header should reflect that. In her opinion, that using that method is too cumbersome. It would be simpler to designate the color palette by years, as every Building Type coincides with years.

Ms. Rauch stated that staff would look further at the two options and will bring back the best approach determined.

Ms. Martin requested that the Board look over the recommended colors in the categories. If there are colors the Board would not want to see in Historic Dublin, those should be pointed out in the next review.

Ms. Kramb requested that subjectivity be removed from the document to the extent possible, and references to website sources not be cited. It would be preferable to cite highly regarded publications, rather than websites.

Mr. Alexander stated that it is difficult to judge paint colors until a section of the building has been painted onsite and viewed in that setting with the existing conditions. Is it possible to make the approval subject to review of a sample painted on the property?

Ms. Martin responded that applicants do frequently provide a sample of the paint on the specific material. Other Board members opined that requiring that the proposed color be reviewed on site would make the process onerous.

• Exterior Material Recommendations

The Board had no issue with staff approval of "like for like" material replacements, which are typically maintenance items. However, new materials become available frequently, and those should be considered

Dublin Architectural Review Board Special Meeting Minutes of August 12, 2020 Page 6 of 6

by ARB. The Board requested that staff conduct benchmarking and provide a recommended list of prohibited materials for consideration.

Ms. Martin responded that a list of prohibited materials would be compiled for the Board's consideration.

Public Comments

There were no public comments.

Consensus of the Board was that staff approval of exterior materials would be limited to "like for like." Any deviation from like for like exterior materials should be reviewed by the Board.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 7:45 p.m.

<u>Kathleen Bryan</u>
Chair, Architectural Review Board

Judith K. Beal

Deputy Clerk of Council