BARRETT BROTHERS - DAYTON, OHIO

Held_

Minutes of _

July 27, 2020

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Amorose Groomes called the Monday, July 27, 2020 Regular Meeting of Dublin City Council to order at 6:08 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Present were Mayor Amorose Groomes, Vice Mayor De Rosa, Ms. Alutto, Ms. Fox, Mr. Keeler, Mr. Peterson and Mr. Reiner.

Staff members present were Mr. McDaniel, Ms. Readler, Ms. O'Callaghan, Mr. Stiffler, Mr. Earman, Chief Paez, Mr. Hammersmith, Ms. LeRoy, Ms. Rauch, Ms. Husak, Mr. Plouck, Lt. Tabernik, Ms. Ray, Ms. Gilger and Ms. Weisenauer.

ADJOURN TO EXECUTIVE SESSION

Mayor Amorose Groomes moved to adjourn to executive session for the following purposes:

- To consider the purchase of property for public purposes
- To consider confidential information related to a request for economic development assistance that involves public infrastructure improvements that are directly related to an economic development project, and which executive session is necessary to protect the possible investment or expenditure of public funds to be made in connection with the economic development project.

Ms. Alutto seconded.

<u>Vote on the motion</u>: Mr. Peterson, yes; Mr. Keeler, yes; Mr. Reiner, yes; Mayor Amorose Groomes, yes; Vice Mayor De Rosa, yes; Ms. Alutto, yes; Ms. Fox, yes.

Mayor Amorose Groomes reconvened the meeting at 7:20 p.m. She stated that the meeting is being conducted in a virtual setting to allow the participation of a few Council Members who are responsibly self-quarantining due to recent out-of-state travel.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Mr. Rogers led the Pledge of Allegiance.

CITIZEN COMMENTS

Mayor Amorose Groomes acknowledged that comments have been received for items that are on the agenda; therefore, those comments will be addressed at that time. Ms. Weisenauer reported that Heather Tubbs-Cooley, 8114 Summerhouse Dr. W., submitted a comment regarding large gatherings. She read her comments, which were:

In recent weeks our family has observed numerous large outdoor gatherings in Dublin neighborhoods, especially high school graduation parties. Unfortunately, few to no attendees are masked nor is distancing observed. While these parties occur on private property and therefore are not subject to state and local ordinances, what can the city do to disincentive large private gatherings? These events seem innocuous but will unfortunately contribute to community spread especially in the younger crowd.

Mr. McDaniel appreciated the comment and shared her concern with large gatherings. He stated that even in a residence, gatherings are intended to be limited according to the safety protocols. He encouraged residents to go to the City's website or the Franklin County Public Health website for a listing of all health precautions that are to be taken during COVID-19.

CONSENT AGENDA

Minutes of the June 22, 2020 Regular Council Meeting

 Minutes of
 Dublin City Council
 Meeting

 BARRETT BROTHERS - DAYTON, OHIO
 Form 6101

Held_______July 27, 2020

Page 2 of 17

Hearing no request to remove an item from the Consent Agenda, Ms. Alutto moved to approve the item on the Consent Agenda.

Vice Mayor De Rosa seconded the motion.

Ms. Weisenauer reported there were no citizen comments submitted for the consent agenda.

<u>Vote on the motion:</u> Ms. Alutto, yes; Mayor Amorose Groomes, yes; Mr. Peterson, yes; Ms. Fox, yes; Mr. Keeler, yes; Vice Mayor De Rosa, yes; Mr. Reiner, yes.

<u>FIRST READING/PUBLIC HEARING -- ORDINANCES</u> Ordinance 16-20

Authorizing an Adjustment to the Boundary Line Between the City of Dublin and the City of Columbus on Sawmill Road by Agreement of Both Municipalities, Pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Section 709.37.

Ms. Alutto introduced the Ordinance.

Mr. Hammersmith stated that he cities of Columbus and Dublin have agreed to adjust the corporation limit along the west side of Sawmill Road to provide consistent jurisdictional oversight and avoid confusion regarding the provision of municipal services. The territory included in the adjustment extends from Martin Road on the southern end to the Delaware County line on the northern end. This boundary adjustment simplifies future maintenance contracts and police responses along Sawmill Road and avoids redundant resource allocation by both jurisdictions. The boundary adjustment does not affect any residents in either city. Police staff have reviewed the revised boundary along the corridor and support the new location. As a result of the boundary adjustment described above, the existing shared-used path along the west side of Sawmill Road will now intermittently cross into the right-of-way being transferred to Columbus. Since the majority of the path lies within an easement, but outside of what will be the newly established right-of-way for Sawmill Road, it is the desire of both cities that Dublin continues to provide for the maintenance responsibilities of the shared-use path. In order to complete the boundary adjustment process, the City of Dublin must pass an Ordinance agreeing to transfer the territory, and Columbus must pass an Ordinance agreeing to accept the territory. After both municipalities' legislative processes are completed, an application with the Franklin County Commissioners must be filed for approval and the adjustment recorded with the Franklin County Recorder.

Staff recommended approval at the second reading/public hearing taking place at the August 10 Council Meeting.

Ms. Weinsenauer shared two comments received regarding this item:

Mark Harris, 3807 Gabrielle Drive, stated that, I am confused with the proposal and concerned that this is not in the best interests of the citizens of Dublin.

Bryan Griffith, 3852 Carberry Drive, stated that,

I am concerned about how this will impact maintenance of Sawmill Road along our property. Dublin and Columbus have very different rules and standards for road maintenance, and this change could cost our community a great deal of money to make repairs that Columbus will not make, but Dublin will, such as the concrete gutter at our driveway. I am also concerned that your maps do not list all of the property owners who will be impacted, and no notice was provided to any of the property owners.

Mr. Hammersmith stated that this proposal adjusts the corporation limit to be consistent with the right-of-way line along the west side of Sawmill Road. This will help determine jurisdiction. Regarding Mr. Griffth's comments, Mr. Hammersmith stated that over the years, Columbus has led the programming and maintenance for Sawmill Road because they owned the vast majority of the road. Dublin owned a very small portion of Sawmill Road. This boundary adjustment will change that. Columbus has

Page 3 of 17

Held_______July 27, 2020

done a good job of maintaining the roadway and addressing any issues. Dublin will continue maintaining the shared-use path along the west side of Sawmill Road. Mr. Hammersmith offered to follow-up with Mr. Harris and Mr. Griffth individually to ensure their questions and concerns were answered.

Ms. Fox inquired as to the three intersections in that area that are gateways to the City. From a planning perspective, will we still have an opportunity to landscape and continue pedestrian connectivity if we give up the land? She is confused as to how this is beneficial to the City of Dublin. Mr. Hammersmtih stated that Columbus has stated they are not opposed to pedestrian connectivity. He stated that their only concern has been maintenance.

Ms. Fox stated that she would want to be able to require that landscaping be of a certain quality. She shared her concern that if Columbus owns the property, Dublin would not be able to require that. She is concerned the City is giving up a great space.

Mayor Amorose Groomes stated that it is difficult to measure how far from the back of the curb this extends. Is it still only to the back of the curb on the west side of Sawmill Road?

Mr. Hammersmith stated that was primarily true, but in the area that Ms. Fox is speaking about, Banker Drive north to I-270, it is closer to 10-15 feet. Mayor Amorose Groomes asked why we wouldn't want this to follow the back of the curb. Mr. Hammersmith stated that would create more confusion because there is actually no line there. The line that was used by the surveyor is a platted right-of-way line. He also mentioned that the back of the curb is not consistent due to the turn lanes, so the line would be going in and out and creating jurisdiction issues again. In response to a question from Mayor Amorose Groomes regarding utility easement, Mr. Hammersmith stated we do not have a utility easement because, generally, the utility is a "guest" in the right-of-way regardless of ownership.

Mayor Amorose Groomes explained that she questioned this because if a utility wants to erect something above ground, ownership would be important.

Ms. Alutto asked about the maintenance of the landscaping behind the curb and who would be responsible. Mr. Hammersmith stated there would be no change to who maintains that area because it is all maintained by the property owners.

Ms. Alutto asked if the same were true in commercial areas. Mr. McDaniel stated that Dublin's maintenance responsibilities along Sawmill Road are nonexistent. He added that regarding future development, it would be unlikely to occur on top of this area, but rather oriented differently. Columbus has done a good job over the years making Sawmill Road more user friendly to pedestrians by adding bus stops.

Vice Mayor De Rosa requested a map that shows this boundary adjustment in more detail. She also asked if public notification is usually part of this process.

Mr. Hammersmith stated that notification would not be made separately as this does not affect anyone's private property. He stated that the item being on the agenda and advertised is the notice to the public. Mr Hammersmith shared a graphic that he stated best represents the boundary adjustment.

Ms. Fox used Banker Drive off of Sawmill as an example on the graphic to illustrate how far behind the curb the new boundary would be. She stated as a gateway, this area should have an entrance feature. She is concerned that the City would not be able to control how the gateway looks.

Mr. McDaniel stated that the Piada property is the first property that was tested as part of the first Bridge Street District design. He added that this boundary adjustment does not prohibit Dublin from doing what we would require there. He does not anticipate any push-back from Columbus.

Ms. Readler stated that if there were landscaping and gateway features that Dublin wanted to put in that area, she does not believe Columbus would have an issue due to the good working relationship that the two cities have had.

Mr McDaniel stated staff will bring additional information to the second reading.

There will be a second reading/public hearing at the August 10 Council meeting.

Held _____ July 27, 2020 Page 4 of 17

Ordinance 17-20

Authorizing the City Manager to Execute Necessary Conveyance Documents to Convey Perpetual Gas Line Easements and Temporary Construction Easements to Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc., and to Accept Payment for the Same.

Ms. Alutto introduced the Ordinance.

Mr. Hammersmith reported that Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. approached the City and requested two perpetual gas line easements and associated temporary construction easements over the following parcels located in Franklin County: 273-001905-00 and 273-001896-00; and on the following parcel located in Union County: 3900010040000. Columbia needs the easements in order to upsize and improve its system in the area and to service new developments near the City's property. The City worked with Columbia to first and foremost confirm that the easements and gas line on the City's property will not affect any current or future improvements or development, and the City—through its Engineering and Economic Development Divisions—has confirmed these easements will not be an impediment to any future development on the parcels. The City requested that Columbia compensate the City for these easements.

Accordingly, Columbia provided the City with appraisal reports for the easements. The City retained its own appraisers to review Columbia's appraisal reports. Ultimately, the parties agreed to compensation of \$130,738 for the various easement rights. He added this is a 20-foot wide permanent easement and the temporary easement is also 20-feet wide, but it will expire at the conclusion of construction.

In response to Mayor Amorose Groomes' question regarding interference with the SR-33 Intersection, Mr. Hammersmith stated that it will not interfere with the interchange, nor will it interfere with any future development plans.

No public comments were received.

Staff recommended approval at the second reading/public hearing taking place at the August 10 Council meeting.

Ordinance 18-20

Authorizing the City Manager to Enter into a Real Estate Transfer Agreement for Certain City-Owned Property on Crosby Court and Certain Property Owned by Tuttle Emerald LLC on Parkwood Place, and Authorizing the Execution of Related Agreements and Documents.

Ms. Alutto introduced the Ordinance.

Ms. Ray stated that Economic Development staff has been in discussions with VanTrust Real Estate regarding an immediate development opportunity on a parcel owned by the City of Dublin. To facilitate this project and create future economic development opportunities, the City and VanTrust have negotiated terms for a real estate transfer agreement tied to this specific development opportunity, involving an exchange of two parcels:

- a 9.338-acre City-owned parcel of vacant land located on the south side of Post Road/S.R. 161, east of Houchard Road, and accessed from Crosby Court in the West Innovation District. It is currently zoned ID-3 and is valued at \$100,00 an acre for a \$933,800 value;
- a 16.594-acre parcel of vacant land owned by VanTrust Real Estate located east of Parkwood Place, north of Rings Road/Woerner-Temple Road, with frontage on I-270 (referred to as the Tuttle Emerald site). It is currently zoned PCD and at \$100,000 an acre, the cost would be \$1,659,400.

Ms. Ray reviewed the key terms on the proposed real estate purchase agreement noting that:

- No monetary payments will be made at this time. If the developer fails to secure
 a lease agreement with the user contemplated as part of this agreement, the
 properties will not be transferred and the City will retain ownership of the
 Crosby Court site.
- The City will issue a "development fee" payment of \$725,600 to the developer, equal to the difference in total value (\$100,000/acre) between the Tuttle Emerald and Crosby Court sites once there is an immediate development opportunity for the Tuttle Emerald site.

Minutes of _	Dublin City Cour	ncil Meeting
BARRETT BROTHER	S - DAYTON, OHIO	Form 6101
	July 27, 2020	Page 5 of 17

For a three-year period from the closing date, VanTrust Real Estate will have the right to repurchase the Tuttle Emerald site for an amount equal to 120% of the agreed upon land value. The repurchase is contingent upon two conditions:

 VanTrust Real Estate shall have an "immediate development opportunity," defined as the execution of a written agreement with an unaffiliated entity or person to develop all or any portion of the Tuttle Emerald site, and the filing of a preliminary development plan or zoning application with the City that is consistent with existing zoning within 90 days of the execution of the agreement.

- The City shall not have advanced an economic development project involving the Tuttle Emerald site. An "advanced economic development project" is defined as the City entering into a real estate agreement to transfer or lease all or any portion of the Tuttle Emerald site for its development subject to an Economic Development Agreement or other agreement that has been placed on the City Council's agenda for a first or second reading, or the City issuing an unexpired incentive offer letter involving the property.
- Any development project on either parcel will be subject to applicable zoning and development approval processes prior to building permitting.

There were no public comments.

Staff recommended passage of this Ordinance at the second reading/public hearing at the August 10 meeting.

Ordinance 19-20

Held.

Authorizing the Provision of Certain Incentives to Quantum Health, Inc., to Induce it to Lease or Purchase a Facility to Retain and Expand its Corporate Headquarters and its Associate Operations and Workforce, All Within the City, and Authorizing the Execution of an Economic Development Agreement.

Ms. Alutto introduced the Ordinance.

Ms. Ray stated that Economic Development staff has been in discussions with Quantum Health, Inc. about the continued investment and expansion of their corporate headquarters in Dublin. City Council approved an Economic Development Agreement (EDA) with Quantum Health, Inc. a little over a year ago for the company's relocation of their headquarters to the City of Dublin from the City of Columbus following a competitive national site selection process. The 2019 EDA resulted in the commitment to relocate 800 existing jobs and add 350 new jobs by 2025, for a total of 1,150 new jobs in Dublin.

Ms. Ray provided an update since the last EDA by reporting that the company executed a 10-year lease for the 237,238-square-foot building located at 5240 Blazer Parkway as the new corporate headquarters. The company has already begun to phase employees to the Blazer Parkway site, and had approximately 197 employees working in Dublin as of December 31, 2019. Quantum Health has continued to evaluate their growth plans and recently determined that their planned headcount growth significantly exceeds their original projections.

The Economic Development Agreement being proposed is a one-time retention and expansion grant of \$175,000 to assist with acquisition and fit-up costs. The incentive is contingent upon the company purchasing and occupying a minimum 50,000-squarefoot building within City of Dublin corporation limits by December 31, 2021, OR executing a minimum 10-year lease for a similarly-sized facility. In consideration, Quantum Health agrees to add approximately 300 additional jobs in Dublin by the end of 2023, for a combined total projection of 1,450 jobs by 2025. The City expects to net approximately \$3,973,190 between 2020-2029 for this phase of the project. Mayor Amorose Groomes inquired about Section 1, Paragraph (a) of the EDA which reads:

The Company, as a part of its original EDA, expects to create 953 employee positions. In addition, under this Agreement the Company expects to create an additional 300 positions, for a combined total of

July 27, 2020

 Minutes of
 Dublin City Council
 Meeting

 BARRETT BROTHERS - DAYTON, OHIO
 Form 6101

Held_____

Page 6 of 17

One Thousand Two Hundred Fifty-Three (1,253) new employee positions within the City by December 31, 2025.

Mayor Amorose Groomes asked why the number of employees seems different between the staff report and EDA. Ms. Ray stated that the numbers in the EDA reflect new jobs and does not include the 197 that are currently here. She stated that the number used in the staff report is the total number of employees current and new. Mayor Amorose Groomes asked that it be clarified in the second reading documents. There were no public comments.

Staff recommended passage of this Ordinance at the second reading/public hearing at the August 10 meeting.

Ordinance 20-20

Authorizing the Provision of Certain Incentives to Air Force One, Inc., to Induce it to Purchase a Facility to Retain and Expand its Corporate Headquarters and its Associated Operations and Workforce, All Within the City, and Authorizing the Execution of an Economic Development Agreement.

Ms. Alutto introduced the Ordinance.

Ms. Gilger reported that Economic Development staff has been in discussions with Air Force One, Inc. about retaining its headquarters and business operations in Dublin. The company has been actively looking at real estate options throughout the region for quite some time. The Economic Development Agreement proposed is a four (4) year, 12% Performance Incentive on withholdings collected (2020-2023), capped at \$73,000 for the term of the agreement. This project results in the retention of 102 jobs and the creation of 20 net new jobs located in Dublin by the end of 2023. The offer is conditional on the completed purchase from the City and occupancy of 5800 Shier Rings Rd..

There was no public comment.

Mayor Amorose Groomes asked about the number of employees that were inconsistent in the staff report. Ms. Gilger stated the discrepancy is due to the creation of the memo and updated job numbers from the company.

Staff recommended passage of this Ordinance at the second reading/public hearing at the August 10 meeting.

<u>INTRODUCTION/PUBLIC HEARING/VOTE - RESOLUTIONS</u> Resolution 38-20

Authorizing the City Manager to Enter Into an Agreement with the City of Columbus for Columbus Resurfacing Project 530282-912020 Within the City of Dublin's Jurisdiction.

Ms. Alutto introduced the Resolution.

Ms. O'Callaghan stated that Columbus is preparing to begin a capital improvement project on Sawmill Road between the Emerald Parkway/Saltergate Drive intersection and Summit View Road (east) which includes roadway resurfacing, curb repair, and pavement markings. A portion of the Columbus resurfacing project is within the current City of Dublin corporation limits and therefore an agreement is necessary for Dublin's participation in the project. The estimated participation for the City of Dublin is \$98,740.05, including a 5% contingency and 9% inspection cost for curb repair and minor pavement work. The Dublin portion of this project will be from the budgeted funds for the annual Street Maintenance Program in the 2020-2024 Capital Improvement Program. Columbus anticipates the work will be completed in this construction season.

There were no public comments.

Ms. Fox asked about the boundary adjustment discussed earlier and whether or not it would have impacted the amount Dublin will be spending on the resurfacing. Ms. O'Callaghan stated that this project was planned regardless of the boundary adjustment. The 5% contingency mentioned will only be paid if needed. If it is not needed, then we will be reimbursed.

Page 7 of 17

In the future, if the boundary adjustment were approved, then the entirety of Sawmill Road repaying would rest with Columbus.

<u>Vote on the Resolution</u>: Mr. Reiner, yes; Ms. Fox, yes; Mr. Peterson, yes; Vice Mayor De Rosa, yes; Mr. Keeler, yes; Mayor Amorose Groomes, yes; Ms. Alutto, yes.

Resolution 39-20

Authorizing the City Manager to Enter into a Contract with Dublin City Schools for the School Resource Officer Program.

Ms. Alutto introduced the Resolution.

Chief Paez thanked everyone who worked on updating the agreement.

Lt. Nick Tabernik stated that the 2020-2021 contract reflects that Dublin's Community Education Unit (CEU) will provide a full-time SRO for each middle school (four) and each high school (three). In the 2020-2021 agreement, the City will continue to fund the full cost of two of the SROs with cost for the additional five being shared equally with the Dublin City School District. He added that the goals of the program are:

- Bridging the gap between officers and students;
- Increasing positive interactions;
- Increased cooperation;
- Reduce juvenile crime; and
- Develop a safe environment of learning.

Lt. Tabernik shared Dr. Hoadley's expressed appreciation for not only the SRO program, but also for the other interactions he has found very helpful such as the modified 2020 graduation ceremonies at all three high schools and security at sporting events.

No public comments were received.

Mr. Keeler asked about a temporary suspension of the program as in the case of the pandemic. What happens to the SROs? Are they reassigned temporarily or furloughed? Lt. Tabernik stated that Section 6 in the agreement was added to allow for that situation. Either side can suspend the agreement and then re-enter into the agreement after the situation is resolved. The officers are within the unit of Community Education Unit and have other duties at the community level. They would not be furloughed, they would be used in a Community Education activity. Mr. McDaniel stated that it was also part of the contingency plan to have these officers available in the event of a pandemic so they could be utilized according to whatever the needs were.

Ms. Alutto stated it is very important to have the stabilizing force in the schools that the SROs provide. It is building very important lasting relationships. She appreciated the foresight in having a plan in case anything like this pandemic happens again. Vice Mayor De Rosa stated that the contract was well written. She appreciated seeing the goals and duties in the document.

Mayor Amorose Groomes expressed her appreciation for the helpful attitude of the officers.

<u>Vote on the Resolution</u>: Mayor Amorose Groomes, yes; Ms. Fox, yes; Mr. Keeler, yes; Ms. Alutto, yes; Vice Mayor De Rosa, yes; Mr. Reiner, yes; Mr. Peterson, yes.

Resolution 40-20

Appointing a Member to Serve in an Unexpired Term on the Board of Zoning Appeals.

Ms. Alutto introduced the Resolution.

Vice Mayor De Rosa stated this appointment to the Board of Zoning Appeals was necessary due to the unfortunate passing of Satya Goyal. She brought forward the recommendation of the Administrative Committee to appoint Alicia Miller to the unexpired term ending 3/31/2023.

There were no public comments.

<u>Vote on the Resolution</u>: Mr. Reiner, yes; Vice Mayor De Rosa, yes; Ms. Alutto, yes; Mr. Peterson, yes; Mayor Amorose Groomes, yes; Ms. Fox, yes; Mr. Keeler, yes.

 Minutes of
 Dublin City Council
 Meeting

 BARRETT BROTHERS - DAYTON, OHIO
 Form 6101

Held______July 27, 2020

Page 8 of 17

Resolution 41-20

Establishing a Community Task Force and a Chief's Advisory Committee to Assist and Advise on Combating and Prohibiting Social Injustice, Inequity, Intolerance, Negative Stereotyping and Discrimination.

Mayor Amorose Groomes introduced the Resolution.

Mr. McDaniel stated that per Resolution 37-20, Council was considering a number of actions regarding this topic such as:

- creating opportunities for community conversations and learning opportunities. To date, suggestions include an initial forum of open discussion and facilitation to ascertain general public concerns, issues and ideas. Such a forum may help to quickly establish a baseline of understanding, needs and ideas, which may help to inform the initial direction of the Community Task Force and Chief's Advisory Committee. Other topics for additional forums may include: general bias, diversity, equity and inclusion awareness/training/discussion; guest speakers on topics of interest identified by the community; policing; schools and education; housing, etc. The pandemic may impact how quickly some of these actions can happen and online forums may be explored.
- conducting its internal assessment of policies and practices. More updates will come in accordance with Resolution 37-20; and
- establishing a Community Task Force and Chief's Advisory Committee as is the purpose of Resolution 41-20.

Mr. McDaniel stated that he and Chief Paez have had many great converations with interested parties who have contacted the City wanting to be involved. Mr. McDaniel thanked Dr. Hoadley and members of Council who have recommended names of those who may be interested in serving and may bring substance and perspective to the conversation. He also expressed appreciation to the Dublin School Board for expressing their support of this initiative.

Mr. McDaniel that he would welcome dialogue among Council regarding this resolution. He is not anticipating adding names to the resolution at this meeting. He stated that the interested parties he has spoken with understand the process. He encouraged Council to take some time to discuss and think about the membership of the Task Force and Chief's Committee.

Ms. Alutto asked if the intention was to have 11 people on the Task Force. Mr. McDaniel stated that he noted that he wanted certain groups of the resident population represented, such as the schools and the youth. He is open minded as to the number of members on each body. Chief Paez agreed and stated that he was thinking generally around 7-10 members on his committee. He reiterated that there has been a great response from the community.

Ms. Alutto asked if the City Manager would be making recommendations of members for Council's approval. Mr. McDaniel stated that he does have some information to share with Council regarding interested parties and would like Council to determine how selections will be made as part of a process.

Ms. Fox inquired as to how we might encourage those who are interested to participate in community dialogue. Mr. McDaniel stated that public engagement can take many different forms, such as a community gathering when we are able. He would like to have an open dialogue and conversation. Steve Francis has been retained to facilitate the City's diversity and inclusion training. Perhaps he could assist in facilitating some of these community conversations also. Mr. McDaniel shared additional ideas such as a speaker series, a community forum about policing, Dr. Hoadley has offered to have a community forum on schools (not just Dublin), etc. The town hall format that the City has used in the past with other topics has been very successful. He stated that the Task Force will be utilized to take the dialogue heard in these community conversations and becoming a research/advisory type organization. They can also help to be a sounding board for City policies, etc. The Chief's Advisory Committee will also be an opportunity to talk about policy and procedures. Ms. Fox inquired as to how someone could be notified as to when these events are happening as they are scheduled. Mr. McDaniel stated that if the public has an interest in this topic, he would encourage them to watch social media platforms as information is routinely shared in that way and also to reach out to the City Manager's

Page 9 of 17

Office or the Director of Outreach and Engagement Christine Nardecchia to get more

July 27, 2020

Mr. Keeler thanked Mr. McDaniel for his work in moving this forward. He expressed gratitude for everyone coming forward to serve. He encouraged the use of technology such as meeting platforms to get people together initially.

Vice Mayor De Rosa noted the term of one year in the Resolution. She stated that one year seems like a short timeframe to cover all the duties and functions of this task force. She asked Mr. McDaniel if he intended to rotate people to allow more participation or if he felt one year was long enough to achieve the duties of the task force. Mr. McDaniel stated that he assigned one year terms based on how task forces have been established in the past. Assigning timelines tends to allow the work to keep progressing and prepare to bring recommendations to City Council. One of the recommendations of the task force could be that it needs to continue. He stated the one -year term was not meant to imply that the work would be completed within one year.

Vice Mayor De Rosa stated that she just wanted to make sure that expectations of those who will serve will be clear.

Vice Mayor De Rosa suggested adding in Section G, bullet three of the Resolution, some ideas about setting metrics and measures. She stated that the task force may be recommending ideas and goals, but recommending how to achieve the goals and some measure of what success or advancement will look like will be important also. Vice Mayor De Rosa stated that, regarding having 11 members, this may be an instance of having four or five more members to hear more diverse voices. Mayor Amorose Groomes moved to postpone Resolution 41-20 to the August 10, 2020 Council Meeting.

Ms. Alutto seconded.

Held_

information.

<u>Vote on the motion</u>: Mayor Amorose Groomes, yes; Ms. Fox, yes; Vice Mayor De Rosa, yes; Mr. Peterson, yes; Mr. Reiner, yes; Ms. Alutto, yes; Mr. Keeler, yes.

Resolution 42-20

A Resolution Affirming that Funds Distributed under Amended Substitute House Bill 481 by the State of Ohio to the City of Dublin Shall be Expended by the City Only for Costs Permitted Under the Federal Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act and Repealing and Replacing Resolution 34-20.

Ms. Alutto introduced the Resolution.

Mr. Stiffler stated that this Resolution is necessary due to a clerical error in the original Resolution. This Resolution corrects that clerical error.

<u>Vote on the Resolution</u>: Mr. Keeler, yes; Ms. Fox, yes; Ms. Alutto, yes; Mayor Amorose Groomes, yes; Mr. Peterson, yes; Mr. Reiner, yes; Vice Mayor De Rosa, yes.

Resolution 43-20

Approving and Accepting the Plat for University Boulevard, Phase 2 and Lot Size Variances to Re-subdivide Certain Lots to Address County Requirements for Parcels Crossing School District Boundaries and to Create a Parcel for the Preservation of a Historic Cemetery.

Ms. Alutto introduced the Resolution.

Ms. Husak stated that this request is, as recommended by the Planning and Zoning Commission, to accept the subdivision of ± 64.3 acres for six lots currently zoned ID-1, Research Office District and PUD, Planned Unit Development District (OSU Wexner Medical Center) to address requirements by Franklin County for parcels crossing School District boundaries and to create a separate parcel for a historic cemetery. Two lots will require a variance to the lot size requirements. Ms. Husak provided an illustration of the lots that are to be divded. Lot 6 creates a separate lot for the preservation of the historic Brown-Harris Cemetery.

Ms. Fox asked if there were any complications to having that larger parcel attached to the small parcel for the cemetery. How will public access be provided and guaranteed for the future?

Held_______July 27, 2020

Page 10 of 17

Ms. Husak stated that the larger parcel attached to the cemetery parcel, if and when it would be sold, would be required to keep a path to allow public access to the cemetery.

Mayor Amorose Groomes moved:

- 1. To permit the creation of Lot 1D at 0.566-acre instead of meeting the Zoning Code required 3-acre minimum.
- 2. To permit the creation of Lot 6 at 0.127-acre instead of meeting the Zoning Code required 3-acre minimum.

Ms. Alutto seconded.

<u>Vote on the motion</u>: Mayor Amorose Groomes, yes; Mr. Peterson, yes; Mr. Keeler, yes; Ms. Fox, yes; Mr. Reiner, yes; Vice Mayor De Rosa, yes; Ms. Alutto, yes.

<u>Vote on the Resolution</u>: Ms. Alutto, yes; Mr. Peterson, yes; Mr. Keeler, yes; Mayor Amorose Groomes, yes; Ms. Fox, yes; Vice Mayor De Rosa, yes; Mr. Reiner, yes.

OTHER

Fee Waiver Request - UFIT

Ms. Husak stated that UFIT, Unique Fitness Independence Training, has submitted a request to waive the \$1,325 application fee required to process a Conditional Use. Ms. Husak read a letter provided by the company:

First, we are not fighting the process and wish to comply with the process. As newer business owners, we were surprised to learn that this process existed. We were first made aware that a "conditional use permit" process existed in February, 2020, just prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. Our organization has leased space from Mr. Peter Klein since January 1, 2018. In December of 2019 we renewed the space for an additional two years (from January 1, 2020 to December 31, 2021). We were strongly reviewing and considering other spaces before renewing the lease with Mr. Klein. Knowing that we were subject to a conditional use permit process, we may have signed another lease agreement for a

the lease with Mr. Klein. Knowing that we were subject to a conditional use permit process, we may have signed another lease agreement for a more purpose fit space. Our business is about impacting lives and is a small organization that provides fitness training for an underserved population; persons with special needs. Our location is a small center that teaches clients independence and lifestyle change through exercise. UFIT requested the conditional use fee to be waived prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. Since the pandemic, UFIT has had to weather additional hardship (like many businesses) due to mandatory closure and subsequent loss of revenue. During the pandemic, UFIT received a small amount of assistance from an EIDL and PPP loan. These amounts, while appreciated, were much less than needed and not enough to sustain us. The \$1,325 places a significant strain on our operations and further threatens our ability to continue. The owners made less that the fee amount in 2019 (due to investment) and have not taken payment in 2020. We believe UFIT has been a great corporate citizen in Dublin and Franklin county. UFIT provides services to many Dublin based families and works cooperatively with the Dublin City Schools, in particular the Emerald Campus, as well as the Dublin Recreation Center. We are more than happy to answer any questions you may have. Please consider our request to waive this fee as this places significant financial hardship on our business.

Mr. Peterson asked why the obligation of this conditional use fee falls to the tenant and not the property owner. He stated that the property owner should have advised the tenant on what use was permitted. Ms. Husak stated that this is not the first time that the City has discovered businesses operating without securing permits and it has been up to the tenant to comply with whatever requirements are imposed.

Vice Mayor De Rosa asked if this business was a for-profit or a not-for-profit business. Ms. Husak stated that it is a for-profit business.

Ms. Fox summarized the mission of this business for the benefit of the residents listening to the meeting. She asked for consideration of the waiver due to the special

 Minutes of
 Dublin City Council
 Meeting

 BARRETT BROTHERS - DAYTON, OHIO
 Form 6101

Held _____ July 27, 2020 Page 11 of 17

mission of this business and the effect that the pandemic has had. This is a unique businesses and it is worth thinking about.

Ms. Alutto agreed that they are unique and they are serving a population that is vastly underserved. The pandemic is not a usual situation, so she agrees that the conversation should be explored.

Mr. Peterson voiced his concern over setting the precedent. He stated that many companies are suffering due to the pandemic and he is concerned about how to provide relief to one business but not another. He agreed that this business is special, but all businesses are suffering so the pandemic cannot be used as a reason to waive the fee. He stated he is bothered by the fact that this landlord is entering into agreements with tenants who are not complying with our code and leaving it up to the tenant to fix that. He would be more comfortable saying that the City would be waiving all fees during the pandemic rather than picking and choosing what businesses are worthy of a waiver.

Ms. Alutto agreed with Mr. Peterson. She asked Ms. Husak if they could be put on a payment plan instead of having to pay all at once.

Ms. Fox stated that some flexibility could be helpful in how the payment is made.

Ms. Reiner stated that he would recommend not to approve the waiver because of the precedent. Someone should talk with the property owner to require they disclose these compliance requirements to tenants.

Mayor Amorose Groomes commented on the passion of small business owners for the services they provide. She referenced a situation from 2003 when a business owner requested a fee waiver due to the hardship of the widening of 161. They were denied the waiver. She agreed with Mr. Peterson that a precedent should not be set.

Ms. Fox asked about offering a payment plan during these circumstances of a pandemic.

Mayor Amorose Groomes referred that to Legal regarding whether or not that could be done.

Vice Mayor De Rosa agrees with the recommendation of seeking some flexibility in payment.

Mr. Stiffler stated that if the company were to contact the Finance Department, they can work out some arrangement for payment.

Mr. Peterson suggested that this company has interactions with members of our community that perhaps would like to participate in the Task Force that is being established to talk about some of the struggles they face.

Mr. Peterson moved to deny the request for a fee waiver.

Mr. Reiner seconded.

Vote on the motion: Ms. Fox, yes; Ms. Alutto, yes; Mr. Reiner, yes; Vice Mayor De Rosa, yes; Mr. Peterson, yes; Mr. Keeler, yes; Mayor Amorose Groomes, yes.

Ms. Alutto requested Ms. Husak to relay to them that they understand the struggle and to work with Finance to have the fee paid.

Designated Outdoor Refreshment Areas (DORAs)

Ms. LeRoy provided a presentation regarding DORAs. She reviewed the process for establishing a DORA, which is:

- First, the City Manager files an application with City Council requesting certain property be designated an outdoor refreshment area under R.C. 4301.82;
- Within 45 days of that application being filed, City Council must publish notice
 of the application once a week for two consecutive weeks in a newspaper of
 general circulation. The notice must state that the application is on file in the
 office of the clerk and is available for inspection. It must also state the date and
 time of the public hearing to be held regarding application;
- Between 30 and 60 days after initial publication of notice, City Council must approve or disapprove the application by ordinance or resolution;
- At the same time City Council considers the application of the DORA, it must also adopt an ordinance or resolution establishing requirements necessary to ensure public health and safety. This legislation must include all of the following:

Dublin City Council Minutes of _ Meeting BARRETT BROTHERS - DAYTON, OHIO Page 12 of 17

The specific boundaries of the area, including street addresses;

July 27, 2020

- The number, spacing, and type of signage designating the area;
- The hours of operation; 0

Held_

- The number of personnel needed to execute the sanitation plan; 0
- A requirement that beer and intoxicating liquor be served solely in plastic bottles or other plastic containers in the area.
- If Council approves the application, it must provide Liquor Control and the Department of Public Safety notice of approval and description of the area specified.

She shared the results of the survey that was conducted, to which over 4,000 responses were received. 85% of respondents support having a DORA. 2,777 responses supported having a DORA all the time versus as specified days and times. Ms. LeRoy attributed this to the fear that specified dates and times would cause confusion. The Historic District and Bridge Street District residents specifically supported the DORA in the survey results by 82%. Ms. LeRoy provided an aerial illustration of the proposed DORA boundaries. She noted that Council can change the hours of the DORA at any time. Ms. LeRoy discussed possible days/times as well as cup options as well as applying a fee to each cup as a possible funding mechanism. Timing of implementation would be approximately six to eight weeks because two newspaper notifications are required.

Mayor Amorose Groomes acknowledged the e-mails that were received regarding this topic. Ms. Weisenauer read the following additional comments received:

- Alan Szuter, 80 Frankln Street, We live on Franklin, backing up to Mill Lane, which would be included in the DORA. We did not received any notice of this legislation which would literally be in our back yard. Without having had the time to understand the proposal, we have several objections and questions:
 - The DORA boundaries should not include streets where residential properties abut the boundary. What would be the purpose of people strolling down our street with drinks?
 - Since people are prohibited from entering another bar or restaurant with a drink, what would be the point of a DORA in Old Dublin? All they could do is walk up and down High Street, and hang out at the small parks- where families frequently sit to eat ice cream from Jeni's and Johnson's. There are no retail establishments they could visit south of Bridge Street
 - How would boundaries be enforced? What would there be to stop them from walking through the residential areas?
 - If the DORA were for events, such as the Dublin 5k, or arts festivals, etc, then it would make sense. Or, if North High Street businesses wanted it, that might make sense as well. Not living in Bridge Park (east of the river), we can't comment on how well it would work there.
 - Again, if we had been more aware that this was being considered, we might have some of these questions answered. However, based on what we know, we would strongly object to this being approved, and most of our neighbors would likely object as well if they knew.
- Eric Pickering, 126 Franklin Street, stated that as Franklin Street Homeowners Association President, he would like more time to assess this proposal before formally commenting.

Mr. Keeler thanked staff for all the work in researching DORAs. When looking at survey results, he was looking to hear specifically from the residents that live in the area of the proposed DORA, like Franklin Street. Most of the people who live in the area were not represented on the survey. Mr. Keeler agreed with some of Mr. Szuter's points. He is concerned with the image that we would be promoting. He stated he is not ready to support having a DORA. If Council overall is interested, then he would suggest starting small, perhaps during a special event or one night per month. He stated that outdoor dining has helped local restaurants.

Ms. Fox stated that the residents in adjacent neighborhoods need to have time to consider what a DORA would mean for them. She agreed that the outdoor dining has helped businesses. She stated that going forward with a DORA during a pandemic is bad timing. She also shared that adjacent neighborhoods would have to agree to a

 Minutes of
 Dublin City Council
 Meeting

 BARRETT BROTHERS - DAYTON, OHIO
 Form 6101

Held______July 27, 2020

Marysville and learned of their success with a DORA.

Page 13 of 17

DORA and the hours it would be in place. She reiterated that it is important to recognize what we are trying to achieve through a DORA. The Historic District needs incentives to get diverse retail in the area. There are plenty of bars and restaurants; so does adding alcohol in the Historic District achieve the goal for what we want it to look like? Would we be better served to incentivize diverse businesses in the area? She inquired as to why it is prohibited to have alcohol on the bridge (The Link) but not in the park. She would support a DORA if we waited for Spring (or for the pandemic to be over); trying it during a special event only; and with a great deal of public input. Vice Mayor De Rosa stated that there have been good advances to support the businesses. There is interest in a DORA in the community, but she is concerned about the timing. The earliest that this could be implemented in October. She would rather take the winter months to look more into a DORA, engage with the neighborhoods, and work through what the goals are. She would like to see this come back around in the spring when, hopefully, congregating wil no longer be prohibited. Mr. Reiner agreed he would like to see it start in a limited fashion, or for special events, and see how it goes. He would like to do a trial DORA to measure the impact, both positive and negative. He would not be in favor of delaying this process for too

Mr. Peterson inquired as to whether or not there was a way to get feedback from communities that have DORAs regarding the positive and negative impacts. Ms. LeRoy stated that they did speak with other communities. Chief Paez stated that they did talk with other communities to talk about their experiences. The concerns they had initially were the same concerns shared by Dublin residents. Experiences were mostly positive, some communities mentioned trash issues that they were working through, but for the most part they are successful.

long. He would like to see this implemented on a limited basis. He has spoken with

Mayor Amorose Groomes inquired as to how Chief Paez would police the DORA covering some of these parking areas; people with drinks walking to their cars, etc. Chief Paez stated that Police would be mindful of where the boundaries of the DORA are and work with the businesses to try to monitor people returning to their vehicles. He acknowledged that would be a concern anywhere alcohol is being sold and at any large events. This would be one of the considerations that Police would have to think through as they do for any event to ensure people can participate and return home safely.

Mayor Amorose Groomes stated that when a patron of an establishment is overserved, the establishment can be held responsible for what happens when that patron leaves in that condition. She inquired as to in a DORA situation, how would we know who is responsible for overserving an individual? Chief Paez stated that if an individual is overserved and they need to investigate that, this is something they do and have done. He suggested educating businesses and the community also regarding the responsibility of those participating.

Mr. Peterson inquired as to whether or not the benefits of this arrangement could be measured. Ms. LeRoy stated that it would be difficult to quantify, but working with the DCVB, they have been able to put together some information they can share. Ms. Alutto stated that would be helpful. She shared that she does see how this could benefit the restaurants, but the concerns are important to address. She agreed with starting with smaller boundaries. She shared that more analysis should be done regarding the pros and cons. She would be in favor of a small DORA, weekend only. She would not be in favor of a DORA, even in the spring, every night of the week. She inquired as to whether or not a bridge needed to be included in the DORA for connectivity and, if not, would it be two separate DORAs? Ms. LeRoy stated that there were some safety concerns shared, specifically crossing Riverside Drive traffic. Mayor Amorose Groomes stated that she would not support the 161 Bridge being included in the DORA. If there must be connectivity, it would have to be the pedestrian bridge. Ms. Alutto doesn't want to put this on hold. If it is to come back in the spring, then we should be ready to go in the spring and not have any work left to do. Work should continue to address concerns and reach residents over the winter. She would prefer to implement a small pilot before winter with smaller boundaries.

 Minutes of
 Dublin City Council
 Meeting

 BARRETT BROTHERS - DAYTON, OHIO
 Form 6101

Held______July 27, 2020

Page 14 of 17

Ms. Fox stated there are many great tips available from other cities, things they have learned along the way and we should take the time to explore those. Mayor Amorose Groomes stated that she would be okay with this under limited circumstances, not this winter. She would like to be ready in the spring. Mr. McDaniel stated that staff was hoping to gain direction from Council. He summarized Council's comments as: starting small, however staff would recommend a larger footprint rather than start small and then grow it. Council holds control over days, times, etc.; spring is better than now, so staff will be back in the September timeframe with more specific recommendations; and staff will work on engaging residents, possibly HOAs to gain their input.

South High Street Trees Update

Mr. Earman reviewed the challenges along the street such as compacted clay soils, signage, light poles, etc. He stated there are three large trees in the northwest block of the street close to Jeni's Ice Cream and there are 27 other existing trees on the east side of the street, 19 of which are fairly substantial in size. With the desire to create symmetrical streetscape and single species, these trees will need to be replaced at some point. The preferred species is the Emerald City Tulip Tree. Staff has selected the trees to ensure the inventory was available when the project was ready to proceed. Mr. Earman provide an illustration of the layout of the trees. 53 new trees are proposed. The cost estimates for this project are approximately \$1.6 milion for both sides of the street. Mr. Earman reviewed the timeline for the project. There are some options for the project:

- Do nothing until east side trees decline and need to be removed.
- Complete only west side of the street and wait until east side trees need to be removed.
- · Work on both sides simultaneously.

Staff recommended proceeding with the work on both sides of the street, the suspended pavement system and the installation of the 600 feet retaining walls and steps along the southwest blocks. The removal of the existing trees on the east side of the street, installation of the retaining wall and planting of trees could occur this fall. Construction would then resume in spring of 2021.

Ms. Fox inquired asked if the tulip tree will achieve the look that is desired for the streetscape.

Mayor Amorose Groomes stated it is a wonderful selection. She stated it will provide great cover and has a great tree canopy. She stated it is hardy and proven in the landscape.

Ms. Fox stated that she is concerned it will take years to grow and improve the streetscape if all the trees are removed on the east side.

Mayor Amorose Groomes asked Mr. Earman what size trees were purchased. Mr. Earman stated they were 2-3 inches caliper. In response to Mayor Amorose Groomes question regarding trunk height, Mr. Earman stated that staff was able to get 6-7 feet. Mr. Reiner stated that we should keep the east side trees in place for as long as we can. He stated the west side should be started and hold off on the east side. He is hoping the estimates are a little high.

Mayor Amorose Groomes asked if the trees could all be purchased now, whether or not they are ready to be planted. She added that the wall will be great along the street. Mr. Earman stated that the trees have been purchased.

Ms. Fox stated that she loves the idea of a seat wall also. Her preference would be to create the wall in the character of the district.

Mr. Keeler stated he agrees with proceeding with the west side and leaving the east side in place for as long as we can.

Mr. Earman reiterated that there are three Oaks in the northwest block by Jeni's Ice Cream and sought confirmation that Council wanted those left as well.

Mayor Amorose Groomes stated the entire west side should be done all at once. Mr. Reiner agreed with Mayor Amorose Groomes that the unified street tree planting looks better when it is done all at once.

Vice Mayor De Rosa inquired about any cost savings that may be realized by doing the work all at once. Mr. Earman stated that it would depend on how many trees would

Held_______July 27, 2020

Page 15 of 17

need to be replaced in the future. Staff was conservative on estimates, but amounts could be affected by inflation.

Mr. Reiner stated that the there is a benefit of doing one side, traffic wise, rather than tearing the entire street up at once.

Vice Mayor De Rosa asked how the cost would be impacted if the west side was done in phases.

Mr. Reiner stated he wanted to look at the three large oak trees by Jeni's to see what kind of shade they are getting and if the streetscape would really require them to be removed.

Ms. Fox agreed with Mr. Reiner.

Ms. Weisenauer stated that one comment was received for this topic from the public. Mary Szuter, Franklin Street, asked for comment regarding when the power line relocation will occur.

Ms. O'Callaghan stated that staff has been coordinating with AEP regarding some of the phasing for the various work items that need to occur. They have also been coordinating with them for the resurfacing of Franklin Street and the extension of Franklin Street; this work will occur over the next few years. Currently, estimates have been received, so there will be discussions about the funding and timing of this project's phases during the CIP process.

Mayor Amorose Groomes stated that in would be complete in the neighborhood of 3-5 years.

Mr. McDaniel stated that the Franklin Street project is budgeted in the CIP in 2021 for phase 1. The relocation of the lines in the Historic District for South High Street back to the alley ways will be a 2022-2023 timeframe.

Mr. Earman summarized that:

- engineering and design will be completed for the entire project (east and west sides);
- Staff will work on a bid package for the west side of the street minus the three large oaks in the northwest corner;
- Staff will proceed with retaining walls and brick paver steps, and check-in with ARB regarding materials to be used; and
- continue with future landscaping needs in the CIP process.

Mayor Amorose Groomes suggested doing the entire west side of the street due to the life-span of the three existing trees in the northwest block.

Mr. Keeler stated that the stone wall, at a cost of \$450-\$500 per lineal foot, seems high. The City has to pay prevailing wage, but through his research, he found the City could possibly realize a savings of over \$200,000. He encouraged Mr. Earman to obtain competitive bids to make sure the City is getting the best price possible. Mr. Earman stated that there may be some differences in how they are installed and the City has certain standards for installation, but staff will definitely be hoping for competitive bids. Ms. Fox suggested updating the bike path maps to indicate a better connection as the sidewalks are virtually unusable now as a bike path in this area and that is what the map indicates.

STAFF COMMENTS

Historic District Task Force:

Mr. McDaniel stated that the Historic District Task Force is requesting additional time to complete their work as the pandemic has delayed the Task Force being able to meet. Mayor Amorose Groomes moved to grant the Task Force additional time. Ms. Fox seconded.

<u>Vote on the motion</u>: Mr. Keeler, yes; Ms. Fox, yes; Ms. Alutto, yes; Mr. Peterson, yes; Mayor Amorose Groomes, yes; Mr. Reiner, yes; Vice Mayor De Rosa, yes.

Mr. McDaniel stated that the Task Force met last week and recommended a Chair and Vice Chair of the Historic District Task Force.

Mayor Amorose Groomes moved to accept the recommendation of the Historic District Task Force and to appoint Kim Way as the Chair and Kathy Lanan as the Vice Chair. Ms. Fox seconded.

Held_______July 27, 2020

Page 16 of 17

<u>Vote on the motion</u>: Mr. Reiner, yes; Vice Mayor De Rosa, yes; Ms. Alutto, yes; Mayor Amorose Groomes, yes; Ms. Fox, yes; Mr. Peterson, yes; Mr. Keeler, yes.

COUNCIL COMMITTEE REPORTS

<u>Finance Committee</u>: Chair Alutto stated that at the recent meeting, debt issuance and callable debt were discussed. All members of committee indicated a comfort level with the debt profile and that it should be reviewed annually. Ms. Alutto stated that lease payments were also discussed and the committee brought forward recommendations. Ms. Alutto moved to accept the Finance Committee's recommendation to forgive the lease payments (\$1,000 per month) for Subway as long as they remain closed, which is projected as the end of 2020 with re-evaluation to be done at the end of 2020; and to forgive lease payments for the Dublin Village Tavern (\$3,374/month) and the Dublin Chamber of Commerce (\$2,100/month) for the month of July 2020 with further evaluation to be done after that time.

Mr. Reiner seconded.

<u>Vote on the motion</u>: Ms. Fox, yes; Mr. Keeler, yes; Ms. Alutto, yes; Mr. Peterson, yes; Vice Mayor De Rosa, yes; Mr. Reiner, yes; Mayor Amorose Groomes, abstain.

Ms. Alutto stated that the committee also recommends a refund to the Dublin Schools for the two months of payments made under the current SRO Contract for the Sschools being closed due to the pandemic.

Ms. Alutto moved to refund the Dublin Schools for two months of payments made under the current SRO Contract to account for the time period when the Schools were closed.

Mr. Keeler seconded.

<u>Vote on the motion</u>: Vice Mayor De Rosa, yes; Ms. Fox, yes; Mr. Keeler, yes; Ms. Alutto, yes; Mr. Reiner, yes; Mayor Amorose Groomes, yes; Mr. Peterson, yes.

Ms. Alutto stated in regard to bed tax grants that the committee recommended administrative approvals continue up to \$10,000, provided the nature of the services is not changing from previous requests. Anything over the \$10,000 would come back to the committee for consideration. Staff will provide background on the basis for the \$200,000 per year allocation for the grant program; it has been the same amount since 2009. The Committee will be meeting about this in November and will be bringing Council a recommendation on how to calculate what should be allocated to that program in the future.

MORPC and COMMA: Mayor Amorose Groomes stated that conversations continue daily regarding CARES Act dollar distribution.

<u>Board of Education</u>: Mr. Peterson stated that the Schools continue to work on plans regarding how the schools may re-open and extra-curricular programming.

<u>Complete Count Committee</u>: Ms. Alutto shared that Dublin is at 79.5% completion. Dublin is in the top 5% in cities across the nation. The door knocking program will begin in August and continue through October. She encouraged everyone to go online to complete the census so it will not be necessary to have people knocking on doors.

COUNCIL ROUNDTABLE

Mr. Reiner: He asked everyone to keep Pat Monahan, former Mayor of Shawnee Hills in their prayers as he is very ill.

Ms. Fox: She announced that the Architectural Review Board is having a special meeting to discuss the Historic District guidelines and code amendments. She encouraged the public to feel free to listen and ask any questions.

<u>Mr. Keeler</u>: He thanked Council and Staff for the birthday wishes. He also thanked staff for putting together the pop-up parades. He would like to explore further the feasibility of development impact fees.

Minutes of Dublin City Council				Meeting
	BARRETT BROTHERS - DAYTON, OHIO		Storen (SCC) C (Store)	Form 6101
	Held	July 27, 2020	Page 17 of 17	
	Mayor Amorose Groomes: ADJOURNMENT The meeting was adjourne	Wished Happy Birthday to N d at 10:38 p.m.	Mr. Keeler and Mr. Reiner.	
	Mayor – Presiding Officer	7,		
	Deputy Clerk of Council			