



# RECORD OF ACTION

## Planning & Zoning Commission

Thursday, October 15, 2020 | 6:30 pm

The Planning and Zoning Commission took the following action at this meeting:

### 2. Heartland Bank 20-139PDP 6500 Frantz Road Preliminary Development Plan

Proposal:	Facade improvements and associated site improvements for an existing bank.
Location:	Southeast of the intersection of West Bridge Street with Post Road and zoned Bridge Street District Commercial.
Request:	Review and approval of a Preliminary Development Plan under the provisions of Zoning Code Section 153.066.
Applicant:	Rex Hagerling, Moody Nolan; and Ashley Trout, Heartland
Planning Contact:	Chase J. Ridge, Planner I
Contact Information:	614.410.4656, cridge@dublin.oh.us; or
Case Information:	www.dublinohiousa.gov/pzc/20-139

**MOTION 1:** Mr. Grimes moved, Mr. Fishman seconded, to approve the following three Waivers:

1. §153.062 — Building Types (E)(1) - Façade Materials. **Requirement:** A minimum of 80% of each façade visible from a street or adjacent property, exclusive of windows and doors, shall be constructed of permitted primary materials. **Request:** A minimum of 59% of each façade be permitted to be clad in a combination of materials not permitted as a primary materials.
2. §153.062 — Building Types (O)(7)(d) – Façade Transparency. **Requirement:** A minimum of 65% storefront transparency is required on street facing facades of the building and a minimum of 50% storefront transparency is required on non-street facing facades. **Request:** A minimum of transparency on each façade that does not meet the minimum required per Code.
3. §153.062 — Building Types (D)(1) – Parapet Height. **Requirement:** Parapets shall be no less than two feet in height and no more than 6 feet in height. **Request:** A parapet height of approximately 12 feet, 2 inches.

**VOTE:** 7 – 0.

**RESULT:** All three Waivers were approved.

**RECORDED VOTES:**

Jane Fox	Yes
Warren Fishman	Yes
Kristina Kennedy	Yes
Mark Supelak	Yes
Rebecca Call	Yes
Leo Grimes	Yes
Lance Schneier	Yes



**Heartland Bank  
20-139PDP**

**6500 Frantz Road  
Preliminary Development Plan**

**MOTION 2:** Mr. Fishman moved, Mr. Grimes seconded, to approve the Preliminary Development Plan with 4 conditions:

- 1) That the applicant continue to work with Engineering on finalizing grading and drainage details with the Final Development Plan;
- 2) That the applicant provide a parking plan to address the issue of excess parking on the site with the Final Development Plan;
- 3) That the applicant correct the transparency calculations for the elevations prior to submitting for a Final Development Plan; and
- 4) That the applicant continue to work with Staff on selecting an appropriate exterior cladding material prior to the Final Development Plan submission.

**VOTE:** 7 – 0.

**RESULT:** The Preliminary Development Plan was approved.

**RECORDED VOTES:**

Jane Fox	Yes
Warren Fishman	Yes
Kristina Kennedy	Yes
Mark Supelak	Yes
Rebecca Call	Yes
Leo Grimes	Yes
Lance Schneier	Yes

**STAFF CERTIFICATION**

DocuSigned by:

*Chase J. Ridge*

9A45F751698D466...

Chase J. Ridge, AICP Candidate, Planner



Ms. Call stated that she agrees with Mr. Supelak regarding the suggestion Ms. Newell made during the previous review for adding "more" to the layout. She agrees with Mr. Fishman regarding the parking. In retrospect, parking probably should not be based on the number of bedrooms, but because of the number of one-bedroom units proposed, the numbers probably would not be much different if calculated in the standard manner. She also agrees with other Commissioners concerning the need to improve the .39-acre dog park -- the size as well as the turf material. Consistent with the expectation for this area, the architectural character has an urban character. Ms. Fox offered good points about providing more open space within the block. Previously, Mr. Hunter indicated that the open space design would provide an urban environment with a backyard quality; that is not present with this design. Finally, the density and intensity in a development like this is important. She was disappointed to see that the previous 42-unit proposal had been replaced with 100 units, 77 of which are 445-square foot, one-bedroom units, which is a preponderance of intensity and density! Although this area is the right place for higher density, it must be a "platinum" package. That is not what is proposed.

Ms. Call inquired if the applicant needed any additional clarification of the Commission's positions. Mr. Hunter responded that no clarification is needed. He believes the development of the blocks within Bridge Park has gradually improved, and the same will occur with Block G.

Ms. Fox stated that she would like to see Bridge Park become a timeless place that people want to visit. Along Longshore Street, there are some fun entrances, such as Cap City, but on every street there should be places that cause people to stop and take another look. She urges them to pay attention to the first level. Every 50-60 feet, there should be something that captures the public's interest. If that does not occur, this will be a place that people want to avoid at night, rather than linger. Outdoor spaces are needed more than ever, and it is essential to create a timelessness in this area.

Ms. Supelak stated that what she is referring to is the "destination" quality of the design. Some of that will occur with the tenants. As long as the design provides the ability for the tenants to add their unique flair at the street level, that layer will come in time.

Mr. Hunter responded that is the number one lesson they learned as they developed Bridge Park. With the beginning blocks, the storefront was included upfront. What that meant, however, was that the tenants would be unlikely to replace them, as they would incur additional cost. With the exception of a few, including Cap City, the businesses did not do so. They no longer provide the storefronts in the beginning. Instead, opportunity is provided for the tenants to differentiate their space, and that will occur here.

Nelson Yoder, Crawford Hoying, 6640 Riverside Drive, Suite 500, Dublin, OH 43017, stated that after the previous review, their team studied the Commission's input and attempted to provide the requested unique greenspaces. That effort resulted in the flat open space between the Office and Garage buildings, where turf and an opportunity for an outdoor meeting space now is provided. They also are considering the possibility of including a water feature at the corner of Bridge Park Avenue and Mooney Street. Adding the dog park will meet or potentially exceed the open space requirement. With four-sided architecture buildings, the service/loading area typically occurs at the back door, which in this case opens into the public realm. They considered that situation for some time and came up with the unique solution of providing an underground access beneath the open space via an interior service corridor. This type of evolution within Bridge Park achieves the "next level" in details, a platinum experience. Finally, the 445-square-foot one-bedroom units offer WiFi-control opportunities. They appreciate the Commission's input, and look forward to meeting again soon.

The Commission thanked the applicants for their presentation.

## **2. Heartland Bank, 6500 Frantz Road, 20-139PDP, Preliminary Development Plan**

Ms. Call stated that this is a request for review and approval of a Preliminary Development Plan for facade improvements and associated site improvements for an existing bank. The site is southeast of the intersection of West Bridge Street with Post Road and zoned Bridge Street District Commercial.

### **Staff Presentation**

Mr. Ridge stated that this is a request for review and approval of a Preliminary Development Plan for exterior modifications and associated site improvements for an existing bank on a 0.82-acre site located within the Bridge Street District (BSD). The Heartland Bank site is located southeast of the intersection of West Bridge Street and Frantz Road. The site is an outparcel to the Kroger shopping plaza immediately to the south. At their July 2020 meeting, PZC members reviewed and provided feedback on a Concept Plan for this site, and subsequently, the applicant has submitted an application for a Preliminary Development Plan for exterior modifications and associated site improvements.

#### Site

The bank is centrally located on the site, with a right-in/right-out vehicular access point on Frantz Road. There is also vehicular access from the south through the Dublin Plaza parking area. The bank site contains 27 parking spaces; six will be removed, reducing the total spaces to 21. Given the size of this building, the site is required to have a minimum of 12 parking spaces or a maximum of 15 spaces. With the Final Development Plan (FDP), the applicant will be required to provide a parking plan to account for the excess parking. The current parking along W. Bridge Street and the dumpster enclosure in the northeast corner of the site will be removed; the drive-through will remain unchanged. Improved landscaping will be installed in the northwest and southwest corners of the site.

#### Proposal

The applicant is proposing a modernization and remodel to the entire exterior of the existing structure. This includes increased glazing on all facades with a new aluminum storefront system. This includes a Trespa Meteon High-Pressure Compact Laminate (HPL) cladding material in a contemporary wood finish on all four elevations, and a metal panel screening. The existing white columns that support the overhang will be replaced with steel I-beams to complement the contemporary wood aesthetic. The remaining brick will be painted a dark gray color. Although the increased glazing will increase transparency, the Code transparency requirement will not be met; therefore, the applicant will be requesting a waiver to this requirement. A second waiver will be required to allow a larger percentage of each façade to be clad in a secondary material, and a third waiver is also required to permit a parapet taller than the two to six feet permitted by Code. A 12-foot, 2-inch high parapet is proposed to provide screening of the mechanicals and the existing gabled roof. Staff is supportive of the waivers but is concerned about the proposed Trespa HPL cladding material; therefore, it is recommended that the applicant continue to work with staff on identifying an appropriate material. Staff has reviewed the application against the applicable criteria and recommends approval of the three waivers and approval of the Preliminary Development Plan with four conditions.

### **Commission Questions**

Ms. Fox requested clarification of Engineering's recommendation regarding a driveway.

Mr. Ridge responded that Engineering recommended that the access point off Frantz Road be narrowed to make it more pedestrian friendly, but it is not a requirement.

Ms. Fox inquired if any amenities would be added to improve the walk for pedestrians within the plaza and the outparcels.

Mr. Ridge responded that, other than what is depicted in the plan, no other improvements are proposed.

Ms. Husak stated that no additional improvements are required of the applicant. However, the City is studying potential enhancements within the Frantz Road Corridor, including addition of more pedestrian-friendly amenities and landscaping. Discussions have occurred with the CASTO Realty Group, owner of the Kroger plaza, regarding potential enhancements of that site.

Ms. Fox stated that there appear to be new landscape islands on the southeast corner of the parcel. Mr. Ridge responded that enhancements have been made to the southwest landscape islands, and that may be occurring on the southeast side, as well.

### **Applicant Proposal**

Ashley Trout, Heartland Bank, 6500 Frantz Road, Dublin, OH, stated that they have no additional presentation, but would be happy to answer questions. They would like to discuss the exterior cladding and landscaping with the Commission. For comparison purposes, they included the renderings from the Conceptual review in the packet. In addition to the changes shown in the new renderings, the color of the planters has been addressed, as requested.

### **Commission Discussion**

Mr. Grimes stated that he appreciates the changes that have been made in the cladding, including the increased transparency. In regard to the concerns raised about the plaza, the applicant has an opportunity to take the lead and "set a tone" for further enhancements that will occur within the plaza. In regard to the amount of parking for the bank, perhaps there is a reason they would like to retain more than is required; if not, there could be an opportunity to use some of the spaces to make additional landscaping improvements.

Mr. Fishman stated that walkability in the shopping plaza is important. He concurs with Mr. Grimes's comments about landscaping versus parking spaces, as the bank site looks like an island in a sea of blacktop. Walking from Kroger or Roush Hardware through the parking lot to the bank is risky. He would encourage any landscape enhancements that could be made that would benefit the pedestrian traffic. He likes the improved cladding with a contemporary wood finish, as seen with the sample provided.

Ms. Trout noted that the sample is slightly thinner than the actual material that will be used.

Ms. Fox stated that the cladding material has a wood appearance that transitions to the more contemporary character of the Bridge Street Corridor. Because more natural materials are desired within this area, she is concerned that a material as contemporary and sleek as this, minus any warm wood feel, might appear out of place when more development occurs. This material is most often used on very contemporary buildings. She would prefer a more natural-looking material, something that appears to be wood although it may not be. She is concerned about the height of the parapet. The reason for the proposed height is to hide the view of the existing roof, but if in the future, there are two-story buildings around the bank, would they have a view down to the old roof? She is concerned that the view will be hidden only at ground level, but have an exposed, unfinished look from above. Is there another screening option for the roof?

Robert Minshall, Executive Director of Preconstruction Services, Ruscilli Construction, 5815 Wall Street, Dublin, OH 43017, responded that the parapet section of the wall is 12 feet, but the visible portion of the parapet is closer to 5 feet. Currently, there is only one building across the street that could have a view of this roof. The expansive flat roof of the nearby Casto strip mall building contains a large amount of equipment, and the McDonald's building next to the bank has a parapet screening for rooftop mechanicals. Roofs typically have a significant amount of mechanicals, some of which can be seen from the ground. With the proposed project, nothing will be seen from the ground. At this point, significant additional expense would be necessary to revise the roof, which would not improve the view from more than a few hotel rooms.

Ms. Fox stated that she appreciates that explanation. Her primary concern is the cladding material, which she believes should have a more natural wood feel. She does not particularly care for the appearance of the proposed product, and would like the applicant to work with staff and attempt to identify another option.

Ms. Kennedy stated she likes the increased landscaping. This is a long overdue renovation project. The reduction in number of parking spaces is justified. Is the proposed cladding material used on any of the adjacent structures, such as the hotel across the street or the new McDonald's renovation, which Commissioners could use as a reference?

Mr. Ridge responded that this particular material has not been used within the immediate vicinity.

Ms. Husak stated that the hotel and McDonald's buildings used only hardiplank or some type of cementitious siding.

Ms. Kennedy stated that due to the amount of surface that will be clad with the material, she would agree that it should have a more natural look and quality feel. She is looking forward to seeing this building "refreshed."

Mr. Schneier stated that he believes the design is great and has no negative comments. Commissioners are aware of the risky route between the shops and the bank, but it is not the applicant's responsibility to address. Of course, if there were anything the applicant could do to make that route a little more pedestrian friendly, it would be appreciated. The proposed renovation will greatly improve the bank's appearance.

Mr. Supelak stated that using parapets for screening purposes is common. The view of the roof from the hotel rooms would not be changed significantly if the roof were to be rebuilt; therefore, he is supportive of the 12-foot parapets. His concern about the cladding material is not its durability, but its appearance. The grain of the material will appear monolithic. The previous renderings showed a variegated wood look, which this material will not achieve. If this is the material used, there will be a need to compensate with detailing to make the material appear to be real wood rather than a panelized rain-screen system. It would be preferable to identify a material that allows the more variegated wood quality shown in the renderings, but should they decide to work with the proposed material, this still will be an attractive building.

Ms. Call stated that she is supportive of fellow Commissioners' suggestions in regard to the landscaping and the proposed reduction in parking spaces. She appreciates that the color of the planters will be addressed. Will a sign package be provided with the Final Development Plan (FDP)?

Mr. Ridge responded affirmatively.

Ms. Call stated that after a discussion with staff earlier this week, she took the samples and attempted to damage them; she found that the material is durable. She is supportive of the proposed material, but is also supportive of the applicant and staff working together to identify another material.

Ms. Fox stated that she is not in favor of approving this particular cladding material, unless staff and the applicant have first worked together to identify a possible alternative.

Ms. Call inquired if the applicant would have any objection to that suggestion.

Ms. Trout responded that they have no objection to other options, but in their review to date, they have been unable to find an option with the desired wood look. They did not consider real wood, because the wood product on the Penzone building has not worn well. They have no objection to continuing to look for another product, however.

Ms. Husak stated that the Commission could approve the Preliminary Development Plan tonight, and the applicant would bring back the identified cladding material with the FDP for the Commission's consideration, or the application could be tabled, in which case, the applicant would bring back an alternative material for the Commission's consideration.

Ms. Call inquired the applicant's preference.

Ms. Trout stated that they would prefer to keep the project moving and work with staff on the material selection.

Commission consensus was to proceed with a vote on the application, with the condition that the proposed material be submitted with the FDP.

Mr. Grimes moved, Mr. Fishman seconded approval of the following three waivers:

1. Section 153.062, Building Types (E)(1) - Façade Materials.
2. Section 153.062, Building Types (O)(7)(d) – Façade Transparency.
3. Section 153.062, Building Types (D)(1) – Parapet Height.

Vote: Ms. Fox, yes; Mr. Supelak, yes; Mr. Grimes, yes; Ms. Call, yes; Ms. Kennedy, yes; Mr. Fishman, yes; Mr. Schneier, yes.

[Motion passed 7-0]

Mr. Fishman moved, Mr. Grimes seconded to approve the Preliminary Development Plan with the following four conditions:

- 1) The applicant continue to work with Engineering on finalizing grading and drainage details with the Final Development Plan.
- 2) The applicant provide a parking plan to address the issue of excess parking on the site with the Final Development Plan.
- 3) The applicant correct the transparency calculations for the elevations prior to submitting for a Final Development Plan.
- 4) The applicant continue to work with staff on selecting an appropriate exterior cladding material prior to the Final Development Plan.

Vote: Ms. Fox, yes; Mr. Schneier, yes; Ms. Kennedy, yes; Mr. Fishman, yes; Mr. Supelak, yes; Mr. Grimes, yes; Ms. Call, yes.

[Motion passed 7-0]

## **COMMUNICATIONS**

### Joint Council/PZC/ARB Work Session

~~Ms. Fox reported that a joint meeting of Council/PZC/ARB will be scheduled in December to provide an update regarding policies, challenges and issues. The goal is to create a better line of communication between Council and its boards and commission with the goal of improving the project review process. She requested that Commissioners provide desired discussion topics for the meeting. Commission members will forward desired work session topics to the Chair.~~

### Future Commissioner Training

~~Suggestions were made for future Commissioner education, including the following opportunities:~~

- ~~• Architect presentation regarding their review process;~~
- ~~• Shadowing a development project through staff review process.~~
- ~~• Attendance of OSU student presentations for critique/review of project designs.~~
- ~~• Tour of examples of development projects within the City, along with helpful commentary; video tours could be utilized, as well.~~

~~The next regularly scheduled PZC meeting is scheduled for Thursday, November 5 at 6:30 p.m.~~

## **ADJOURNMENT**

~~The meeting was adjourned at 9:15 p.m.~~

Rebecca Call

Chair, Planning and Zoning Commission

Judith K. Beal

Deputy Clerk of Council



# RECORD OF ACTION

## Planning & Zoning Commission

Thursday, July 9, 2020 | 6:30 pm

The Planning and Zoning Commission took the following action at this meeting:

### 4. Heartland Bank 6500 Frantz Road 20-096CP Concept Plan

Proposal: Facade improvements, new signage, and associated site improvements for an existing bank that needs modernization and remodeling.  
Location: Southeast of the intersection of West Bridge Street and Post Road and zoned Bridge Street District Commercial.  
Request: Review and approval of a Concept Plan under the provisions of Zoning Code Section 153.066.  
Applicant: Rex Hagerling, Moody Nolan  
Planning Contact: Chase J. Ridge, AICP Candidate, Planner I  
Contact Information: 614.410.4656, cridge@dublin.oh.us  
Case Information: www.dublinohiousa.gov/pzc/20-096

**MOTION:** Mr. Grimes moved, Ms. Kennedy seconded, to approve the Concept Plan with four conditions:

- 1) That the applicant submit a landscape plan with the Preliminary Development Plan, ensuring Code compliance;
- 2) That the applicant continue to work with Staff to choose an appropriate exterior cladding material;
- 3) That the applicant continue to work with Staff on creating an appropriate sign plan for the site; and
- 4) That the applicant continue to work with Staff to address the location of the existing dumpster and dumpster enclosure.

**VOTE:** 6 – 0.

**RESULT:** The Concept Plan was approved.

**RECORDED VOTES:**

Jane Fox	Absent
Warren Fishman	Yes
Kristina Kennedy	Yes
Mark Supelak	Yes
Rebecca Call	Yes
Leo Grimes	Yes
Lance Schneier	Yes

**STAFF CERTIFICATION**

DocuSigned by:

Chase J. Ridge, AICP Candidate, Planner I



~~Vote: Ms. Kennedy, yes; Mr. Grimes, yes; Ms. Call, yes; Mr. Fishman, yes; Mr. Supelak, yes; Mr. Schneier, yes.  
[Motion passed 6-0.]~~

#### **4. Heartland Bank, 6500 Frantz Road, 20-096CP, Concept Plan**

Ms. Call stated that this application is a request for facade improvements, new signage, and associated site improvements at an existing bank that needs modernization and remodeling. The site is located southeast of the intersection of West Bridge Street and Post Road and is zoned Bridge Street District Commercial.

#### **Staff Presentation**

Mr. Ridge stated that this is a request for a Concept Plan review for facade improvements, new signage, and associated site improvements at the existing Heartland Bank, which is located southeast of the intersection of West Bridge Street and Post Road. Following approval of the Concept Plan, the applicant may work with staff to develop a Preliminary and Final Development Plan for submission to the Planning and Zoning Commission. Those two steps can be combined if determined appropriate by the Planning Director. The 0.82-acre site is an outparcel to the Dublin Plaza Shopping Center. [Photographs of all elevations shown.]

#### Proposal

The applicant is proposing a modernization and remodel of the entire exterior of the existing structure. The renovation concept replaces the combination of flat and hipped roofs with a modern, simplified flat roof system. The drive-thru overhang will be rebuilt, extending the flat roof from the building toward West Bridge Street. The building will be primarily clad in a new exterior material with a wood aesthetic. The applicant has not finalized an exterior material choice, however, has provided one potential material for reference. The siding is an aluminum panel product with a wood grain texture and light cherry color. Staff is recommending that the applicant continue to work with staff to ensure appropriate cladding materials are chosen. The existing brick veneer walls will be opened up on the north and west sides with increased glass for a more modern, open appearance. All the remaining exterior glass, which is not insulated, will be replaced with a new glazing system. The remaining exterior brick masonry will be painted a dark grey/black color to coordinate with the new color scheme. The existing square wood posts on the east and west sides will be replaced with steel I beam columns that extend up to hold the overhang. With the exterior modernization, the applicant is proposing four new signs for the site. A new monument 4.5-foot high ground sign will be located at the northwest corner of the site. The sign structure is clad in a material that appears to match the proposed building material in a slightly darker color. The sign would have white lettering. Staff is concerned with the proposed location close to the intersection of West Bridge Street and Frantz Road, potentially within easements and the required sight triangle. There are also three wall signs proposed, one on each of the east, west and north sides of the building. On the west side, the applicant is proposing an approximately 135-square-foot wall sign that includes the bank name and the institution's logo in a vertical format. The lettering is proposed to be white, using the cladding as the background. The north-facing sign is a horizontally oriented sign with only the institution's name. The 36-square-foot sign will be located on the drive-thru overhang, facing West Bridge Street. Finally, the east-facing sign will consist only of the institution's logo. The sign dimensions are not identified, but it appears to be significantly smaller than the other signs proposed. It is also located on the drive-thru overhang, facing the neighboring McDonald's property. Staff has reviewed the application with the applicable criteria and recommends approval with four conditions.

Mr. Fishman inquired if the siding on the building that appears to be cedar is actually aluminum.

Ms. Call noted that aluminum is not permitted as a primary material, only as a secondary material.

Mr. Ridge stated that the applicant has not finalized the cladding material; however, the aluminum siding has been proposed as the primary cladding material. A condition has been recommended that the applicant work with staff to select an appropriate cladding material.

Ashley Trout, Heartland Bank, 6500 Frantz Road, Dublin, stated they have been working with staff on a conceptual design, and, at this point, the plan is conceptual. Their intent is to continue working with staff on further development of their Preliminary Development Plan. This building was opened in 1993 and has remained unchanged since then. They have been inspired by the recent renovations of surrounding businesses, including the neighboring McDonald's site. Currently, they are working with their contractor, Ruscilli and architects on the conceptual design. In regard to the dumpster, because there are several branch locations at which dumpsters have been eliminated, they anticipate being able to do so here, as well.

### **Public Comment**

There was no public comment.

### **Commission Discussion**

Ms. Kennedy stated that this building is very outdated, so this will be a welcome refresh. The new monument sign is very large. She does not believe it will meet Code and is not proportional with the size of the building. She likes the new roof but not the proposed cladding material. It does not appear complementary to the surrounding area. The four proposed signs exceed Code requirements, so it will be necessary to bring those into compliance.

Mr. Fishman stated that he would object to the aluminum cladding material, unless the millage is sufficiently thick so as not to be susceptible to becoming dented.

Mr. Supelak stated that the refresh of the dated building will be welcome. He has no objection to the proposed cladding material but looks forward to seeing what material is selected. He has no objection to the proposed monument sign, particularly given the fact that they will be giving up the existing, even larger sign. However, the total number of signs does exceed Code.

Mr. Schneier stated that the wood look of the cladding is not objectionable; however, the aluminum material is a concern. He likes the wall signs, but the total number would need to be reduced to meet Code. Overall, this is a great effort.

Mr. Grimes stated he has no objection to the larger monument sign on that important corner, although this site should have adequate visibility. He likes the wood look of the cladding material. He likes the concept overall, but there is much work to be done.

Ms. Call stated that she believes the number of signs requested is excessive. Although the cladding material is not her taste, she believes it is well done. She appreciates the mix of materials with the darker material above. Regarding the planter baskets adjacent to the drive-through lane – they are a separate redwood material, probably for longevity, that appears to clash with the wood material on the building. She would suggest that a different basket material be used, such as a wire basket. The sign package would need to be compliant with Code. However, the building design is well done, and the conditions that have been proposed by staff should address the concerns that have been expressed tonight.

Ms. Trout thanked the Commission for their direction and suggestions.

Mr. Grimes moved, Ms. Kennedy seconded to approve the Concept Plan with the following four conditions:

- 1) Code compliance;
  - 2) The applicant continue to work with staff to choose an appropriate exterior cladding material;
  - 3) The applicant continue to work with staff on creating an appropriate sign plan for the site;
- and

- 4) The applicant continue to work with staff to address the location of the existing dumpster and dumpster enclosure.

Vote: Mr. Supelak, yes; Ms. Kennedy, yes; Mr. Schneier, yes; Mr. Grimes, yes; Ms. Call, yes; Mr. Fishman, yes.

[Motion passed 6-0.]

## **5. Germain Honda, 6715 Sawmill Road, 20-102CP, Concept Plan**

Ms. Call stated that this application is a request for exterior renovations, sign changes, and associated site improvements for an existing car dealership. The 12.7-acre site is southwest of the intersection of Sawmill Road and Dublin Center Drive and is zoned Bridge Street District Sawmill Neighborhood.

### **Staff Presentation**

Ms. Martin stated that this site is located within the BSD-SCN, Bridge Street District - Sawmill Center Neighborhood. This Concept Plan is significantly more detailed than previous Concept Plans the Commission has reviewed, which is due to the iterative nature of this project. The proposal is for parking lot, landscape and architectural modifications for the existing car dealership located on a 12.7-acre site, southwest of the intersection of Sawmill Road and Dublin Center Drive. Originally, the dealership campus developed as multiple separate sites including a gas station, office, and automotive dealership. Over time, the parcels have been combined and the uses have been consolidated into a single automotive dealership. The intent of this project is to streamline the site circulation and increase the overall efficiency of the site operations. Staff and the property owner have been engaged in this process since 2017. In 2018, the Administrative Review Team (ART) reviewed and approved Phase I of the campus improvements, specifically demolition of a vacant 2,000-square-foot building located in the southeast portion of the site, and modifications to parking, landscaping, and lighting for a .64-acre portion of the campus. In 2019, the ART reviewed and approved Phase II of the campus improvements, specifically modifications to parking, perimeter landscaping along Dublin Center Drive frontages and lighting for the remainder of the site. Phase III included interior renovations to the new car store, service drive, and (future) upgrades to the used car store. As the modifications in Phase III were interior only, review and approval by ART or PZC was not required. The application before the Commission tonight is for modifications along the Sawmill Road frontage, parking lot and exterior building modifications.

### Vehicular Circulation and Pedestrian Connectivity

The site is located within the BSD-SCN, Bridge Street District - Sawmill Center Neighborhood. (Photographs of frontages and existing buildings shown.) The Sawmill Center applies to the majority of the commercial and service-oriented areas in the BDS. As part of the BSD Code, the Street Network Map establishes the framework for vehicular and pedestrian connectivity to distribute traffic efficiently across the network. The Street Network Map establishes existing and planned streets within the BSD. The Street Network Map defines a hierarchy of street classifications including Corridor Connectors, District Connectors, Neighborhood Streets, and Alley/Service Streets. Corridor and District Connectors may in some cases be designated Principal Frontage Streets. Principal Frontage Streets are designated to ensure certain street types are lined with continuous pedestrian-oriented block faces. The applicant is seeking direction on the required pedestrian connectivity. Staff has encouraged the applicant to provide pedestrian connectivity along both Dublin Center Drive and Sawmill Road. The most notable neighborhood street that bisects this site is the potential connection of Snouffer Road. The Snouffer Road connection is not identified in the City's current 5-Year CIP. With Phase II, vehicular circulation modifications were approved that required the removal of the northernmost Sawmill Road right in/right out access point and an additional access point added in the southwest portion of the site along Dublin Center Drive. This application also includes some parking lot modifications to the east of the used car store, as well as a curvilinear sidewalk extension along Sawmill Road. To fulfill the clear intent of the BSD Code, the applicant should extend the sidewalk along the Dublin Center Drive frontage. The applicant should work with staff to provide sidewalk connections along Sawmill